THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC AND CLIMATE CHANGE FICTIONS AND THEIR ROLE IN THE SUSTAINABILITY AGENDA

Kevin Galalae 15 December 2021

This long and complex paper has three parts: premise, analysis, and solutions. The first part states what I believe to be the goals, methods, and justifications of the international system with respect to the pandemic to see if they are confirmed by the evidence. The second part provides an analysis of the facts and of the events that have taken place since the beginning of 2020 in the hope of uncovering the true and hidden objectives behind the pandemic strategy. And the third part suggests hopefully better alternative solutions to the undoubtedly necessary objectives pursued by the international system under the cover of manufactured crises.

PART ONE: PREMISE

I start from the premise that our leaders are driven by desperation and the desperation is warranted, but not for the stated reasons. They proceed criminally and their methods are totally unwarranted since there is a better and ethical alternative. They lack the courage, legal framework and the institutional infrastructure to act openly, ethically and effectively and their results are destructive not constructive. They are heading for disaster because they use the wrong guidance, approach and assumptions. We shall see if my thesis is confirmed or not by the evidence at hand.

What causes their desperation?

We have long <u>surpassed</u> the world's <u>carrying capacity</u> and mankind can't survive the next doubling of the global population which absent <u>current methods of population control</u> (chemical, biological, psychosocial, and judicial) would happen in less than three decades, thus by 2050. Let me state this again in bold, red, capital letters in case you missed it the first time because this is the nightmare fact that turned our world into a nightmare reality.

HUMANKIND CANNOT SURVIVE THE NEXT DOUBLING OF THE POPULATION, FROM THE CURRENT 7.9 BILLION TO 16 BILLION PEOPLE, WHICH ABSENT ONGOING AND BRUTAL METHODS OF POPULATION CONTROL WOULD HAPPEN BY 2050.

Vital <u>natural resources are being depleted</u> much faster than nature can regenerate them and <u>the basic needs of half the current 7.8 billion people can no longer be met</u>, which makes social, political and environmental <u>collapse inevitable</u>. Parts of the developing world <u>have already collapsed</u> causing a refugee crisis of biblical proportions that threatens the stability of the developed world. There are currently <u>82.4 million people forcibly displaced and over 26,4 million refugees</u>. Nobody wants them, <u>not even Sweden</u>, they have nowhere to go, and their number will grow exponentially as <u>the population continues to swell</u>, resources become <u>even scarcer</u> especially in <u>hotspots</u>, and parts of the world <u>become uninhabitable</u>. <u>Biodiversity loss</u> indicates mass extinction is underway

and this is the first to be caused by man. The pollution we cause threatens the <u>planetary boundaries</u> that make life possible on earth and provide the environmental stability that makes modern agriculture possible.

What are the stated reasons of their desperation?

<u>Climate change / global warming</u> is the reason they invoke for desperately needing to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions and quickly transitioning to a green economy based on renewable energy sources. And the <u>Covid-19 pandemic</u> is the reason they invoke for desperately needing to vaccinate the entire global population to "get back to normal".

What are the true reasons of their desperation?

So long as the population and our aspirations continue to grow, as they would if allowed free reign, competition for the existing natural resources (access to, control over and their use) will become fiercer and will inevitably lead to war, which this time will be nuclear and therefore catastrophic. The tension over vital resources is already causing nearly half of all interstate conflicts in the world and exacerbating wealth inequality and conflict within and between nations, creating an unbridgeable divide between rich and poor, between the developed and the developing world, between water rich and water poor nations, and between resource rich and resource poor nations that make the preservation of peace, stability, life, nature, and dignity increasingly hard and soon impossible to accomplish.

Why are they not telling the truth?

Both our sexual needs and our material wants are extremely difficult to self-control let alone be controlled from the outside by governments. Human sexuality is too complex and too important for the perpetuation of the species. Sex is fun, too much fun, and we are wired to want to have children to pass on our genes, knowledge, values and wealth. The instinct to procreate is a formidable enemy. As for our material wants, we are aspirational beings, we seek bigger, better, faster and more things and experiences in life not fewer. Social evolution is the result of human.aspirations which are generated by our instinct to survive and thrive. We work to fulfill our desires which grow more ambitious the wealthier we become as individuals and the more technologically advanced as a civilization. Otherwise, why bother? But our numbers and aspirations far surpass the planet's resources and unless we bring those back within the limitations of our planet our civilization is doomed. This, however, is easier said than done because it necessitates reigning in our primal instincts.

To reign in our two most powerful primal instincts, the instinct to procreate and the instinct to survive and thrive, is not only extremely difficult but also above politics due to obstacles that could not be overcome.

First, when spirituality was hijacked by organized religions to ascribe to God rules necessary for social coexistence, so they couldn't be challenged by men, the most trusted and respected members of society, spiritual leaders, became controllers of our aspirations openly and population controllers secretly and assumed quiet responsibility over the horrific crimes committed under

various fronts and justifications to keep populations and resources in balance. To preserve their absolute authority, minimize conflict and shelter people from having to make very difficult decisions (such as abortion, matricide and patricide), as well as to prevent the loss of faith, which would lead to social instability, all societies have made population control an unmentionable secret and have devised ways and language that allow the open discussion of this subject in a code understood in its true and full meaning only by the initiated. This culture of secrecy, deceitful language, and obscurantism live on and prevent full disclosure and an escape from genocide through the creation of a truly New World Order. *Religion, therefore, is the first obstacle that could not be overcome*.

Second, if told the truth about the imperative to limit our family size to one or two children we would object and many would dissent, as China's example shows, forcing governments to legislate and enforce replacement level fertility or even a one-child policy, which is not possible in democracies. And we would object even more vehemently if asked to limit our lifespan to rescue the existing social and economic structure, just as we would object if asked to reduce our consumption or to reduce our standard of living to "save the planet". To preserve democracy, or the semblance thereof, we are not being told the truth about population control and how it is achieved at either end, birth or death, just as we are not being told the truth about the need to reduce our consumption because the world's resources are finite whereas our desires are not. And as the needs of the population control program grow in scale and scope and time is running out, as we have already surpassed the planet's ability to regenerate the natural resources we use, democracy is perverted from within by the very people entrusted with its protection, but who must accomplish secretly what cannot be accomplished openly. As a result, only an empty shell remains of our democracies. Democracy, therefore, is the second obstacle that could not be overcome.

Third, as our hard-earned fundamental rights and liberties became enshrined in national and international codices their open violation became impossible and bypassing them the only option for continuing to pursue population control measures, for in one way or another they must be pursued if the population is not to outgrow the available resources or transgress planetary boundaries. The latest method to bypass the rule of law in the age of transparency and digital communication is to make fundamental rights and liberties conditional and to engineer crises that allow their temporary suspension. To preserve the semblance of the rule of law, and therefore the legitimacy of the state, the plandemic was engineered as a convenient front for limiting both population and consumption, just as the man-made climate change narrative has been used as a convenient front to limit consumption and is about to be used also to limit population. *The rule of law, therefore, is the third obstacle that could not be overcome*.

To overcome these three awesome obstacles, the false medical science used to create the impression that SARS-CoV-2 is a real virus and Covid-19 a real illness is nothing more than the newest deception perpetrated on the unsuspecting public to limit populations and aspirations for the sake of saving our civilization from self-destruction by overpopulation; just as the false environmental science of climate change and global warming is nothing more than a clever deception to limit the aspirations of individuals to consume more and of nations to industrialize in the fastest and cheapest way possible, namely by using fossil fuels, for the sake of saving our

civilization from self-destruction by overconsumption and competition for vital resources. Regardless of how dire the need is to address overpopulation and overconsumption, the fact remains that there is no pandemic and there is no climate emergency.

Allowing overpopulation and overconsumption to continue, however, would only hasten a global conflict over scarce natural resources and the environment's destruction, for as nations become larger they need more resources to accommodate the bigger population and as they become industrialized or more industrialized their citizens consume far more resources per capita and put even more strain on ecosystems as well as exacerbating an already dangerous international environment where competition for vital resources grows by the day and tensions are near breaking point.

The Global Resources Outlook 2019 shows the following frightening trends and inequalities:

"Global population has doubled and global gross domestic product has grown fourfold since the 1970s. This has been fueled by an ever-increasing supply and extraction of materials, thereby intensifying pressure on land and water. From 1970 to 2017, the annual global extraction of materials grew from 27 billion tons to 92 billion tons, while the annual average material demand grew from 7 tons to over 12 tons per capita.

Unless a fundamental change drives natural resource use away from the status quo, this use will continue to grow to 190 billion tons and over 18 tons per capita by 2060.

The material footprint of high-income regions is greater than their domestic material consumption, indicating that consumption in these countries relies on materials from other countries through international supply chains. Material footprints in high-income countries are around 27 tons per person; 60 per cent higher than the upper-middle income group in 2017; and more than 13 times the level of the low-income group. Per capita impacts of consumption in high-income countries are, depending on the impact category, between three and six times larger than those of low-income countries."

Henceforth keep in mind that the word *decarbonization* in its true and full meaning, as understood only by system insiders and policy makers, means curbing the industrialization of nations and the consumption of individuals to prevent war over scarce natural resources and/or our civilization's collapse due to lack of vital natural resources and violent conflict over them. Achieving <u>net-zero emissions</u> is an impossibility just as reducing greenhouse gas emissions to pre-industrial levels by 2050 is an impossibility. These targets are deliberately impossible, but in setting them impossibly high it gives authorities a convenient pretext to impose terrible hardships on the population to limit global consumption and prevent war over resources with little or no resistance from the people who will not only be forced to burry their aspirations but also to give up much of their quality of life to the false threat of anthropogenic (man-made) climate change.

Henceforth also keep in mind that the word *pandemic*, in its true and full meaning, as understood only by system insiders and policy makers, means a front for reducing the elderly population of the developed world to well below 20%, sterilizing the young people of the developing world to well below <u>replacement level fertility</u>, and coercing the entire global population to submit once or twice a year to a booster shot, thus to the depopulation by vaccination program. And if the system

becomes even more desperate than it already is than the word pandemic may well mean a global genocide that will wipe out half the global population or more in a single and rapid event.

Henceforth keep in mind that the word *vaccine* in its true and full meaning, as understood only by system insiders and policy makers, means hidden weapon of mass destruction with delayed effect used to kill or sterilize, as needed, and an open weapon of mass submission to the depopulation by vaccination program for an indefinite time.

Henceforth also keep in mind that the words *pandemic prevention* in their true and full meaning, as understood only by system insiders and policy makers, refer to methods of increasing morbidity, mortality and sterility and of reducing consumption, emissions and resistance.

The false science and language of *climate change* and the equally false science and language of pandemics and their prevention provide governments with a convenient front and plausible deniability to slow down consumption in the developed world and industrialization in the developing world as well as to wage chemical and biological war against their own people to stop population growth by subverting fertility and immunity and thus prevent conventional and nuclear war between nations over scarce natural resources. The two falsities of the Covid-19 pandemic and climate change are used to hide and address two harsh realities, namely that we are too many and consume too much for our finite and fragile planet. These falsities provide policy makers not only with a front that allows them to preserve the illusion of the rule of law and respect for fundamental rights and liberties, on which the legitimacy of the state depends, but also with a diplomatic tool to manufacture consent among the masses and to reach consensus among national stabilization (depopulation), leaders over population resource conservation (decarbonization), and resource sharing (globalization) in open fora and through public documents that mean one thing to the public and an entirely different thing to policy makers. This is the underlying secret of the post-World War II international order and why Earth sciences have been hijacked to create and sustain the illusion of man-made climate change, and why medicine and public health have been hijacked to create and sustain the illusion of the Covid-19 pandemic.

Now that we know why they proceed under false pretenses and with false science let us look at how they proceed criminally.

How do they proceed criminally?

The prevent war over resources and our civilization's collapse, the leadership has decided to reach peak CO2 emissions in 2020 and peak population by 2030, thus much faster than <u>previously anticipated</u>, through a process that in the duplicitous parlance of the global bureaucracy is called *decoupling*.

A key document explains the process of decoupling as follows:

"Decoupling occurs when resource use or a pressure on the environment or human wellbeing grows at a slower rate than the activity causing it (relative decoupling) or declines while the economic activity continues to grow (absolute decoupling). A relative decoupling of resource-related environmental impacts from GDP and a relative decoupling of impacts from extracted mass of

resources has occurred since the year 2000. However, impacts still increased on an absolute scale. Absolute decoupling in high-income countries can lower average resource consumption, distribute prosperity equally and maintain a high quality of life. Relative decoupling in developing economies and economies in transition can raise average income levels and eliminate poverty, while still increasing levels of natural resource consumption until a socially acceptable quality of life is achieved." (p.28)

What does this mean? First of all, it means that decoupling on an absolute scale, namely peak consumption, is the goal. It also means that in the developing world resource use, pressure on the environment and human wellbeing can continue to grow but at a slower rate than the overall economic activity, thus a more efficient and productive economy, hence relative decoupling, which is possible and happening. Conversely, in the developed world resource use, pressure on the environment and human wellbeing must decrease while economic activity increases, thus absolute decoupling, which is possible only if more of the goods produced and services provided by the developed world are for use in the developing world to even out the per capita consumption scale between the developed and developing world and, furthermore, if they are produced/provided entirely with renewable energy and sold at cost or donated to the developing world, and if the domestic population among the retired age groups decreases artificially fast and the population as a whole decreases too (which has been happening slowly since 2012 in the EU and quickly since 2017; almost happened in the US in 2020 and will probably start happening in 2021; has been happening in Japan since 2007 and rapidly since 2011; in Australia since 2021; in New Zealand's largest city, Auckland, since 2021, and across the country probably in a year or two; and across the OECD the population has remained virtually flat since 2013 thanks only to immigration). Currently, almost the opposite is the case since many of the goods produced and services provided by the developing world are for use by the developed world, but both benefit since they are sold at a profit, although the developing world assumes the environmental cost all alone and its population is still growing. Absolute decoupling, therefore, can only happen if the developed world increases its economic output while gradually transferring its wealth to the developing world and reducing its population at the same time and continuing to do so until the latter reaches an acceptable standard of living. This is implied but not stated in the official documents to bypass the will of the people, who would be difficult to convince to go along with this plan which requires selflessness.

From an equity and justice point of view this would be an acceptable plan only if the standard of living in the West would be maintained as it is while the developing world rapidly stabilizes its population, which can only de done through artificial means, otherwise bringing prosperity across the developing world will never be achieved.

And this is undoubtedly the plan. Seen in this light, the Covid-19 plandemic was unleashed on the developed world to force vaccinate all its citizens and eliminate as many elderly people as possible and perhaps a good number of younger people too and will continue to do so every six months with boosters. But to complete this plan a real pandemic or much more deadly vaccines would have to be unleashed on the developing world, and especially on Africa, to kill enough people and sterilize many more to bring its population growth to a sudden halt. This will be done either under the front of a new SARS-CoV-2 variant or of an entirely new front, be it Marburg, Ebola or

Hantavirus, for which the system is <u>already training on African soil</u> and the <u>SPARS pandemic scenario</u> foretells. And that can only mean that many more *benevolent crimes* (if you will allow me the use of this oxymoron) await us.

Let us return to the present day and the benevolent crimes committed to date.

The first goal, peak CO2 emissions and consumption in 2020, could not be accomplished in this short a timeframe without willfully destroying parts of the global economy, which necessitates economic sabotage on a global scale, so it can be rebuilt along green lines. The second goal, peak population by 2030, cannot be accomplished without committing controlled genocide and crimes against humanity, so the population can be brought down to a <u>sustainable level</u>.

The pandemic pretext allows the authorities to hide their policies and crimes behind plausible deniability in the hope of minimizing opposition and avoiding retribution from the people, circumventing liability for damages caused to businesses large and small, bankrupting small and middle businesses but saving multinational corporations with public funding, while at the same time fostering a culture of blind trust, submission and compliance to preserve the credibility and legitimacy of the state. Hence the slogans 'trust us', 'trust the experts' and 'get your facts only from official sources'.

More than this, the pandemic pretext provides mankind with a false common cause, but nevertheless a common cause, so the problem of <u>clashing prerogatives</u>, between the needs and wants of individuals, nations and the United Nations / international community, is at least temporarily resolved and enough people can be sacrificed without their knowledge and consent and not only with little or no resistance but also with their full cooperation. Hence the slogan 'we are all in this together'.


Last but not least, it offers sufficient financial incentives to governments to recognize their own self-interest in aligning themselves with the net-zero strategy. To this end, <u>massive amounts of money</u> are offered governments of the G20 nations to transition to a green economy and equally massive amounts of money are offered governments of developing nations to <u>forgive their debts</u> and pull them out of the poverty trap if they abstain from using fossil fuels to industrialize. Hence the slogan 'build back better'.


The pandemic pretext has been twenty years in the making and is the best front the system could come up with for controlled depopulation, rapid decarbonization and global political and financial centralization, goals that require the commission of genocide and crimes against humanity, collusion in economic sabotage and subversion of national security, and political treason. While much better pretexts could have been found for each one of these three grand objectives, no single one could be found for accomplishing all three at once.

Let's look at the evidence!

PART TWO: ANALYSIS

What does the evidence show in terms of depopulation? First and foremost, the still hidden <u>all-cause mortality rates</u> show that despite the constant propaganda there has been no excess mortality in 2020, but just the same slowly ascending trend in developed nations with aged populations and descending trend in developing nations with young populations.





It also shows that <u>births have further collapsed</u> throughout the world in 2020, but especially in the G20 nations, and that the <u>global total fertility rate is now at 2.3 children per woman</u> and therefore close to replacement level (i.e. 2.1 children per woman); <u>that sperm count</u>, <u>testosterone and fertility are dropping while genital abnormalities</u>, <u>testicular cancer and miscarriages are rising</u>, all at about 1% per year; that <u>age-specific mortality has increased only in the elderly and only in high income</u>


nations while the global all-cause mortality rate has remained unchanged at 7.6 per 1,000 people; that <u>life expectancy has decreased in most high income nations</u> but especially <u>in Europe</u>, <u>the United States</u> and <u>Russia</u> by about 1, 1.5 and 2 years respectively, which is the first such drop in modern history; and that 2021 promises to be an even "better" year for the depopulation program in terms of both <u>mortality increases</u> and <u>fertility decreases</u>.



ec.europa.eu/eurostat

In other words, the evidence shows that the reproductive system is being systematically destroyed in people across the world so that fewer children are born and the global population peaks by 2030 and can then start decreasing. It also shows that the immune system of people throughout the developed world is being destroyed so that life expectancy drops below 75 years and rich world nations can stay financially afloat by lowering their old-age burdens to a manageable level, preferably well below 20%. Furthermore, the evidence shows that such sudden drops in fertility throughout the world and in life expectancy throughout the rich world only are targeted to the exact needs of developed and developing nations and cannot possibly be attributed to natural causes, least of all to a pandemic, which would strike all nations equally and would cause far more damage in the poorest nations due to their weak health care systems and not vice versa, as is the case. And last, the evidence shows that only G20 nations have been hit by the pandemic, thus the greatest consumers of resources (in the language of the system 'the greatest emitters of greenhouse gases'), which would make this the first pandemic in history to discriminate against the people of the biggest economies in the world while leaving the people of the smallest economies largely unaffected, despite the fact that G20 nations have received 15 times more Covid-19 vaccine doses per capita than sub-Saharan African countries.

What does the evidence show in terms of decarbonization? It shows that the world accomplished in 2020 by far the greatest drop in greenhouse gas emissions in history, an astounding -2.6 billion metric tons of CO2 (see graph below), and therefore an equivalent drop in consumption; that the emissions reduction is exactly at the 7% level calculated to be necessary to limit global warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius; and that emissions in 2021 will remain below pre-pandemic levels though with a more modest reduction than in 2020.




In other words, the evidence shows that the international system, which has struggled for decades to lower greenhouse gas emissions and failed miserably, accomplished its most ambitious decarbonization goal in 2020 when it was supposedly concerned only with saving lives and is on track to keep emissions below pre-pandemic levels which will soon allow it to declare that global emissions have been stabilized in 2020 below the 2019 peak, just as it was called for by Christiana Figueres, the former UN climate chief, when she announced a new global strategy for rapid decarbonization called Mission 2020. To claim victory, the system has even readjusted its data, which now shows that CO2 emissions have been flat for a decade once land use is figured in.

Per capita CO2 emissions

Carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions from the burning of fossil fuels for energy and cement production. Land use change is not included.






Why the mad drive to replace hydrocarbons? They are getting harder to get to; generate less energy per unit; are non-renewable resources and the transition to renewables, which will take at least 50 years, must be completed long before we run out of hydrocarbons (reserves indicate we have about 115 years of coal production, and roughly 50 years of both oil and natural gas remaining); and they pollute and cause increasingly dire smog pollution problems. The energy world is marred by deep disparities that cannot be overcome with hydrocarbons since their availability is decreasing while demand is still increasing. The visual data below is provided by Our World in Data.

Global primary energy consumption by source

Primary energy is calculated based on the 'substitution method' which takes account of the inefficiencies in fossil fuel production by converting non-fossil energy into the energy inputs required if they had the same conversion losses as fossil fuels.









Per capita primary energy consumption by source, 2019

Primary energy is calculated based on the 'substitution method' which takes account of the inefficiencies in fossil fuel production by converting non-fossil energy into the energy inputs required if they had the same conversion losses as fossil fuels.





Global primary energy consumption by source
The breakdown of primary energy is shown based on the 'substitution' method which takes account of inefficiencies in energy production from fossil fuels. This is based on global energy for 2019.



"Other renewables' includes geothermal, biomass, wave and tidal. It does not include traditional biomass which can be a key energy source in lower income settings.

Our WorldinData.org — Research and data to make progress against the world's largest problems.

Source: Cur World in Data based on BP Statistical Review of World Energy (2020).


Licensed under CC-BY by the author Hannah I Licensed under CC-BY by the author Hannah Ritchie.

Since the transition to low carbon energy sources is costly it has to start in the developed world and that is precisely what the decarbonization program is trying to accomplish.


Share of primary energy from renewable sources




Renewable energy sources includes hydropower, solar, wind, geothermal, bioenergy, wave and tidal. It does not




Few countries have taken the lead to decarbonize which is why the decarbonization program was advancing rather slowly until 2020.



While some developed nations are transitioning away from fossil fuels most developing nations remain entirely dependent on them.



So far, the world has only succeeded in decoupling from coal.




The reality is that a total energy transition is neither financially nor technically feasible. Hydrocarbons remain substantially cheaper than renewables, even once CO2 emissions are factored in.

Total Costs of Energy Including CO₂

We add in a CO₂ price of \$50/tonne for all energy sources.

In Figure 6 we did not include costs related to CO₂ in our analysis, but in Figure 9 we add in a price of \$50/tonne for all energy sources. For our analysis of the typical project, we assumed \$50/tonne for CO₂ credits, which is higher than the \$20 - \$25/tonne in historical trading.



rigure 3, total costs for various Energy Projects, Plus CO3 Costs it 350 tonne tasing a Corporate Cost of Capital of 1079 Sources: Please refer to the Sources section of this report. Note: CC – Combined Cycle; CCS – Carbon Capture and Sequestration; IGCC – Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle

Source: Renewables vs. Hydrocarbons, The Energy Reality

And as honest scientists have repeatedly pointed out, replacing hydrocarbons is not technologically feasible at this time. Michael Kelly, Prince Philip Professor of Engineering at Cambridge University, has stated:

"If the climate scientist community was to learn that engineering will not be able to 80% mitigate CO₂ emissions by 2050 without inflicting massive harm on the global economy and mankind in general, it might improve the quality of the public debate."

And a recent review has concluded:

"Renewable energy from wind, sunshine and biomass are inherently ill-suited to replace the energy service now handily delivered by coal, natural gas, oil and uranium. Renewables are inherently diffuse and uncontrollable in energy content and power density, while fossil fuels are highly concentrated, reliable and versatile."

The absolute opposite story, however, is being reported by the mainstream media, which drowns all independent voices and sound research to broadcast only the system's falsified facts and figures.

What does the evidence show in terms of global political and financial centralization?

It shows that nearly every government on earth now sings from the same song sheet and that the pandemic response has been <u>planned and rehearsed</u> and is being seemingly orchestrated from the


UN system, mainly the WHO, but in reality the driving force behind the scenes is the American military-industrial complex, while the moral force is the Vatican, and that in the name of health security absolutely all fundamental rights and liberties, including the right to life, no longer exist in the New World Order medical dictatorship that has been instituted.

Furthermore, it shows that the institutions of democracy (national parliaments, senates, EU parliament, UN Assembly) and the institutions responsible for upholding the rule of law (courts, appeals courts, constitutional courts, supreme courts, ombudsmen, international courts, police forces, INTERPOL, Human Rights Commissions) have seemingly disappeared from the face of the earth or simply ceased to do anything other than watch or even aid the global totalitarian state that is rapidly expanding in scale and scope across the world. A case in point is the <u>Digital Green Certificate Regulation</u> passed by the European Parliament to supposedly "facilitate" international travel within and to the EU but that <u>is now being used to prevent the unvaccinated from exercising any and all fundamental rights</u> and liberties, <u>as was foreseen</u>, by <u>the vast majority of the world's nation states</u>: the right to work, to education, to mobility, to medical care, to gather, to exercise free speech, etc.

And lastly it shows that all public money flows from the World Bank, the IMF, the EU and the US budgets while all private money flows through the Global Public Private Partnership via a handful of "philanthropic" organizations that form an <u>insidious and incestuous global network</u>: the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Wellcome Trust, Rockefeller Foundation, World Economic Forum, GAVI, CEPI, COVAX, Find, and The Global Fund.

What does the evidence show in terms of economics?

First, it shows a drastic decline in consumer spending in <u>Europe</u> and the <u>US</u> in 2020 and <u>unprecedented falls in GDP in most G20 economies in the second quarter of 2020, China being the only exception. Year-on-year GDP in the G20 area fell by 1.7% in the <u>first quarter</u> and 9.1% in the <u>second quarter</u> of 2020.</u>




The GDP of the G20 area rebounded by 8.1% in the <u>third quarter</u> of 2020 but remained 2.4% below its pre-crisis high of the final quarter of 2019. In the <u>fourth quarter</u> it slowed to 2.1%. For 2020 as a whole, GDP fell by 3.3% in the G20 area.

GDP growth rates in 2021 are mostly flat throughout the G20 area and below pre-pandemic levels for the G7 countries, the only exception being the US which exceeded its pre-pandemic level by 1.4% in the third quarter of 2021. The economic downturn caused by the COVID-19 pandemic prevention measures hit the world's major economies four times harder than the global financial crisis of 2009, according to the OECD.

Locking the vaccinated in their homes in Austria, Germany and other EU countries shows the EU is making a last effort to ensure GDP remains below the 2019 peak. Economic peak means consumption peak, but the system will declare only emissions peak and will hide its true objectives behind this contrived metric.

In terms of corporate bankruptcies, 2020 was the worst year since the Great Depression of 2009. 630 companies declared bankruptcy alongside as many as 400,000 small and medium companies (25% to 33% above the normal) despite the Paycheck Protection Program (PPP) funding. Unemployment reached historic levels and consumer spending dropped to its lowest point since 2014 and will not rebound to pre-pandemic levels because the system will not allow it.



<u>EU insolvencies statistics</u> have been falsified to such an extent as to show a lower number in 2020 compared to previous years, but this rosy picture is worlds removed from the reality on the ground. First of all, the unregistered companies of <u>the shadow economy</u> make up as much as a quarter of all EU business enterprises and they have been wiped out by the pandemic prevention measures, but are left out of the official statistics. Secondly, the picture is further distorted by 2.3 trillion euros in national liquidity support measures across the euro zone, which has merely delayed a meteoric rise in insolvencies, as <u>the European Commission now recognizes</u>:

"Without such help and new loans from banks, almost a quarter of EU companies would have had liquidity problems by the end of 2020 after exhausting their cash buffers...Once

the unprecedented public support measures expire, a number of businesses are likely to default on their debt obligations, leading to higher non-performing loans and insolvencies."

7-15% of the EU's small and medium enterprises (SMEs) face bankruptcy over the next four years, according to a <u>recent report</u>.

The EU's unemployment statistics are equally distorted and show a merely <u>0.3% rise in 2020</u> because they fail to factor in the temporary layoffs, the shorter work hours, and the reduced income received by millions of workers who went on technical unemployment and had to make due with a 50 to 75% cut in income. Once these elements are factored in the picture looks disastrous and is summed up as follows by a sober report:


"Employment and total hours worked declined at the sharpest rates on record. Unemployment increased more slowly and to a lesser extent, reflecting the high take-up rate of job retention schemes and transitions into inactivity. The labour market adjustment occurred primarily via a strong decline in average hours worked. In addition, the labour force declined by about 5 million in the first half of 2020, which is half a million more than its increase between mid-2013 and the fourth quarter of 2019.

As euro area governments introduced containment measures to limit the spread of the virus they also devised policies to support the labour market, with a particular focus on job retention schemes in the form of short-time work and temporary lay-offs. These schemes help to explain the adjustment via average hours worked. They also help to explain why compensation per employee declined significantly in the euro area during the COVID-19 pandemic, while compensation per hour increased slightly over the same period."

Across the OECD the economic picture (insolvencies, unemployment, income, spending, GDP) was equally dismal in 2020 and is not much better in 2021 due to a continuation of the pandemic prevention measures. In fact, the mandatory and quasi-mandatory vaccine requirements introduced throughout the EU, US, Canada, Australia, New Zealand and many other OECD countries will result in even more hardship and unemployment than in 2020.

Behind these cold numbers lie an ocean of hardship for billions of people across the planet. And while the vast majority suffers the system seizes ever more resources, market share and profits for it cannot exert absolute control over the population unless it has absolute control over resources. The accumulation of capital and control over resources during the plandemic shows a veritable theft of the global commons.

Corporate profits in the US rose 4.3% to a record high of USD 2.54 trillion in the third quarter of 2021, following record increases in the first and second quarters.




Globally, the trend is similar and Fortune sums it up as follows:

"The Global 500 shrank a little over the past year. After reaching a record high of \$33.3 trillion in the 2020 edition, total revenue for the world's biggest companies fell 4.8% to \$31.7 trillion this year. It was the first decline in half a decade. The culprit, of course, was COVID-19, which slammed huge swaths of the global economy as countries went into lockdown. Cumulative sales in both the energy and automotive sectors, for example, fell by more than 10%. And all six of the airlines that appeared in last year's ranking failed to make the cut this year. Despite the setbacks, the heft of the Global 500 remains formidable: The combined sales of the companies on the list are equal to more than one-third of global GDP."

The global economy is being reshaped according to the sustainability agenda, whereby the corporations aligned with the system receive an ever-greater share of the global economy and unlimited market access and financial support while those who do not conform, rely on fossil fuels or happen to be in non-essential industries are left wayside. by the Pharma, cloud computing and ecommerce have emerged as the biggest winners while leisure and hospitality, airlines, and oil and gas were hit the hardest.

Big tech companies lead stock market winners

Companies with net market cap gain of more than \$1bn in 2020, by sector. Circle size shows market cap added YTD*, top 100 highlighted, top 25 labelled





What does the evidence show in terms of the pandemic and its prevention?

The evidence shows that there was no pandemic since there were no excess deaths in 2020 anywhere on the planet, which is why the system to this day hides the 2020 all-cause mortality statistics; why politicians party like never before behind closed doors and don't give a hoot about the pandemic prevention measures they impose on the hapless population; why countries such as Italy are adjusting their Covid-19 death count downward by more than 95%; why the WHO changed the definition of a pandemic in 2009 to no longer include the words "enormous numbers of deaths and illness" and to no longer require a new sub-type of virus to which the population has no immunity; why the WHO has yet to provide a formal definition of pandemic influenza; why since 2009 the WHO has declared Public Health Emergencies of International Concern (PHEIC), the technical name for a pandemic, six times and none prior; and why health authorities can now state with impunity that Sars-Cov-2 meets the scientific criteria for declaring a pandemic and for taking harsh pandemic preventive measures in the absence of a real pandemic.

And since the 2020 mortality rate was normal then no one can convincingly speak of a killer virus on the loose, which is why to this day no one can provide scientific evidence of SARS-Cov-2's existence but only fluff; why all <u>freedom of information requests about the existence of this virus come back empty-handed</u>; why the <u>SARS-Cov-2 virus has never been isolated</u>; why ministries of health <u>cannot provide cultures of the virus</u>; why the system has engaged <u>unprecedented and illegal censorship</u> measures to silence any and all experts who state that the virus is only a fiction; and why <u>science has lost the public's trust</u>. It is indeed an invisible enemy because it does not exist and its non-existence has been <u>clearly proved in 5 points of evidence</u> or in <u>114</u> and if I had time to waste I can easily provide 500 points of evidence.

What about the pandemic prevention measures? Two years into the pandemic and the data shows that the number of infections and deaths is not decreasing despite all the "preventive" measures taken and the 7.6 billion vaccine doses administered globally so far.





US data shows that <u>vaccines have had no impact on infections and deaths</u>. Of course none of the data presented to the public can be trusted as all of it is pure fiction, for how else can the same disease have such <u>wildly different mortality rates even among the 20 most affected countries</u>, whereby Mexico shows a 7.6% fatality rate while Turkey just 0.9%.

All-cause mortality, however, is much higher in 2021 than in previous years (if the stats are to be trusted) not only across the EU but also in the US and other regions and the increase in mortality coincides with the vaccine roll out, which is sound evidence that Covid-19 vaccines are depopulation weapons and that the so-called prevention measures are damaging and increasingly deadly.

The goal posts of policy makers with respect to the pandemic have changed constantly showing a complete lack of sincerity and a shameless propensity to deceive the public to accept increasingly intrusive and damaging measures whose outcome have nothing to do with saving lives and everything to do with destroying livelihoods and killing as many people as possible for the sake of achieving the true objectives of the plandemic, depopulation and decarbonization. While at first the pandemic rhetoric was to flatten the curve, later it became protecting the vulnerable, then preventing a new wave, then achieving herd immunity then 70% immunization rate, and last full and mandatory vaccination at all costs without any explanation or justification and in violation of any and all legal considerations and impediments. To fit these changing goal posts the authorities have changed the definitions of the following medical terms: vaccine, herd immunity, pandemic, fully vaccinated.

Forcing an experimental <u>genetic therapy</u> on the entire world under the ambit of an <u>emergency</u> <u>authorization</u> would have been legally impossible, even in the current era of legal relativism, without changing the definition of a vaccine.

"In September 2021, the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention shocked medical experts by changing the definition of a vaccine from "a product that produces immunity therefore protecting the body from the disease," to "a preparation that is used to stimulate the body's immune response against diseases."

Just as declaring Covid-19, a non-existent or at best a harmless virus, a pandemic would have been impossible under the previous WHO definition, which stated:

"... when a new influenza virus appears against which the human population has no immunity, resulting in several, simultaneous epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness."

And just as 'fully vaccinated' no longer means two shots of an mRNA vaccine, but a booster also according to British PM Boris Johnson and French President Emmanuel Macron.


This is a pandemic of <u>contrived language</u>, <u>embezzled science</u>, <u>suppressed science</u>, <u>fraudulent data</u>, <u>misleading stats</u>, <u>political abuses</u>, <u>state propaganda</u>, <u>media lies</u>, <u>blatant censorship</u>, <u>constantly</u> moving goal posts, and the <u>false rhetoric of ethos</u>, <u>logos</u>, <u>pathos</u>.


Not surprisingly, scientists with an ounce of conscience left are speaking out and are calling for an end to this global fraud. The Great Barrington Declaration, launched by infectious disease epidemiologists and public health specialists, has been signed by 870,000 scientists, doctors and medical staff from around the world and puts governments and their secret committees of "specialists" and their secret interests against the wall. It is game over for the credibility of national and international authorities.

What does the evidence show in terms of vaccines?

It shows that they <u>do not prevent infection</u>, <u>transmission</u> (not even <u>in home settings</u> or <u>prisons</u>), or <u>death</u> and that, at best, <u>increases in Covid-19 are unrelated to levels of vaccinations across 68 countries and 2947 counties in the United States</u>. How could they if there is no Covid-19 virus! Furthermore <u>their effectiveness declines rapidly</u>. So, what do they do then? The evidence show that they are causing a real pandemic.

The most vaccinated <u>countries</u> and <u>provinces</u> have the highest number of infections. Deaths have exploded as soon as the vaccination began. What we now have is a pandemic of the fully vaccinated as <u>data from 185 nations shows that the most vaccinated countries have the highest number of Covid infections and deaths.</u>






This global study draws the following conclusions:


- 1. "The incidence of cases increases fairly linearly with the percentage of vaccinated people at a rate of 800 cases per million per extra percentage vaccinated.
- 2. Heavily vaccinated countries (over 60%) have 3x the case rates of lightly vaccinated countries (under 20%) and have 7x the case rates of very lightly vaccinated countries (under 10%).
- 3. Raw death rates from Covid-19 increase with vaccination percentage from 0% to 50-60% and then decrease thereafter. Heavily vaccinated countries (over 60%) have twice the Covid-19 death rates of lightly vaccinated countries.
- 4. Each percentage of vaccination increases the death rate by around 6 deaths per million
- 5. A 2nd Jab offers no significant benefit over a 1st jab."

The vaccine databases are off the charts with adverse effects and deaths. The <u>latest VAERS</u> (through November 5, 2021) report shows 875,651 cases of adverse reactions of which 97,715 required urgent care, 91,982 required hospitalization, 29,104 were permanently disabled and 18,461 died. And since only <u>1 or at most 10 in 100 cases is reported</u> we can safely surmise that 180,000 people have died from the vaccine in the US alone.

The history of deaths due to vaccines shows a meteoric rise since Covid vaccines began.



And it shows that the vast majority of vaccinated people die within 48 hours after which deaths stretch almost equally for an entire month.



How many people die within three or six months no one knows because the authorities do not collect this data or do not reveal it. How many people will die within the next 3, 5 or 10 years from the vaccines will never be known because no such safety studies were made. But given the many

ways in which the vaccines damage human health we can expect a steep rise in mortality rates in all heavily vaccinated populations. The system is attempting to cover this up by forcibly vaccinating the entire global population (<u>Austria being the first country to announce mandatory vaccination</u>) so that comparisons with unvaccinated populations can no longer be made.

Data from John Hopkins University Coronavirus Resource Center show that <u>as soon as the vaccination began the death rate shot up in every country without exception</u>. This <u>video compilation</u> shatters the system's propaganda and lies that "vaccines are safe and effective", as it clearly shows the explosion of deaths in every country as soon as the vaccination program was rolled out.

As of October 19, <u>data from Eudra Vigilance</u>, Europe's vaccine adverse reactions database, shows that 28,103 people have died as a result of a Covid-19 vaccine and 2,637,525 have been injured, half of those seriously. Once again we must keep in mind that just 1 to 10% of all adverse reactions and deaths are actually reported.

The extent to which Europe's EudraVigilance and America's VAERS are underreporting is made painfully evident by a recent leak from the UK's Office for National Statistics, which has inadvertently revealed that 35,924 people have died in England within 21 days of having a Covid-19 vaccine during the first 8 months of 2021. Considering that England has a population of 53 million and the EU has 448 million the real number of deaths in the EU would have to be circa 8.5 times higher than the 28,103 deaths recorded by EudraVigilance, therefore circa 237,550 deaths. Likewise, considering that the population of the US is 330 million its true number of deaths would have to be 6.2 times higher than the 18,461 deaths reported, therefore we can safely assume that circa 114,458 people have died from Covid vaccines in the US.

Applying the same measuring stick across the world we can safely conclude that at least 1.5 million people have died as a result of Covid vaccines worldwide and some 15 million have been crippled. As horrible as that is, in terms of depopulation that is just a drop in the bucket and statistically almost insignificant for a population of 7,9 billion at the latest count. Keep in mind that 385,000 babies are born every day in the world. The people killed by vaccines in one year have been replaced in just four days by newborns.

If Covid vaccines are meant to be an effective method of depopulation, which we must assume they are given the system's desperation to vaccinate as many people as possible, the deaths incurred so far must be just the tip of the iceberg and the system (1) either intends to kill a greater proportion with every booster shot or (2) every vaccinated person is programmed for premature death but when death comes is dictated by the immune response, age, health and fitness of every individual. In other words, the system will either kill more people with every booster administered or every vaccine administered is a depopulation vaccine that will eventually result in death. If the first hypothesis is true than only a small fraction of all vaccines kills while the rest are harmless placebos. If the second hypothesis is true than all vaccines kill but death can come suddenly or after weeks, months, years or even decades depending on the individual.

Let's see what the evidence shows.

Hypothesis One: Universal Vaccination, Random Death

Supporting the hypothesis that only some vaccines kill is this study which shows that just 5% of the vaccine batches caused 100% of the deaths. This makes perfect sense as a way to target specific populations, for instance the elderly, and specific regions, those that are dangerously overpopulated or threaten key environmental areas. It also allows governments to easily hide their crimes. If every vaccinated person were to drop dead within a few days the depopulation by vaccination program would come to a sudden and futile end after the death of a relatively small number of people since no substantial number of people can be vaccinated simultaneously.

A second indicator is the <u>irrationally large number of vaccines developed nations have pre-ordered</u>. Canada, for instance, has ordered 9.6 vaccine dozes per person, the UK 5.5 dozes, the US 3.7 dozes, and the EU 3.5. This makes no sense whatsoever if we are to take governments by their word and believe that vaccines are effective. If they are effective then we wouldn't need more than two dozes for the mRNA vaccines from Pfizer and Moderna for a complete vaccination course, the same for Astra Zeneca, and no more than one doze for the Johnson & Johnson vaccine. The only rationale for such a high number of vaccine dozes is that governments have planned long in advance to vaccinate the population every six months with boosters. And this is exactly what we are seeing, which is why the definition of "fully vaccinated" has changed and now requires a booster shot every six months in several countries and soon probably throughout the West, or at the very least in every overpopulated country of the West.

A third indicator is the equally irrational insistence of governments, the WHO and the UN system that everyone has to get vaccinated (even though the authorities have admitted and research shows vaccines do not and cannot stop infection, transmission, hospitalization and death) and more recently that everyone has to receive boosters every six months to maintain their "fully vaccinated" status.

A fourth indicator is that all "choices" people are given to force them to accept vaccination are false choices as they all lead to premature death, permanent sterilization or shortened life expectancy. The criteria for obtaining a vaccine passport also provide only three false choices: (1) vaccines and boosters, (2) repeated PCR tests, (3) or social exclusion, the first two leading to premature death and therefore aiding the depopulation program while the third one aids the decarbonization program because it curbs consumption. Natural immunity is excluded and there is no logical explanation why it should be excluded unless of course the goal is that no one escapes premature death, permanent sterilization or shortened life expectancy. If one were to get a vaccine passport / QR code for natural immunity then neither the depopulation nor the decarbonization program would be aided.

And a fifth and last indicator, is the false choice the system is limiting the people to by controlling and manipulating all media channels: the mainstream, social, and alternative. Those who believe the official narrative get their information only from the mainstream media and therefore from "credible sources" and get vaccinated and in so doing are sterilized, killed quickly or programmed for an unnatural and premature death. Through their choice they aid the depopulation objective.

Those who are skeptical of the official narrative get their information from the social and alternative media which are dominated by anti-vaccine groups and information that is produced almost exclusively by controlled opposition or by manipulated opposition who are recognizable by their refusal to deny the existence of Covid-19 and by their fixation on Ivermectin and Hydroxychloroquine as supposedly safe and therefore "suppressed" drugs to treat Covid-19 infections, when in fact they are both potent sterilizers created by the system to render the population of the developing world infertile while supposedly providing a cure for malaria and other zoonotic diseases. If they fall for the Ivermectin / Hydroxychloroquine cure / prevention deception these people will get sterilized and thus aid the depopulation program. But they also aid the decarbonization program because they are limited to essential services only and cannot consume at will.

Incidentally, the reason the system has used censorship to divide and contain the credulous/vaccinated on the established social media (Facebook, Twitter, LinkedIn, YouTube) and the incredulous/unvaccinated on newly created social media (Telegram, Gab, Orbys, MeWe, Odyssey, Bitchute) is to feed each group false information to take advantage of each group's predispositions and predilections, prevent the incredulous from convincing the credulous, but also to create a lasting social divide and therefore continue to use this strategy of depopulation and decarbonization until everyone succumbs to it in one way or another.

The insistence that everyone has to get vaccinated with a Covid-19 vaccine, and the manipulation to use sterilizing drugs as a cure for Covid-19, allows the system to reach 100% of the population and to damage either the reproductive or immune system and thus sterilize, kill or shorten lifespans for depopulation purposes. The universality of this approach allows the system to ethically justify this controlled potential global genocide on the argument that everybody is subjected to the same rules and therefore no one can invoke privileges to escape the program. This would be equivalent to the morality of God especially if the distribution of the deadly vaccine batches were random and no one could or would know in advance if a deadly vaccine or a placebo were injected in one's blood every six months at booster time. Since religious authorities are in charge of the moral/ethical aspect of the depopulation program, this solution would absolve all those involved in this global genocide of criminal responsibility since they themselves would be subject to the same random and universal depopulation methodology. In a sense, who lives and who dies is left in God's hands not man's. This approach would reduce the global population by half or more rather quickly and would allow the existing system to continue for another century or two.

The primary advantage of universal vaccination from the system's point of view is that it would allow governments to cover up any and all vaccine fatalities as there would be no unvaccinated people to compare morbidity and mortality rates with. And that is precisely why many governments have made the first step towards mandatory and universal vaccination, Austria being the first, and why Ursula van der Leyen, the President of the European Commission, is asking EU member states to implement mandatory vaccination. Once mandatory and universal vaccination is in place governments have a free reign to euthanize as many people as they want every year and blame it on new variants or new viruses that will in turn be blamed on climate change, as it is

<u>already being done</u>, or other ridiculous reasons. Of course, it is no coincidence that mandatory vaccination is being introduced in the EU and so far only in the EU since <u>Europe is the continent</u> with the worst old-age burden, the <u>highest median age (42 years)</u>, and with <u>the most underfunded and imperiled pension funds</u>. The rest of the developed world, however, will soon follow suit since they too face collapsing pension funds, <u>unsustainable old-age burdens</u> and ageing populations.

Hypothesis Two: Partial Vaccination, Selective Death

Supporting the hypothesis that every vaccinated person is programmed for premature death is data showing mortality is on the rise in both the US and the EU since vaccinations began, and probably elsewhere too, but also that morbidity is sharply on the rise among all age groups, as attested by the thousands of testimonies on the social media, which appear faster than the censors can disappear them, and that in the meantime have found shelter from censors in the <u>Testimonies Project</u> from Israel and the <u>No More Silence</u> project from North America.

The data from Europe shows that all-cause mortality in 2021 in the 29 countries of the EU for people ages 15 to 44 is 85% higher than in 2020 and 440% higher than in 2019; for ages 45 to 65 it is 62% higher than in 2020 and 431% higher than in 2019; and for ages 65 to 74 it is 41% higher than in 2020 and 374% higher than in 2019. If this data is accurate than this alarming increase in mortality can only be attributed to the 70% vaccination rate that the EU as a whole boasts, as that is the only change that occurred during this timeframe. Similarly, the data from the US shows that the cumulative all-cause mortality in 2021 is 48% higher than in 2020, but for ages 25-44 it is 85% higher. And data from the UK shows that the vaccinated are six-times more likely than the unvaccinated to die of all causes, while another report shows that all-cause mortality has gone up 15%.

More worrisome still is the increase in child mortality and the best data we have so far in this respect comes from the UK's Office for National Statistics and shows that deaths among female children aged 10 to 14 have increased 57% against the five-year-average since children were first offered Covid-19 vaccines, and by 44% for both boys and girls ages 10-14. Compare that with near zero mortality (99.995%) among children infected with Covid-19 and a clear picture emerges of just how lethal and pointless Covid-19 vaccines are for children.

It is no wonder that 13,000 American physicians and scientists have signed a <u>petition</u> asking the US government to halt the vaccination of children and all authoritarian public health measures. Nor is it any wonder that doctors and scientists from around the world have signed a <u>declaration</u> to stop government interference in medicine.

Further evidence supporting the second hypothesis, namely that every vaccine may lead to an eventual but delayed death, comes from the "Covid-19 Vaccine Surveillance Report Week 42" issued by the UK Health Security Agency. On page 23 of this report, we find an extraordinary admission "that N antibody levels appear to be lower in individuals who acquire infection following 2 doses of vaccination", which means that the vaccines interfere with the body's innate

ability to produce antibodies against not just the spike protein but also other pieces of the virus. The report goes on to say that vaccinated people don't seem to be producing antibodies to the nucleocapsid protein, the shell of the virus, which are a crucial part of the response in unvaccinated people. In the long run, the vaccinated will be far more vulnerable to any future mutations in the spike protein even if they have already been infected and recovered once or more than once.

This vulnerability was <u>already evident in May</u> in the hospitals and statistics of the most heavily vaccinated countries at that time (Seychelles, UAE, Chile, Bahrain), which registered an increase of infections and deaths not a decrease as promised by medical authorities. If governments were interested in stopping the pandemic, as they assert, they would have ended the vaccination program there and then, but the opposite has happened because the objective is to depopulate not save lives. As a result, the vulnerability of the most vaccinated countries is even more obvious today. Doctors <u>in Belgium</u>, for instance, are reporting that 100% of the infected in hospital ICUs are vaccinated now, but the mainstream media is reporting the opposite.

In the meantime, there are more than 150 studies showing the adverse effects of Covid-19 vaccines and more than 128 studies affirming that naturally acquired immunity is more robust than vaccine acquired immunity, but no government and certainly not the WHO or the UN system are changing course, but just the opposite, they are pushing for the entire world to be vaccinated and have upped their targets so that 40% of the entire world is to be vaccinated by the end of 2021 and 70% by mid-2022. Furthermore, there is no single instance of an unvaccinated, naturally immune person transmitting the Covid-19 virus to another individual but there are plenty of documented instances of vaccinated people transmitting the virus, as here (in the US, Massachusetts), here (in Vietnam), and here (in the US, Wisconsin).

The vaccine damage reported and studied to date shows a multitude of pathways by which human health is damaged, death hurried and new life prevented.

1. Immune escape

Indiscriminate vaccination is reducing the diversity of strains and producing dominant variants, hence only Delta left and <u>breakthrough infections are now most common as Omicron</u>. Vaccines have caused the "<u>emergence of variants harboring mutations in spike</u>, <u>the main target of neutralizing antibodies</u>". Continuing with <u>mass vaccination will only push the evolutionary capacity of SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein</u> towards more infectious and lethal variants.

2. Antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE)

While an infected individual will still have a robust immune reaction, it will be less effective against a new strain while also paving the way for ADE-induced infection. This has been twice confirmed by using Covid-19 convalescent-phase plasma and through replication in macrophages.

3. Th2 immunopathology or Th17 immunopathology (rogue responses)

By which a faulty T cell response triggers allergic inflammation or poorly functional antibodies that form immune complexes, activating the complement system and potentially damaging the airways.

4. **Autoimmunity** (endothelium damage and blood clots)

Recent <u>research shows</u> "extensive immune cross-reactivity between SARS-CoV-2 antibodies and different antigen groups may play a role in the multi-system disease process of COVID-19, influence the severity of the disease, precipitate the onset of autoimmunity in susceptible subgroups, and potentially exacerbate autoimmunity in subjects that have pre-existing autoimmune diseases".

5. Neurological damage (prion disease)

British research shows an <u>increased risk of Guillain–Barré syndrome</u>, <u>Bell's palsy</u>, and <u>hemorrhagic stroke</u> in those who received COVID-19 vaccines.

More alarmingly, a study by American immunologist Bart Classen, found that "the spike protein, created by the translation of the vaccine RNA, binds angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), a zinc containing enzyme. This interaction has the potential to increase intracellular zinc. Zinc ions have been shown to cause the transformation of TDP-43 to its pathologic prion configuration. The folding of TDP-43 and FUS into their pathologic prion confirmations is known to cause ALS, front temporal lobar degeneration, Alzheimer's disease and other neurological degenerative diseases. The enclosed finding as well as additional potential risks leads the author to believe that regulatory approval of the RNA based vaccines for SARS-CoV-2 was premature and that the vaccine may cause much more harm than benefit."

6. Molecular damage to adaptive immunity

Swedish researchers have found that "the SARS–CoV–2 spike protein significantly inhibits DNA damage repair, which is required for effective V(D)J recombination in adaptive immunity...the spike protein localizes in the nucleus and inhibits DNA damage repair by impeding key DNA repair protein BRCA1 and 53BP1 recruitment to the damage site...[this is] a potential molecular mechanism by which the spike protein might impede adaptive immunity and underscore the potential side effects of full-length spike-based vaccines".

7. Platelet priming

The Oxford-Astra Zeneca and the Johnson & Johnson vaccines in particular have been found to cause life-threatening blood clots that are now known as *vaccine-induced immune* thrombotic thrombocytopenia (VITT) possibly by the adenoviruses these vaccines use "as a vector to shuttle a gene encoding a coronavirus spike protein into human cells. Once there, the gene is expressed and the protein is made. The immune system detects the spike and generates antibodies against it that are crucial for protecting against coronavirus infection" but that also seem "to bind to and activate platelets, priming them to clump together and trigger clotting". But mRNA vaccines have also been found to cause blood clotting and VITT. A different process of platelet priming by Covid-19 vaccines is described here.

8. Coagulation priming

The spike protein alone can and does cause blood clots. A <u>British study</u> found that: "Increased risks of haematological and vascular events that led to hospital admission or death were observed for short time intervals after first doses of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 and BNT162b2 mRNA vaccines." Furthermore, a <u>Chinese study</u> "reveals that S protein alone can damage endothelium, manifested by impaired mitochondrial function and eNOS

activity but increased glycolysis. It appears that S protein in ECs increases redox stress which may lead to AMPK deactivation, MDM2 upregulation, and ultimately ACE2 destabilization". Confirming this is an American study which concluded that "endothelial damage is a central part of SARS-CoV2 pathology and may be induced by the spike protein alone". A most recent American study has found that mRNA vaccines dramatically increase endothelial inflammatory markers. The explosion of myocarditis and pericarditis post vaccination, especially in adolescents, confirms these findings and have prompted Japanese medical authorities to issue a warning.

9. Sterilization and miscarriages

There is mounting evidence that the vaccines sterilize and cause miscarriages. A confidential <u>Japanese report</u> on the pharmacokinetics of the Pfizer vaccine shows high concentrations of liponanoparticles in the ovaries and testies, which suggests dire consequences for reproduction. Although the <u>censorship of science and of medical staff</u> on this issue is unprecedented some <u>studies are already showing an increase in spontaneous abortions</u> post vaccination and obstetricians are sounding the alarm on an unprecedentedly high number of miscarriages post vaccination. UK researchers have found that <u>one in five</u> women experience changes in their menstrual cycles after receiving a Covid-19 vaccine.

These pathways provide mechanisms for mid- and long-term pathologies that will affect human health and cause death for decades to come through pathogen priming, multisystem inflammations and autoimmunity through spike protein on biological membranes and platelets, immune weakening, activation of latent virus infections, immune escape, the emergence of new varieties of SARS-Cov-2, integration of the spike protein gene in human DNA (continuous overload of S-Protein, genetic instability, cancer risk), neurodegeneration, prion diseases and functional neurological disorders (FNDs), allergic reactions and anaphylaxis.

The long-term implications of Covid vaccine damage will haunt mankind for decades to come and destroy the reputation of medicine forever.

Making matters worse is leaked information that Pfizer has falsified its vaccine trial data or that at the very least its practices lack integrity and therefore the safety of its vaccine is under question. This was followed by more revelations that Pfizer falsified its six-months trial data by leaving out crucial information, lowering the number of deaths, stopping the collection of information about deaths after March 13, and vaccinating all its placebo recipients to make further comparisons impossible. Comparing Pfizer's report with the FDA's "Summary Basis for Regulatory Action", which explains why it granted full approval to Pfizer's Covid-19 vaccine, a discrepancy in the reported number of deaths (see page 23) was observed for vaccine recipients with Pfizer reporting just 15 to the public while to the FDA it reported 21. And the latest revelations show that Pfizer removed at least one child from the trial who was injured by the experimental vaccine.

This evidence of malfeasance has prompted Swedish doctors to sign <u>a petition</u> calling for an immediate halting of Covid-19 vaccinations using Pfizer's mRNA injections. And some governments have started suspending vaccines for children: <u>Taiwan</u> (suspends second Pfizer shot), <u>Scandinavian countries</u> (suspend Moderna vaccines), <u>Slovenia</u> (suspends Jansen vaccine).

Despite the clear evidence that Covid-19 vaccines kill and maim most governments have only intensified their pressure to vaccinate their entire populations, the mainstream media has made its propaganda even more surreal and aggressive, and so-called medical authorities are spinning and hiding the ever-more damning scientific evidence that comes out faster and more convincing than ever against vaccines.

On the one hand, we have a tsunami of information about the lethality of vaccines, which shows that: athletes are dropping at a rate that is 60 times higher since the vaccine roll out; keeping track of fallen athletes is becoming impossible but the latest tally shows that 310 athletes have suffered cardiac arrests and 177 have died after being vaccinated; perfectly healthy soldiers are sidelined by myocarditis damage after being vaccinated; in the general population the vaccinated are 27 more likely than the unvaccinated to get symptomatic Covid than the unvaccinated; breakthrough cases are through the roof in Arizona; 83% of Covid-19 deaths in the UK were among the fully vaccinated according to the latest public data; Pfizer "vaccine" kills 200 to "save" one and therefore "the risks attached to Covid "vaccine" far outweigh any theoretical reward; the most vaccinated continent on earth, Europe, is once again at the epicenter of the pandemic; the most vaccinated place on earth, Gibraltar, is once again shutting down due to exponential rise in Covid cases; 21 studies show that vaccine mandates provide no health benefits to the community and 20 studies show that vaccine mandates are not based on science; children are dying and no one investigates or is held accountable; there are now 2,525 fetal deaths recorded in VAERS from pregnant women who have been injected with one of the COVID-19 shots according to the National Vaccine Information Center; footballers are dropping dead during the games at rates never before seen; world class athletes are being diagnosed with myocarditis.

On the other hand, we have a complete disconnect of governments from the damage they cause and evidence of criminality the likes of which we have not seen since the days of fascism: the British government continues to insist that all its eligible citizens must get their booster shot; the authorities delete studies that show vaccines cause myocarditis in children; conflicts of interest are being ignored; the law is changed every time a court of law finds the vaccine roll out to be illegal, as has happened in New Zealand; booster shots are becoming mandatory for the elderly in France and the UK and soon elsewhere; the inventor of the mRNA platform, Dr. Robert Malone, has been deleted from Wikipedia and banned from the New England Journal of Medicine; unvaccinated employees are being suspended, such as 800 airline employees in Canada or 4000 health care workers in New Zealand, but the groups that fight back, such as Quebec's health-care workers, force the authorities to rescind the vaccine mandate or to stay them, as in the case of the Chicago police; the "health" authorities want more boosters in Australia; doctors are being banned from giving medical exemptions to Covid vaccines, and religious exemptions are being denied; the Latvian Parliament banned lawmakers who refuse the COVID-19 vaccine from voting on legislature and participating in parliamentary discussions; the European Commission bans MEPs from entering the European Parliament because they refuse to be vaccinated; MEPs have to sue to and gain entry into the European Parliament by court order, the Polynesian Vice-President dismissed from office for refusing the Covid-19 vaccine; governments hide the vaccine contracts they have signed and have to be sued to release them; the executives refuse to provide answer to parliamentary questions and data requests; vaccine injured people are abandoned and ignored by

governments; doctors refuse to sign vaccine injury forms; zero dollars have been paid in Covid vaccine claims even though they have caused the biggest spike in vaccine injuries and deaths in history; scientists call for a rethink of the vaccine policy only to be censored and have their papers deleted; unvaccinated senior scientists within the institutions of state are being threatened with losing their job and license; official committees, like Europe's Pharmacovigilance Risk Assessment Committee (PRAC), downplay the evidence that Covid vaccines cause myocarditis and pericarditis and deny that they cause multisystem inflammatory syndrome even though the reported cases of these illnesses on Europe's vaccine adverse reactions database, Eudra Vigilance, have exploded; the European Commissioner for Internal Market, Thierry Bretton, whose role is to "promote the free movement of people, goods, services and capital" within the EU, is going around Europe coercing governments to imprison their unvaccinated citizens in their homes until everyone gets vaccinated, and Romania is the most recent country he visited to this end; Bill Gates bought the media's silence with hundreds of millions of dollars; despite a 63% vaccination rate, and clear evidence that the more people get vaccinated the more infections there are, Austria imposes a 20-day long nationwide lockdown starting November 22 and mandatory Covid-19 vaccinations starting February 2022; the newest international target set by the WHO and the UN, is to vaccinate 40% of the population of all countries by the end of 2021 and 70% by middle of 2022; the FDA asks court to grant it 55 years to release data on Pfizer's Covid-19 vaccine.

This level of tyranny has of course generated unprecedented backlash from the people on both sides of the Atlantic, who see themselves forced to organize, demonstrate, sue, recreate civil society, and even prepare for civil war: more than half of US states have sued the Biden administration for its Covid-19 mandate; increasingly more groups, be they police, doctors, nurses, firemen, dockworkers, national guard, or airmen refuse to enforce the vaccine mandate; increasingly more people from all walks of life are calling out the genocide, be they nuns (Mother Miriam), cardinals (Carlo Maria Vigano), nurses, doctors (Dr. Vladimir Zelenko, Dr. Robert Malone, Dr. Shankara Chetti, Dr. Marc Wathelet, Dr. Linda Wastila, Dr. Sadaf Gilani, Dr. Peter McCullough), monks (Alexis Bugnolo), lawyers (Thomas Renz (USA), Reiner Fuellmich (Germany), Rocco Galati (Canada); the New York rabbinical court has absolutely prohibited the vaccination of children, young men and women with Covid-19 vaccines; a Basque tribunal suspends the health pass for violating fundamental rights; respected scholars (Peter Doshi and Retsef Levi) have publicly stated that the COVID vaccine trial data do not support the claim that the vaccines are "safe and effective"; respected professors (Martin Kulldorff and Jay Bhattacharya) have publicly accused Dr. Anthony Fauci of bungling the government's response to COVID-19 by getting "major epidemiology and public health questions wrong"; common citizens are saving vital information from censorship; increasingly more people are protesting and organizing and exposing the global genocide, as in Switzerland, Europe in general, the US, Canada, Australia, and indeed around the world; hundreds of thousands organize nation-wide strike over vaccine mandates and a US worker rebellion is fighting vaccine mandates; parents and children express their fury and disgust in public protests across the planet from the US to Australia; children have to sue the authorities to breathe freely at school; legal organizations sue governments (Justice Centre in Canada); courts declare the vaccine mandate illegal and unconstitutional, as in Belgium, and the lockdown unconstitutional, as in Spain; the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) accuses the Canadian government of crimes against humanity and gross violations of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms; citizen activists reveal every aspect of the plandemic crimes, be they legal and procedural or psychological and moral; common people refuse to follow the ridiculous and abusive restrictions even if it results in arrests; presidents and high officials are coming to the defense of the people, such as President Lukashenko of Belarus, President Bolsonaro of Brazil, and especially of children, such as Jorge Alcocer, the Health Minister of Mexico; doctors are creating new organizations to be able to be heard, organizations such as the World Council for Health, Front Line Covid-19 Critical Care Alliance (FLCCC), The Unity Project, and are joining existing groups that mitigate for medical freedom, groups such as Children's Health Defense; independent lawyers have challenged the EU authorities at the General Court of the European Union; the French Senate has rejected the proposal law on compulsory vaccinations; North Korea has rejected offer of almost 3 million Covid vaccines; a dedicated website has been created to track lawsuits and bills against vaccine mandates, as there are literally hundreds such lawsuits and bills in the US alone; a town in California has declared itself a constitutional republic to avoid vaccine mandates; 20% of America's intelligence officers have refused to get vaccinated; American parents sue the US Department of Health; and 2500 Croatian men, many of whom serve in the police and army, have formed a volunteer battalion to send their government a clear message that unless it stops pushing vaccines and vaccine passports they will use force to prevent the genocide; riots have broken out throughout Europe and around the world and even in Brussels, Belgium, at the doorsteps of the EU quarters; and the people of Guadeloupe and Martinique, fed up by Covid restrictions, have declared war on the French government.

The last nail in the coffin of the system's depopulation by vaccination strategy comes in the form of the <u>Great Barrington Declaration</u>, launched by infectious disease epidemiologists and public health scientists from around the world, which to date has been signed by 870,000 scientists, doctors and medical staff from every nation on earth and shows that governments can no longer claim to follow the scientific consensus but are now isolated, embarrassed and vulnerable to political, legal and violent retribution.

It is clear by now that few countries will reach a 70% vaccination rate in 2021, the percentage given as sufficient to achieve herd immunity, and none will be able to repeat this feat in 2022 with boosters. The incredible efforts made by G20 nations to achieve herd immunity and the exorbitant cost paid in economic, political, social and legal terms have resulted in just 16 countries reaching herd immunity so far, which can only be described as a complete and utter disaster for the system. But this may well have been foreseen and a 100% vaccination rate may never have been the goal. If this is the case, then the underlying moral justification for the vaccination program is one based on selectivity rather than universality and is eugenic in nature rather than divine. In other words, the goal is to eliminate the least capable/fit from the face of the earth and to leave the most capable/fit alive to carry on and build a better civilization without the burden of the former. The vaccination program, therefore, is seeking to lower our civilization's load and to improve our species as well as its future survival chance by using three selection criteria: intelligence, strength, decency. Those incapable of logic are the first to fall victim as they line-up to get vaccinated, the weak-willed and the conventional the second, and the ignoble and self-serving the last. This process of selection not only improves our species but is also self-administered since every individual is confronted with the same set of circumstances,

pressures and access to information but is ultimately responsible for the choice he or she makes and is therefore alone to blame for failing this test by accepting vaccination and perishing. This approach would reduce the global population by a quarter within a decade or two in a self-selective manner that mirrors natural selection and would give mankind enough time to permanently address its existential problems this century.

This bizarre disconnect between governmental actions and public reactions, between official data and leaked data, and between the nonchalance of governments with respect to the death and destruction they cause versus the panic of citizens, hint at another hypothesis, namely that all Covid-19 vaccines are as fictitious as the Covid-19 virus itself and are meant simply to prolong a state of fear and panic among the populace so that consumption and emissions can be reduced in 2021 as much or nearly as much as in 2020 by continuing to apply pandemic prevention measures that are in fact consumption, emission and war prevention measures.

Hypothesis three: False Vaccination, Universal Fear

Given the length to which the system has gone to create and sustain the illusion of a pandemic it could very well be that the 2021 vaccine data is just as false as the 2020 Covid-19 data. No one is dying from the vaccines just as no one died from Covid-19. False 2021 vaccine data, like false 2020 Covid-19 data, are used to perpetuate the fear and extend the plandemic to continue to use it as a front for reducing consumption / emissions, which would mean that all Covid-19 vaccines are harmless placebos, no more than saline solution, or common flu vaccines, which is probably why vaccine manufacturers refuse to answer what kind of placebo they used for their trials and why the vaccine trial data is to be hidden for the next 55 years.

Is there evidence to support this hypothesis? Well, let's see! If neither the news nor the authorities can be trusted to provide reliable data then we have to rely on our own experience and observations, which tell me that there is no death and disease from vaccines in my circle of family, friends, acquaintances and neighbors, just as there was no death and disease from the virus last year (or this year for that matter). Two years into a supposed pandemic and I have yet to see a single victim in my rather large social circle in a country, Romania, that is supposed to be among the worst hit. And, as it so happens, I live in an apartment block full of old people, especially old ladies, none of whom are any worse for wear than they were last year and many of whom are vaccinated. My personal experience, therefore, tells me that the vaccine fear and panic like the Covid-19 fear and panic are 100% artificial and based on pure fiction, theater if not on a global than certainly on a national scale.

What about the nearly two million cases of adverse reactions on the VAERS, EudraVigilance and other databases? Any electronic database controlled by the system can conceivably be falsified by the system, especially with the help of artificial intelligence.

What about the hundreds of people whose video testimonies on sites such as the <u>Testimonies</u> <u>Project</u>, the <u>No More Silence</u> project, and the <u>Covid Vaccine Death and Injuries Depo</u> site who

describe various adverse reactions post vaccination or report the death of loved ones? While the vaccine adverse reactions databases may well be fed false and fabricated information, that many people cannot possibly be convinced to lie and deceive the world. True, they may not lie but may well be victims of the nocebo effect. Unlike the placebo effect, which occurs when positive expectations improve an outcome, the nocebo effect causes negative side effects to a "medication" that is actually an inert or harmless substance. If a person expects a negative reaction to a medication or vaccine that person can suffer that very reaction, but its origin is psychogenic (i.e., originating in the mind) rather than physical (i.e., originating in the body). Farfetched but still conceivable and mentioned by some medical professionals as a possible explanation. Hypochondria apparently affects about 5% of the population. With so much anxiety and uncertainty deliberately caused by the system the prevalence of psychosomatic disorders and hypochondria may well have doubled or tripled.

A second piece of evidence in support of this hypothesis is the deliberate separation of the vaccine skeptic from the vaccine compliant population that took place over the course of 2021 so the system could feed a different and tailor-made story to each faction. The vaccine compliant population, which gets its news from the mainstream media and the established social media (Facebook, Twitter, YouTube, Pinterest, Instagram, Tumblr, Flickr, TikTok, Medium, etc.), are told to fear the virus and the unvaccinated, while the vaccine skeptic population, which gets its news from the newly created alternative media (Telegram, Gab, MeWe, Odyssey, Bitchute, and so on) are told to fear the vaccine and the vaccinated. But just as the non-existent Covid-19 virus did not cause any excess infections and deaths in 2020 so the Covid-19 vaccines are not causing any excess infections and deaths in 2021. The idea then is to perpetuate the state of fear the system needs to keep extending the state of emergency and its restrictions and in this fashion continue to lower consumption.

Third, the many prominent experts active on the new social media (Geert van den Bossche, Robert Malone, Peter McCullough, Michael Yeadon, etc.) who speak against Covid-19 vaccines and have very impressive CVs do not question the existence of the virus, which a 12-year-old can easily prove is fictitious, and that means they either work for the system or have agreed to be limited by the system. Either way they do their part to stoke the fear among vaccine skeptics, just as the mainstream media pundits and government spokesmen stoke the fear among the vaccine compliant and Covid-fearing population.

In retrospect, we can see that we were set up to fear vaccination and baited as early as 2010 by Bill Gates in his TED speech, "<u>Innovating to Zero</u>", where he stated: "First we've got population. The world today has 6.8 billion people. That's headed up to about 9 billion. Now, if we do a really great job on new vaccines, health care, reproductive health services, we can lower that by perhaps 10 or 15%." (See minutes 4:30 to 4:50)

Fourth, government documents, like "<u>Wie wir Covid-19 unter Kontrolle bekommen</u>" ('*How we can bring Covid-19 under control*'), from the German Ministry of the Interior, show clearly that fear is the goal and spell out how to raise the fear of Covid-19 among the people, children included.

And a video from October 2019 of the Milken Institute Universal Flu Vaccine Conference shows Dr. Fauci and fellow globalists discussing how to make the flu more frightening for the general population (See minutes 40 to 44) so vaccine uptake is maximized.

Fifth, the vaccine death and disease data are flowing too quickly and too freely on the new alternative media to be credible, considering the universal censorship and the sophisticated and layered deception.


Sixth, because no death is being caused but just fear governments continue unconcerned and politicians have no qualms of conscience. If people really died en masse as a result of their decisions would any of these politicians still be sleeping at night? And would both national and international courts remain passive spectators? I rather doubt it, even in this day and age of state lawlessness.

Seventh, and last, it is hard to believe that nearly all heads of state and government in the world along with several of their ministers and thousands of politicians and functionaries could be convinced to participate in a global genocide. Most people lack the courage to do anything brave let alone be part of something as insane as mass murder. All people can, however, be convinced, or paid, to participate in a big show or a grand deception designed to have positive global outcomes, such as making progress on the 17 sustainable development goals, on which every country gets appraised by the UN bean counters.

The only fact that is real, but hardly anyone talks about, is that people are being sterilized and lifespans shortened throughout the world, though probably not with Covid vaccines as with the same endocrine disruptors that the system has been poisoning us with through the basic elements of life for decades, but that now come in greater quantities and from far more sources at and into us than ever before. On this note, India has just announced that its total fertility rate has dropped below replacement level in 2020-2021 for the first time in its long history and that is certainly no coincidence. (Most likely it is the wide distribution of Ivermectin, a well-known sterilizing drug, that helped India reach this milestone and certainly not Covid vaccines as they were not rolled out until 2021.) And China has recently announced that its birthrate has fallen to record lows. The same dismal birthrates have been recorded throughout the world in 2020, the only exception being sub-Saharan Africa, where the plandemic is about to be kickstarted with Omicron, the newest fictional strain of Covid-19, which was first announced 24 November 2021, and that will undoubtedly be followed by massive birth rate declines throughout the continent in 2022.

The Brookings Institute conveniently attributes the record low birthrate in the US, where 300,000 to 500,000 fewer children were born in 2020 than during previous years, to economic insecurity caused by Covid-19, but if that were the case the contraceptive prevalence rate and the abortion rate would reflect this historic change and that, of course, is not the case. The contraceptive prevalence rate was stable at 63% in 2020 and the abortion rate increased too little to account for the massive drop in births.

And in terms of lifespan we saw the same descending trend in 2020 throughout the <u>EU</u> and the <u>US</u>, where 1.5 years of life have been shaved off, as well as in <u>Russia</u>, where more than 2 years have been lost. In fact, a recent study shows that <u>life expectancy has declined sharply in 31 of 37 upper-middle and high-income countries</u> studied. <u>Japan</u> is the only notable exception, probably because of <u>filial piety</u> ("the attitude of obedience, devotion, and care toward one's parents and elder family members that is the basis of individual moral conduct and social harmony"), but this may change too in 2021.



Covid and the vaccines are just a distraction so we look in the wrong place while the system whacks us in a different way through a well-established method, that of chemical poisoning. If this is indeed true, as I suspect, we will know once the all-cause mortality and fertility stats for 2021 are released in 2022.

If this hypothesis is correct, then the vaccines like the plandemic are just pieces of a grand strategy to stoke fear and to elicit mass compliance through fear so as to constrain and lower per capita consumption and prevent war over resources in the short run and depletion of non-renewable resources in the long run. And this, of course, always has been the role of the UN and the international community. The tension created by splitting society into two opposing camps, the vaccinated versus the unvaccinated, is exploited to perpetuate constraints on mobility and consumption and to make life so miserable that the global population eventually comes to accept a new socio-economic system that is introduced piecemeal one step at a time.

What appears to be at first just a short-term solution is then made permanent by vaccine passports, which are just a prelude to biometric IDs that will allow the system to monitor, measure and limit the consumption of every individual on earth (children included) so as to bring every individual's carbon footprint, hence consumption, to an environmentally and politically acceptable minimum.

But getting to biometric IDs that limit individual consumption across the planet implies abandoning democracy and empowering a single global organization to coordinate and enforce such an anti-democratic regime worldwide. Therefore, discrediting and delegitimizing national governments and democracies – by showing how impotent they are in the face of existential threats and how incapable of protecting the will of the people and the rule of law – is the ultimate goal of the plandemic and the forced vaccination program, which pave the way for and make the creation and acceptance of a single global authority desirable to the chaos, illegality, secrecy, inequity and wastefulness of the current socio-political system and its unnecessary duplication by nearly 200 governments, none of which can be called democratic anymore.

To this end, the executive director of the WHO and the President of the European Council have already "called for <u>an international treaty on pandemic prevention and preparedness</u>" to supposedly "avert and respond to future health crises", but in fact to address the existing gaps in global governance.

To sum it up, Covid-19 is a front for forced vaccination, which is a pretext for the mandatory vaccine passport, which is a <u>Trojan horse for total surveillance and control</u>, which in turn delegitimizes democracies and national governments and paves the way for the creation of a global authority to deal with mankind's existential problems openly and fairly, but also firmly and undemocratically.

This approach has the advantage of staying within the letter if not the spirit of the law, as it commits no crimes against humanity and genocide, but merely uses fear as a steppingstone to a new system capable of tackling and solving mankind's existential problems.

Hypothesis four: Fluid Strategy

It could very well be that all three hypothesis are correct if the system changed course along the way once its plans were exposed. It may well have started with the "universal vaccination and random death" objective, but once universal vaccination could not be achieved it switched to the "partial vaccination and selective death" strategy, and since this readjusted plan met with increased opposition the system settled for the "false vaccination and universal fear" option.

Evidence that the system has changed its strategy is evident in the high death rate among the elderly at the beginning of the plandemic versus the normal death rate in 2021. It also comes in the form of Covid-19 drugs that are brought in to replace vaccines but are just as deadly. A case in point is Merck's Molnupiravir (sold under the brand Lagevrio), which is teratogenic (causes malformations or defect to an embryo or foetus), but has nevertheless given conditional approval in the EU and the UK, and will soon be approved in the US and elsewhere.

It could also be that overpopulated countries will pursue the first or the second option until they reach sustainable population levels according to the <u>Overshoot Index</u>, whereas countries that are

not in overshoot but have high consumption levels will pursue the third option until their consumption levels reach the desired average.

Whether the entire world is a theater of war (hypothesis 1 and 2), just a theater (hypothesis 3), or an improvised puppet play (hypothesis 4) we will know as soon as we have the all-cause mortality and natality statistics for 2021. In the meantime, we can assume that the system is trying to simultaneously accomplish its depopulation and decarbonization goals behind the front of the pandemic and with the aid of vaccines, as they are both necessary for sustainability. Indeed sustainability is not possible without: (1) population reduction (to reach optimal population levels in every country), (2) mass sterilization (to reduce the population of the developing world to replacement level fertility or lower), (3) shorter lifespans (to conclude the demographic transition in the developed world and prevent economic collapse), and (4) level consumption across the globe (to prevent war between nations and bring the developing world to a similar level of prosperity to the developed world without destroying the planet). These are the four grand unstated objectives without which fulfilling the stated 17 sustainable development goals is not possible.

What we know so far is that <u>vaccine immunity</u> and therefore has no effect on stopping the fictitious pandemic, which suggests that the true role of vaccines is not to stop any pandemic but to aid the depopulation program, the decarbonization program, or both. If vaccines are to aid the depopulation program they must either kill, sterilize or both, and if they are to aid the decarbonization program they must shorten lifespans and enable governments to track and limit per capita consumption.

If the pandemic is to advance the depopulation agenda without a killer virus and a killer vaccine then the only remaining alternative is through the fear and stress caused by the pandemic propaganda and restrictions that are indeed designed to subvert the foundations of good health, thus through passive methods that are not explicitly prohibited by any law or international convention. There is no legal impediment to causing fear to increase morbidity and mortality to shorten lifespans. And indeed, the evidence of passive methods of depopulation is clear. All so-called pandemic prevention measures are in fact illness promoting methods: isolation, home confinement, mask wearing, social distancing, prohibiting physical activity, PCR testing, constant washing with disinfectants, vaccination with experimental and unknown substances, each and every one of these measures weaken the immune system and all together create and sustain a high and prolonged level of stress. Thousands of studies show that "chronic stress has a significant effect on the immune system that ultimately manifest an illness"; isolation leads to serious health conditions; home confinement for extended quarantine periods deprive people of sunlight and therefore of vitamin D which results in a weakened immune system, "increased autoimmunity and an increased susceptibility to infection"; face masks deprive the body of oxygen and cause hypoxia, subject the brain to excess levels of CO2 that are 6 times higher than deemed safe, create a closed loophole for pathogens the body is trying to expel, are a source of contamination, and provide a perfect growth medium for bacteria subjecting the most vulnerable part of the body, the triangle of death, to inescapable overexposure to pathogens; social distancing has only negative psychological and physiological consequences; lack of physical activity "contribute to heart disease, type 2 diabetes, several cancers, and obesity"; nasopharyngeal PCR tests damage the olfactory ensheathing cells, destroy the blood-brain barrier, and shorten lifespan; constant use of disinfectants that contain powerful chemicals harms human health and can even cause asthma and other respiratory problems; and mass and coercive vaccination with experimental mRNA technology has caused more death and disease than any other vaccine in history.

The giveaway that vaccines have a depopulation purpose is the system's refusal to issue vaccine passports to those with natural immunity despite the fact that 128 studies affirm that naturally acquired immunity is more robust than vaccine acquired immunity; that there is no single instance of an unvaccinated, naturally immune person transmitting the Covid-19 virus to another individual but there are plenty of documented instances of vaccinated people transmitting the virus, as here (in the US, Massachusetts), here (in Vietnam), here (in the US, Wisconsin); and that breakthrough cases now outnumber infections among unvaccinated individuals. The authorities refuse to recognize natural immunity in order to subject people to only three choices: premature death by Covid vaccine, by repeated PCR tests, or by social exclusion.

What have we learned?

By looking at the vaccine evidence in its entirety we can discern three facts: **first**, scientific evidence that vaccines have no effect on stopping the plandemic and instead kill and maim is conclusive and growing daily; **second**, despite this scientific evidence governments are becoming ever more abusive, criminal and coercive in their drive to vaccinate everyone and are going further outside the law than any totalitarian government in history, which demonstrates that governments intentionally and deliberately want to kill as many people as possible and that they will stop at nothing as they are fully committed to completing a global depopulation genocide and constraining the consumption of every individual who survives; and, **third**, that people across the world are becoming more aware of the deception and angrier by the day and are demonstrating and organizing to stop the genocide and the global tyranny but to no effect since all peaceful methods of course correction have been destroyed and all democratic processes suspended.

Furthermore, depopulation by vaccination allows governments to target specific age groups (the elderly for immune system destruction and the young for reproductive system destruction), ethnic groups (for ethnic cleansing or to take over the coveted natural resources their lands sit on), political groups (to entrench power and prevent retribution), and civil groups (to extinguish democracy once and for all) for specific reasons and outcomes which replaces the program of depopulation by chemical poisoning that has been in use since 1945 but could not be used in a targeted or specific manner. The multipurpose aspect of the depopulation by vaccination methodology also allows the international system to address the inverted population pyramids of the rich world (by killing the elderly), the haystack shaped population pyramids of the middle-

income countries (by sterilizing the young and killing the old at the same time), and the flaring pyramids of the poor world (by sterilizing the young). No other method of depopulation can accomplish all of the above simultaneously and we must assume that the system will not abandon it without extraordinary opposition and sacrifices from the population at large. Indeed, only people power expressed across the globe in peaceful and violent ways alike can stop the globalist alliance and its all or nothing plan.

Whether the first, second or third plan is chosen, the outcome will be the same, since nobody will henceforth procreate freely, die of natural causes, and live to the end of his/her natural life until our civilization is brought back in harmony with the natural world.

What does the data show in terms of climate change?

First, the weather and climate data cannot necessarily be trusted as it is in the hands of a single organization, the National Climate Data Center (NCDC) of the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), which is the only outfit in the world that has all the data, current and historical, and that makes the United States the sole owner and repository of this vital data. While there are five other nations (Canada, Russia, Japan, Norway, and Germany) that belong to the six World Data Centers of the WMO Global Atmosphere Watch (GAW), each is responsible for archiving only one atmospheric measurement parameter or type and therefore none has the whole picture. They are:

- The <u>World Ozone and Ultraviolet Radiation Data Centre</u>, operated by the Meteorological Service of Canada, a branch of Environment and Climate Change Canada
- The <u>World Radiation Data Center</u>, located in St. Petersburg at the Main Geophysical Observatory of the Russian Federal Service for Hydrometeorology and Environmental Monitoring
- The World Data Center for Greenhouse Gases, at the Japan Meteorological Agency
- The World Data Centre for Aerosols, located at the Norwegian Institute for Air Research
- The <u>World Data Centre for Precipitation Chemistry</u>, operated in association with the Illinois State Water Survey and the US National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)
- The <u>World Data Centre for Remote Sensing of the Atmosphere</u>, hosted and operated by the German Remote Sensing Data Centre of the German Aerospace Centre

The monopolistic nature of environmental research is also reflected in the fact that the vast majority of environmental research is relatively new, comes from just three countries (China, USA, UK) but <u>American research is by far the most cited</u>. Furthermore, the geopolitical importance of this research makes it prone to exaggeration, concealment and falsification, as the <u>Climategate fiasco</u> showed and as the conspicuous manner in which <u>dissenting scientists are censored and maligned</u> and their research scrubbed from the internet further demonstrates.

Second, not only is the US the only repository of the world's weather and climate data, but it is also one of only two countries (the other being the UK) that provide global surface temperature data sets (for near surface air temperatures and sea surface temperatures), which "are an essential

resource for monitoring and understanding climate variability and climate change". In other words, the US and the UK alone interpret this data and provide data sets, whose "construction is challenging due to difficulties in obtaining data, documenting and accounting for changes in instrumentation and observing practices, addressing changes in station location and local land use, understanding random measurement errors, and deciding where and how to infill missing data in space and time." Constructing data sets, therefore, is as much science as it is art.

The American combined land temperature and sea surface temperature data sets are: NOAS's MLOST, NASA's GISTEMP, and that of the University of Delaware together with Berkeley Earth. And the British data set is HadCRUT. Other estimates of global temperatures, but only with data since the 1970s, are based on satellite measurements (such as MSU and AIRS) or on atmospheric reanalyses and are also provided by American organizations. In other words, the Americans are the final interpreters of the global weather and climate data, which they alone possess in its entirety, just as the Pope is the final interpreter of the Bible, which he alone possesses in its entirety. So long as the data sets are made by a handful of organizations none of which is global or independent, and the data is archived by a single organization, the conclusions of environmental science cannot and should not be trusted. Furthermore, considering the limited number of organizations and their single owner and funder, the US government, as well as the nastiness with which any dissenting independent scientists is attacked, smeared and censored, it is hard to call environmental science science and easier to call it dogma, or at the very least manufactured consent.

Third, there is no accurate historical data on which to construct reliable data sets. As <u>NASA</u> explains:

Ensuring the accuracy of Earth's long-term global and regional surface temperature records is a challenging, constantly evolving undertaking.

There are lots of reasons for this, including changes in the availability of data, technological advancements in how land and sea surface temperatures are measured, the growth of urban areas, and changes to where and when temperature data are collected, to name just a few. Over time, these changes can lead to measurement inconsistencies that affect temperature data records.

Scientists have been building estimates of Earth's average global temperature for more than a century, using temperature records from weather stations. But before 1880, there just wasn't enough data to make accurate calculations, resulting in uncertainties in these older records. Fortunately, consistent temperature estimates made by paleoclimatologists (scientists who study Earth's past climate using environmental clues like ice cores and tree rings) provide scientists with context for understanding today's observed warming of Earth's climate, which has no historic parallel."

Even if the intention is not to deceive, weather and climate predictions are at best tenuous and at worst erroneous. And there is plenty of evidence to suggest both the tenuous and erroneous, if not fictitious, nature of climate predictions.

Fourth, discrepancies between models and observations are caused by "biases in satellite data" that exaggerate the warming of the various atmospheric layers which is modest to begin with, less than half a degree Celsius since 1970. In other words, even if the measurements are trustworthy the climate is warming a lot slower than predicted, but the latest IPCC report states exactly the opposite because it needs to create a sense of urgency and doom if 196 nations are to agree on adopting tough measures to cut emissions.

Fifth, the weather is not becoming more extreme but less so, however, the mainstream media and the system want us to believe the opposite, which is understandable considering the there is a 50-year lag between the time when the damage to the atmosphere is done and the consequences are felt. According to data compiled by Vortex, however, the strength of wind blowing across northern Europe has fallen by as much as 15% and with it the power generating capacity of wind farms has decreased accordingly. Wind slowing down indicates weather stilling not weather becoming more extreme, as was predicted by the system's forecasters. The opposite should be the case if the weather were becoming more extreme.

Sixth, <u>new research</u> based on "palaeoceanographic records from organic biomarkers and benthic foraminiferal data" shows the Arctic Ocean has been warming since the beginning of the 20th century "in response to a rapid hydrographic reorganization in the North Atlantic" and has therefore nothing to do with the amount of greenhouse gases we have pumped into the atmosphere.

Seventh, there has been no change in earth's total landmass, which indicates no land has been lost to the sea due to global warming because there is none or is insignificant. Earth's total land mass remains unchanged at 148,939,063.133 km² (57,505,693.767 sq mi), which constitutes 29.2% of its total surface, the remaining 70.8% of the planimetric surface being covered by water. Some islands have disappeared over the centuries and more will disappear but others have appeared and more will appear. Earth's crust is dynamic and volcanic activity, tectonic shifts and erosion shape and reshape our planet as they have always done.


Eighth, despite incessant reports of melting ice sheets and glaciers the total ice cover, the cryosphere, remains largely unchanged. The latest <u>comprehensive report</u> on the state of the cryosphere states:

"Earth continues to have a third of the ice that it had at the peak of the last ice age, although that ice continues to decrease, as it has, overall, for the past 18,000 years. Over the last 100 years, the retreat signal has been especially strong in ice shelves of the Arctic and along the Antarctic Peninsula, with a more mixed signal elsewhere. For instance, since the early 1990s, the massive Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets have thinned along the coasts but thickened in the interior, and since the late 1970s, sea ice has decreased in the Arctic but increased (slightly) in the Antarctic. Major difficulties in the interpretations of the climate record come from the high interannual variability of most cryosphere components and the lack of consistent long-term global data records; the latter problem is now being slowly remedied, in part, through satellite technology."

Ninth, despite doomsday scenarios of <u>ice apocalypse</u> there is no dramatic sea level rise. In fact, the ocean has risen by just half a foot (15 centimeters) over the past 120 years due to thermal


expansion and, according to <u>new research</u>, will not rise by much over the next 120 years. Hardly anyone will be displaced from coastal areas by sea level rise and there will certainly be no catastrophic migration of climate refugees.

Tenth, the data on disasters shows a radically decreasing number of victims from natural disasters not an increasing one and from this we can infer that the climate is more stable not more unstable as we are reminded almost every day by the mainstream media. The reality, however, is the opposite of what the media reports and the official "science" states as the graph below clearly shows (Source: Our World in Data)



Eleventh, the amount of CO2 in the atmosphere is too small to make a difference in the climate, especially since CO2 is vital for plant life, and the change attributed to human activity is that much smaller and therefore cannot possibly be responsible for global warming. CO2 makes up just 0.04% of the atmosphere's composition (the three main gases being nitrogen at 78%, oxygen at 21% and argon at 0.09%) and only 5% of the total CO2 emitted annually is from humans. It is far more plausible that the climate is affected by changes in solar activity and solar radiation, which is a cause that even NASA is openly considering and investigating, as well as other cosmic factors such as solar winds and magnetic fields.

If solar and other cosmic factors were not responsible for climate change then the climate would have been constant throughout our planet's history. Afterall, our civilization has been burning fossil fuels and pumping greenhouse gases into the atmosphere at industrial quantities for just 200 years and therefore cannot be responsible for the radical changes of the climate throughout Earth's history. (Graph below from NASA <u>Earth Observatory</u>)



The system made a tremendous blunder during the summer of 2021 by attempting to manufacture evidence of drastic global warming by setting fires in <u>Europe</u>, <u>North America</u> and <u>Russia</u> and then blame them on climate change. Of course, the idea was to create the political impetus necessary to adopt even more brutal decarbonization policies at the <u>UN Climate Change Conference of the Parties (COP26)</u> in Glasgow, which took place October 31 to November 13. It did not work.

Twelve, if global warming / climate change were real then those who push for action most and forge diabolical plans to lower our measly carbon footprints would act accordingly and we would see the urgency of catastrophic climate change reflected in their own action. The opposite, however, is the case:

- 1. The ultra-rich and the very rich would abandon their mansions and villas and holiday homes and move into small apartments to lover their carbon footprint before it is too late. They would scrap their airplanes, helicopters, yachts, submarines, limousines and Lamborghinis to lead by example and to save whatever little there is left to save of the world. For what purpose could these wasteful objects serve if the world will suffer catastrophic climate change within a decade or so and we will all die? Alas there is no such panic visible in the personal actions and carbon footprints of the super-rich, but just the contrary an ever-larger grab of and an ever more wasteful use of resources. Bill Gates, for instance, has a carbon footprint of 7,943 metric tons and Roman Abramovich is five times worse. Compare that with the modest 6.7 metric tons of CO2 emitted per person in Europe or the piddly 0.8 metric tons emitted by every African and simple math would tell us that Bill Gates, who wants to depopulate the earth with his vaccines because we commoners are too great a burden on nature, has the same devastating impact on Mother Earth as 1186 Europeans or 9929 Africans. And as he and his fellow billionaires, who meet in secret to plot if not the murder then the sterilization of billions, continue to fly their private jets to the yearly meetings at Davos and COP, to lord it in 6,131 square meters giant mansions with 23-car garages and 24 bathrooms, and to charter private jets in ever greater numbers and so pollute the earth with utter disregard they have the shamelessness to lecture us on green living.
- 2. Heads of state and government would be engaged in an international disarmament race the likes of which the world has never seen and every international organization would bestow

praise and honors upon them for dismantling their wasteful and useless armies, navies and air forces. If the <u>ten largest military forces</u> were scrapped overnight the world would breathe free and we could take our sweet time bringing our civilization in harmony with nature, for the precious resources wasted on those parasitical structures would easily bring the one billion people who now live in abject poverty to a middle class standard.

As an example, this is the grizzly <u>environmental footprint of the US military</u>, but China and Russia are not far behind:

"As the world's biggest polluter, our armed forces create 750,000 tons of toxic waste every year in the form of depleted uranium, oil, jet fuels, pesticides, defoliants, lead and other chemicals, according to MintPress News. MPN reports that almost 900 of the 1,200 Superfund sites in this country are abandoned military sites.

Our military uses almost 21 billion liters of fuel every year, more than the carbon emissions of Denmark, according to grist.org. According to Newsweek, in 2017 the military emitted more than 25,000 kilotons of carbon dioxide by burning fuels."

- 3. The tens of thousands of scientists and doctors involved in the climate swindle and the plandemic scam would all be walking in recycled plastic sandals, pedaling bicycles to work, gorging on Bill Gates' fake meat, treating themselves to the occasional insect pie for a dash of extra protein, and living in 37-square-meter mini homes, like Elon Musk (who is the only billionaire so far to set an example) if we really faced a climate crisis. Instead, they enjoy the comforts and luxuries of the upper-middle class on research money that flows from all directions so they can continue to pervert science, produce false data, spin imaginary crises, and get fatter, more cynical and uglier by the day. These people who belong to the richest 10% responsible for almost half of total lifestyle consumption emissions in the world show no sign of panic whatsoever in their own personal behaviors and carbon footprints but just the opposite.
- 4. Neither do the countless thousands of policy makers, both national and international, show any concern for the climate in their decisions and policies for if they did there would be no space tourism, which is the most wasteful and pointless activity on the planet. And their personal behaviors are just as reckless otherwise they would have not had the nerve to <u>fly</u> 400 private jets to COP 26 in Glasgow and blast more CO2 than 1600 Scots pump out in a year to discuss reducing carbon emissions and set net zero targets for the rest of us.

A recent article on the environmental costs of space tourism paints a somber picture.

"<u>A 2010 research paper</u> modelled the effects of soot injected into the atmosphere from a thousand private suborbital flights a year and found that it would increase the temperature over the poles by 1 degree Celsius and reduce polar sea ice levels by 5%.

SpaceX plans on launching 395 flights in space annually. However, a single flight reportedly can generate a carbon footprint equivalent of 278 people combined. The fuel for its Falcon 9 engine consists of kerosene and liquid oxygen, which creates a lot of carbon dioxide when burnt. Holding 440 tonnes of fuel, SpaceX would release 4,000 tonnes of carbon dioxide into the atmosphere per year if its plans of launching every two weeks are achieved."

What the evidence we have looked at shows us is that the environmental science used to craft global legislation for decarbonization is of the same caliber as the medical science that is driving the plandemic and that has expanded human sexuality by 42 new categories in addition to the LGBTQ labels that are by now mainstream but are not worth the paper they are written on.

Conclusion, climate change "science" has no scientific value whatsoever but immeasurable geopolitical value. The theory of man-made global warming that underpins the decarbonization policy serves as a convenient diplomatic tool, an artifice to forge international consensus and cooperation on how vital natural resources should be used and shared to avoid war and provides a convenient political cover for national and international policy makers to impose painful deconsumption policies on the population veiled as decarbonization policies while justifying their necessity on the common interest of saving nature. Climate change, in other words, is a convenient common enemy with no downsides, as it does not stigmatize a specific people, nation, religion or culture, but provides a common enemy against which humankind can work together and in the process transcend the petty differences that divide us. Scientifically speaking it is absolute junk but geopolitically speaking it is pure gold; and since geopolitics trumps everything else science is sacrificed so peace keeping can succeed.

In addition, it confers three other precious advantages, one that serves the interests of the developed world, the other of the developing world, and the last the world at large.

The advantage to the developed world is that it allows rich countries to control the pace of development of poor countries and the technology by which energy is produced from non-renewable resources since the developing world is asked to bypass fossil fuels. By slowing down the industrialization of the developing world the developed world has less competition for vital natural resources and can access them at far lower prices and in much greater quantities than would be their fair share.

The advantage to the developing world is that rich nations can be forced to fund the industrialization of poor nations. By blaming desertification, soil erosion and pollution on the greenhouse gas emissions pumped into the atmosphere by developed nations, and on the fictitious concept of anthropogenic (man-made) climate change, these nations can be coerced to help the developing world escape the absolute poverty brought about by their uncontrolled population growth while also serving as a mode of redress for the historic exploitation suffered by non-white nations at the hands of white nations during the colonial era.

And the advantage to the world at large is that by spreading wealth more equally around the world, the brotherhood of nations is strengthened and international peace maintained.

What does the evidence show in terms of RT-PCR tests?

It shows they are totally unreliable and inadequate. First of all, the *polymerase chain reaction* (PCR) tests were never meant for diagnostic but for research purposes and secondly they are complete junk, which is why even the authorities have had to issue <u>recall</u> (in the USA), after <u>recall</u> (in Lapan), after <u>recall</u> (in the UK), after <u>recall</u> (in Canada) to limit their embarrassment.

The creator of the PCR test himself, <u>Dr. Kary Mullis</u>, who <u>was awarded the Nobel Prize in chemistry in 1993 for creating the PCR test</u>, has stated clearly and on many occasions that the results of <u>the PCR tests can be easily misinterpreted to mean anything</u>. A <u>technical paper</u> explains how:

"PCR amplification turns a few attograms (equal to 10^{-18} grams) of a specific fragment of nucleic acid (far too little to be analyzed directly or used in biochemical reactions) into as much as a microgram of DNA.

By nature, an exponential amplification is not ideally suited to quantification. Small differences in amplification efficiency between samples can become huge differences in results when they are amplified through 40 doublings. Anyone working with quantitative PCR who forgets this fact is in danger of making mistakes that are measured in orders of magnitude."

By merely increasing the number of amplification cycles (the <u>cycle threshold or Ct value</u>) needed to detect a targeted nucleic acid, a lab can create something out of nothing and receive as many false positives as desired by the authorities.

Moreover, the sensitivity of the RT-PCR test was done without a SARS-Cov-2 sample because none exists. This is revealed in the CDC's emergency authorization document entitled "CDC 2019-Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Real-Time RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel", which states:

"The analytical sensitivity of the rRT-PCR assays contained in the CDC 2019 Novel Coronavirus (2019- nCoV) Real-Time RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel were determined in Limit of Detection studies. Since no quantified virus isolates of the 2019-nCoV were available for CDC use at the time the test was developed and this study conducted, assays designed for detection of the 2019-nCoV RNA were tested with characterized stocks of in vitro transcribed full-length RNA (N gene; GenBank accession: MN908947.2) of known titer (RNA copies/µL) spiked into a diluent consisting of a suspension of human A549 cells and viral transport medium (VTM) to mimic clinical specimen." (p.40)


The <u>scientific and juridical consensus</u> is that the PCR test was never designed to detect specific pathogens, let alone SARS-Cov-2, and is 100% faulty at 35 cycles, as it invariably results in false positives, and is inadmissible for any reliable result at over 28 cycles. And that is precisely why any PCR tests issued by the CDC are rated at 37 to 45 cycles and by the <u>ECDC at 35 to 40 cycles</u> and why <u>it took a parliamentary inquiry to obtain this information</u>. The intent is to manufacture as many false positives as possible to create and sustain the illusion of a pandemic in the absence of one and the RT-PCR test is the tool that enables this deception.

In addition, the speed with which they were manufactured and approved for mass use <u>brings their reliability and accuracy into question</u>. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), for instance, has used its Emergency Use Authorization process to approve more than 30 Covid-19 tests in just four weeks without anyone knowing if they work. As a result, many governments – for instance the <u>Spanish</u> and the <u>British</u> – have had to publicly admit that the tests they have purchased are defective. Because the PCR tests are totally inaccurate and have no diagnostic value <u>the system no longer maintains a diagnostic performance data tracker</u>, as that showed just how flawed the various PCR tests are.

Why are they being used then to diagnose Covid-19? For several reasons:


First, the ease with which they <u>can be misused to provide false positives</u>, by simply increasing the number of amplifications, allows the system to increase or decrease the number of Covid-19 infections as needed to create the illusion of a pandemic and to keep it going, which is what we have seen for the past two years and why the <u>SARS-CoV-2 virus behaves in ways that are politically convenient but biologically impossible</u>.

Second, since the SARS-CoV-2 virus is a political fiction and does not exist, the system needed a test that makes something out of nothing by misdiagnosing influenza viruses as SARS-CoV-2, a fact inadvertently admitted by the CDC in its <u>07/21/2021 Lab Alert</u>. This, incidentally, explains why <u>influenza virtually disappeared in 2020</u>.



Third, PCR tests via the nasopharyngeal cavity damage the fascicles of the olfactory and/or trigeminal nerves allowing viruses and bacteria to cross the blood-brain barrier and damage the limbic system. As a result, the recipients of PCR tests eventually experience loss of function in the entire gamut of behavioral and emotional responses controlled by the limbic system: sleep, fatigue, cognition, orientation, movement, memory, etc. The PCR tests, therefore, prime people for limbic system failure whose symptoms are then conveniently attributed to Covid-19, a virus that does not exist. The more PCR tests are performed the more people will experience symptoms that are then misdiagnosed as Covid-19 infections, especially those who wear masks and

consequently inhale pathogens that the body tries to exhale from the respiratory tract while at the same time depriving the brain of oxygen and bombarding it with carbon dioxide.



A detailed explanation of this process of induced illness is provided in my recent article entitled "PCR Tests and the Depopulation Program".

Fourth, repeated PCR tests cause the olfactory ensheathing cells to lose their ability to regenerate, which <u>increases fourfold the chance of dying within five years in adults 57 to 85 years old</u>. This helps the system achieve one of its most coveted goals, a shorter lifespan, which also explains why governments insist that those who refuse Covid-19 vaccines must provide negative PCR tests two or three times a week to keep their jobs.

Fifth and last, the invasive and painful nature of nasal PCR tests act as a deterrent for people who want to travel or simply access commercial centers to shop and this helps the system lower consumption / greenhouse gas emissions.

A clear giveaway that PCR tests are part and parcel of the depopulation and decarbonization strategies governments pursue behind the front of the plandemic is the absolute insistence by governments and the WHO that people test often and that everyone gets tested through the nasal cavity, as well as their absolute refusal to recognize natural immunity alongside vaccination and negative tests for vaccine passports.

What does the evidence show in terms of face masks?

First, it shows that <u>face masks have no medical justification</u> whatsoever since they <u>do not stop transmission</u>. More alarmingly, a recent <u>comprehensive study</u> (that was censored as soon as it was published) concluded:

"Wearing facemasks has been demonstrated to have substantial adverse physiological and psychological effects. These include hypoxia, hypercapnia, shortness of breath, increased

acidity and toxicity, activation of fear and stress response, rise in stress hormones, immunosuppression, fatigue, headaches, decline in cognitive performance, predisposition for viral and infectious illnesses, chronic stress, anxiety and depression. Long-term consequences of wearing facemask can cause health deterioration, developing and progression of chronic diseases and premature death."

Face masks are particularly dangerous for those who exercise, according to <u>another study</u>, as they "pose more significant health risks and tax various physiological systems especially pulmonary, circulatory and immune systems" and "potentially increase acidic environment, cardiac overload, anaerobic metabolism and renal overload, which may substantially aggravate the underlying pathology of established chronic diseases".

Second, they help cause disease. The system forces people to wear face masks to deliberately increase the negative effects of PCR tests and cause brain damage. I take the liberty of quoting myself:

"Having increased people's vulnerability to infection by irritating the mucosa of the nasopharyngeal cavity or by damaging the olfactory and trigeminal nerves, the planners of the depopulation strategy have also devised means to increase the number of pathogens as well as the amount of chemicals and neurotoxins that reach the brain.

By mandating mask wearing indoors and outdoors, knowing that <u>exhaled air contains</u> <u>numerous bacteria and viruses</u>, the planners are subjecting billions of people to inhale pathogens that the body exhaled from the respiratory tract, which is always colonized with disease organisms, into the nasopharyngeal cavity and from there straight into the brain via the damaged olfactory or trigeminal nerve entry points.

Hundreds of studies show that the inside of surgical masks contain greatly increased loads of bacteria and fungi after prolonged wearing and are <u>a source of contamination</u> not only for those wearing them but also for those around them.

The latest and most <u>comprehensive study</u> has found that mask wearing by the general population leads to:

"...changes in respiratory physiology of mask wearers with significant correlation of O_2 drop and fatigue (p < 0.05), a clustered co-occurrence of respiratory impairment and O_2 drop (67%), N95 mask and CO_2 rise (82%), N95 mask and O_2 drop (72%), N95 mask and headache (60%), respiratory impairment and temperature rise (88%), but also temperature rise and moisture (100%) under the masks. Extended mask-wearing by the general population could lead to relevant effects and consequences in many medical fields."

Moreover, study after study has found that face masks "are not able to completely block the <u>transmission of virus droplets/aerosols even when completely sealed</u>" and are therefore ineffective in preventing the spread of infections.

The system is well too familiar with both these facts and it is mandating mask wearing at all times to intentionally cause respiratory problems it can then falsely attribute to a virus. And

this explains the respiratory problems attributed to Covid. Having damaged the immune defenses of the brain and leaving it exposed to pathogens by harming the epithelium of the nasopharyngeal cavity, and then subjecting the brain to asphyxiation by depriving it of oxygen (O₂) and bombarding it with carbon dioxide (CO₂) the respiratory center of the brain, which controls minute-to-minute breathing, and that is in the medulla oblongata, begins to malfunction. As a result, people have trouble breathing. The breathlessness (dyspnoea) associated with Covid is therefore not due to lung lesions but to damage to neural processing, thus due to brain damage.

To increase the incidence of brain damage, whose symptoms can then be conveniently attributed to a relatively harmless virus of the corona family, the system is employing a third strategy, namely subjecting the adult population to two neurotoxins. The most likely culprits are <u>isodecanes</u> and <u>mycotoxins</u> because the former can be easily hidden in body care products while the latter in foods and drinks (especially beer) that contain mold, but more importantly because both can lead to loss of smell (anosmia) and taste (ageusia). The role of isodecanes in chemosensory dysfunction is <u>well documented</u> as is the <u>role of mycotoxins</u>. Since women use far more cosmetics than men, they would be primarily poisoned with isodecanes, while men would be primarily poisoned with mycotoxins as they can be easily hidden in beer and men consume far more beer than women. These delivery methods would also spare children and teenagers since they use neither cosmetics nor drink beer. Furthermore, children and teenagers are not primed for brain damage by being subjected to PCR tests that damage the mucosa of their nasopharyngeal cavity and leaves their brains undefended."

Third, beside the dire negative effects masks have on human health, they <u>serve as a visual reminder</u> of an ongoing pandemic and therefore help to <u>sustain the fear</u> that governments have nourished at great cost in order to be able to justify so-called "pandemic prevention measures" that are in fact consumption and emissions prevention measures.

Fourth, they make life unpleasant and people's extended presence in public places and in shopping areas undesirable, which results in fewer purchases and restricted mobility that in turn lower consumption and emissions. By denying people the right to breathe freely, more than 50% of the goods and services we purchase as a result of impulse shopping (which for American consumers adds \$459 a month and \$5,400 per year to their shopping bill) will no longer be purchased. Face masks greatly reduce impulse shopping and this helps governments reach their ambitious decarbonization / deconsumption targets. In fact, the economic losses brought about by a mandate to wear masks in public places would run in the billions for a country the size of the UK, something the British government recently admitted and why it did not reintroduce the face mask mandate.

Fifth, they serve as an additional inconvenience that governments exploit in order to coerce the population to get vaccinated. The authorities and the mainstream media constantly broadcast that vaccines are the only way out of the pandemic and that until everyone gets vaccinated the pandemic will not end and face masks will continue to be necessary even after getting vaccinated.

Sixth, they condition people to be obedient and submissive and harken back to the days of slavery when slaves were forced to wear masks for various reasons: prevent them from <u>drinking alcohol</u>, from <u>eating the harvest</u>, from <u>speaking</u>, or simply as <u>punishment for disobedience or for running away</u>.

Of course, what policy makers say about face masks and the cruelty with which they force and <u>fine adults</u> and <u>punish children</u> is contrary to what they do when they are no longer in front of the cameras and are caught interacting with other policy makers or friends without face masks and social distancing. Policy makers have been caught again and again without face masks <u>in the US</u> and even at the <u>White House</u>, in the <u>UK</u>, in <u>Romania</u>, in <u>India</u>, in <u>Australia</u>, in <u>New Zealand</u>, in <u>Italy</u>, in <u>Austria</u>, in <u>Germany</u>, at the <u>G7 Summit</u>, and in virtually every country on earth and for every



restriction they impose on their people but fail to respect themselves because they know there is nothing to fear since there is no Covid-19 virus, no pandemic, and no infections and deaths whatsoever. That masks are a charade and the pandemic a fiction is reflected in the hypocrisy of politicians and high officials who fail to wear them when they are not on show and who party behind closed doors in total disregard of the pandemic prevention measures they themselves have imposed on the general population.

Masks are only for the schmucks who believe the propaganda but not for the slicks who write it.

What does the evidence show in terms of social distancing?

The evidence shows that <u>social distancing does not prevent transmission</u>. Why do governments insist on it then?

First, <u>social distancing leads to massive reductions in consumer spending in the short-term</u> and to lasting negative consequences for economic activity in the long-term, especially for the <u>hospitality</u> industry, entertainment and aviation. A study by the European Central Bank has found that:

"Both the direct effects of social distancing and its indirect effects through input-output linkages appear to be important drivers of stock prices during the outbreak of the pandemic. Our estimates imply that a one standard deviation increase in the industry's share of workers affected by social distancing (the direct effect) is associated with a decline of 2.7% in stock returns, while a one standard deviation increase in the fraction of output sold to social distancing affected sectors (the indirect effect) is associated with a decline of 3.1% in stock returns. The indirect effect of social distancing from the sale of products to other firms is therefore estimated to be quantitatively at least as important as the direct effect from social distancing. This implies that lockdowns impose large negative externalities on firms through input-output linkages, even for firms that are not directly affected by social distancing measures."

Bottom line, social distancing is an effective and relatively painless way (for individuals at least) to reduce consumption and emissions and therefore helps governments reach their decarbonization targets and live up to the pledges they made upon signing the <u>Paris Agreement</u> in 2015.

Second, it is a physical way to increase fear because it acts as a constant reminder that a supposedly lethal virus stalks mankind and can strike any of us at any time and in any place. Without the element of fear, of course, governments could not effectively get the people's compliance, which was essential for the destruction of human rights and the rule of law that governments have achieved under the pretext of the pandemic and without which the depopulation and decarbonization targets necessary for sustainability will continue to be impossible if governments persist on using secret methods.

Third, it allowed governments to <u>isolate the elderly</u>, especially those in care homes, and euthanize them by either <u>denying them critical care</u> or by <u>injecting them with midazolam</u> or other lethal drugs, which is why <u>most Covid deaths have occurred in old age homes throughout the EU</u> (in <u>Sweden nearly 50%)</u>, as well as <u>the US</u> and indeed <u>throughout the OECD</u>, where 93% of Covid-19 deaths were among those older than 60. No virus in history has shown such <u>bias towards the elderly</u> and <u>towards minorities</u>.

Forth, it gave governments a pretext to close hospitals and <u>deny chronically ill patients the critical</u> <u>care they need</u>, which resulted in countless deaths and will result in many more deaths to come.

Fourth, increases the sense of isolation with dire effects on overall health. According to a comprehensive report by the National Academy of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine (NASEM), "social isolation significantly increased a person's risk of premature death from all causes, a risk that may rival those of smoking, obesity, and physical inactivity". By increasing morbidity and mortality among the elderly, governments of the developed world, which reached the last stage of the demographic transition, have unsustainable old-age burdens and are desperately trying to find ways to lower them. The pandemic offers the perfect front to tackle this sensitive issue.

Fifth, prevents large gatherings and therefore restricts the right to assemble and protest, which has greatly impaired people's ability to combat the increasingly abusive behavior of governments and the destruction of the rule of law and of fundamental rights and liberties. The People Power Under Attack 2020 report by CIVICUS paints a dismal picture. The report "shows that civic freedoms, including the freedoms of expression, association and assembly, are backsliding across the globe: over 87 per cent per cent of the world's population now live in closed, repressed or obstructed countries. This decline in basic human rights is mirrored in Europe and Central Asia: only a third of countries (21 countries) are rated 'open', the Monitor's highest ranking." CIVICUS reports that excessive force by security forces during peaceful protests was used in at least 79 countries, law enforcement officers detained protesters on the grounds of failure to adhere to Covid-19 measures or other laws related to peaceful assemblies in at least 100 countries, and lethal force leading to the killing of protesters has been documented in at least 28 countries. Such measures are antithetical to the preservation of health, which governments invoke as the reason for imposing restrictions.

For all intents and purposes human civilization is now living in a global totalitarian state whose primary contribution to governance is depopulation by vaccination and decarbonization by plandemic.

What does the evidence show in terms of vaccine passports and vaccine mandates?

The evidence shows that the die was cast in 2016, when both the EU and the US published their adult immunization plans, the EU's 2019-2022 Vaccine Roadmap (see below), whose final objective is "a common vaccination card/passport for EU citizens", and the US the 2016 US National Adult Immunization Plan and its Path to Implementation, which also seeks universal adult vaccination.

ROADMAP ON VACCINATION

Last update: Q3 2019

European
Commission



The evidence also shows that the vaccine passport is a <u>protocol for exclusion</u> and a tool to <u>force individuals to transfer their right to life to the state</u>. Those who accept being vaccinated to receive a vaccine passport accept having their fundamental rights returned to them only until the next booster shot, thus for a limited time, and only if they give up the right to life by allowing governments the discretion to inject them with a deadly vaccine <u>every six to eight months</u>, if that is in the interest of the state, and they happen to belong to an age or social group targeted for premature death: elderly, chronically ill, handicapped, mentally retarded, and perhaps even minorities, the permanently unemployed, repeat offenders, and political enemies.

Once governments reach their depopulation targets (by destroying the reproductive or immune systems or both) the vaccine passport will mutate into a digital ID. Judging by the fact that governments have planned for eight boosters, we can assume that they intend to administer boosters until at least 2025 (for a price tag of \$157 billion), at which point they will have reached their intended depopulation objectives.

What we now see is just the system going through the motions and the pretense that citizens will be given a choice in the matter. The vaccine passport is therefore firstly a tool for enforcing a system of controlled depopulation and ultimately a steppingstone towards digital biometric identities that will be used to monitor, measure and restrict consumption.

In the EU, for instance, this subversive and utterly undemocratic path to digital identities goes from:

Step one: The <u>Regulation (EU) No 910/2014</u> of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 July 2014 on electronic identification and trust services for electronic transactions in the internal market.

Step two: The 2018 <u>European Roadmap on Vaccination</u>, the so-called "Roadmap for the Implementation of Actions by the European Commission based on the Commission Communication and of the Council Recommendation on Strengthening Cooperation against Vaccine Preventable Diseases"

Step three: Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council amending Regulation (EU) No 910/2014 as regards establishing a framework for a European Digital Identity, dated 3.6.2021.

The paper trail shows that vaccine passports are intended to be a backdoor to digital identities that allows governments to bypass privacy and data protection concerns under the guise of disease prevention and that the system has been chipping away at the rule of law to force digital identities on their citizens in order to keep track, measure and limit consumption, as well to subject citizens to a continuing program of surveillance and to biannual depopulation by vaccination.

Vaccine passports and mandates, therefore, constitute a premeditated crime against humanity and a planned suspension the rule of law and our fundamental rights and liberties that governments have decided to commit in order to solve the demographic, economic, environmental and geopolitical problems we face. Whether they succeed or not very much depends on us, the people, and on our willingness to fight and sacrifice, but also on our ability to come up with a better alternate plan.

Their plan, the system's plan of depopulation by vaccination and decarbonization by plandemic, was conceived by the American military-industrial complex and put into action after a twenty-year period of meticulous preparation. Europeans are equal partners while the rest of the G20 nations are being pulled by the hair kicking and screaming.

The documentary evidence shows that the plandemic strategy was long in the making, carefully orchestrated and cleverly concealed. Just as with its precursor, fundamental terrorism, which was a front for invading the Middle East and subjecting the Islamic world to the same population control methods as the Christian world, the plandemic strategy has been planned and tested for more than twenty years before it was fully unleashed on the world in 2020. And just as the front of fundamental terrorism was conceived to last two decades so the plandemic strategy, 20 years being the timeframe in which the international system operates. The period 2000 to 2020 was that of manufactured terrorism and was kick started by the military-industrial complex with the September 11, 2001, attack on the Twin Towers of the World Trade Center in New York City.

The period of 2020 to 2040 will be that of manufactured pandemics and was kick started in January 2020 in Wuhan, China. And the period of 2030 to 2050 will be that of manufactured cataclysms and will most likely be kick started in Africa or India, but the preparation for this period has already started with the 2020 fires in California and Australia, both of which were engineered events and not natural.

The documentary evidence shows a modus operandi that has become a predictable fivestep pattern which starts with: (1) covert projects or overt exercises for an invented threat by an imagined boogeyman that are then (2) followed by an engineered attack that uses that particular threat or something very similar, is answered with (3) a scientific fix in the form of a vaccine, is backed up by (4) abusive legislation that is supposedly meant to protect people from the very threat the system has invented but that in fact erodes the rule of law and makes fundamental rights and liberties conditional and, last, requires (5) massive institutional and technical buildup of the military-industrial complex and a budget increase to scale up the production and distribution of the said scientific fix.

First Phase (1990-2000):

This pattern was set in the aftermath of World War II but was first used with respect to biological threats in the late 1990s for the supposed benefit of America's military personnel. Project Clear Vision (1997) and Project Jefferson (1997) were the covert exercises carried out (step 1). The threat was identified as biological bomblets and anthrax and the boogeyman as Russia though no actual attack was engineered until 2001 when letters containing anthrax were sent to Democratic Senators Tom Daschle and Patrick Leahy (step 2). The fix was to forcibly administer the Anthrax Vaccine Adsorbed (AVA) to all active duty U.S. service personnel, which started being immunized in March 1998. By the year 2000 some 425,976 US service members had received 1,620,793 doses of AVA (step 3). The abusive legislation came in the form of Executive Order 13139 – entitled Improving Health Protection of Military Personnel Participating in Particular Military Operations – signed by President Clinton on 30 September 1999, and giving the U.S. Secretary of Defense the power to administer an investigational new drug (IND) to U.S. service members for a purpose other than that it was originally licensed (step 4). The institutional and technical buildup of the military-industrial complex came in 1998 the form of the Johns Hopkins Center for Civilian Biodefense Strategy (currently the Johns Hopkins Center for Health Security).

American soldiers were used as guinea pigs in this experiment of bacteriological warfare conducted by the US military and many became severely ill with what came to be known as the <u>Gulf War Syndrome</u>. Those who refused to be immunized were compelled to leave the military and some were even court-martialed for resisting the inoculations during the first six years of the program.

The American government has proceeded in this fashion in order to circumvent the <u>Biological Weapons Convention</u> of 1972, which prohibits the development, production and stockpiling of biological and toxin weapons. By pretending to conduct exercises and research meant to prevent biological attacks on American citizens or soil, the US was able to violate the terms of existing international agreements under the pretext of biosecurity.

Second Phase (2000-2010):

The same five-step pattern was repeated in the first decade of the 21st century but this time to subject the civilian population to biological warfare, though on a small scale, and to involve the international community, as well as to try mass vaccinations on domesticated animals. Since secrecy was no longer an option the projects of the past became the exercises of the present and these were carried out in the open. Dark Winter: A Smallpox Exercise (22-23 June 2001), Global Mercury Exercise (8-10 September 2003) Atlantic Storm Exercise (14 January 2005) and several exercises undertaken by the World Health Organization in 2006 were carried out as simulation exercises in which smallpox or influenza was the threat and unnamed terrorist groups the boogeyman (step 1). The engineered attacks came in 2002-2004 as the SARS outbreak, in 2004-2005 as the avian flu pandemic, and in 2009 as the swine flu pandemic, which was also the first time the system declared a Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC no. 1) to test its international response (step 2). The scientific fix was once again vaccines for the civilian population (the H5N1 and H1N1 vaccines) and close global cooperation to restrict travel and limit civil liberties but also to evaluate communication protocols (step 3). Abusive legislation at the national level came in the form of the *Public Health Security and Bioterrorism Preparedness and* Response Act (PHSBPRA), which was signed into effect in the US on 12 June 2002 and opened the door to the detention of people communicable diseases. Internationally, it came in the form of Public Health Emergencies of International Concern (PHEIC), an instrument created to transfer global control over what constitutes a health threat from the national to the global level and to force nations to act in accordance with decisions taken by the World Health Organization (step 4). Massive institutional and technical buildup of the military-industrial complex within the US came in 2002 in the form of the National Defense Analysis and Countermeasures Center (NBACC); in 2005 with the National Interagency Biodefense Campus (NIBC), in 2007 with the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Integrated Research Facility (NIAID), and in 2008 with the National Biodefense and Countermeasures Center (NBACC), all of which are located at the biodefense campus at Fort Dietrich in Frederick, Maryland. Internationally, it came in the form of the 2001 Global Health Security Initiative, and the International Health Regulations of the WHO revised in 2005.

The avian and swine flu "pandemics", or more accurately said *plandemics* (since they were planned by the system and not naturally occurring events) allowed the system to test its engineered viruses and vaccines on domesticated animals before targeting civilians, which gave the system the added benefit of culling tens of millions of chickens and pigs so as to artificially raise the price of meat in order to lower its consumption; a strategy that aims to feed more people across the world with a vegetable based diet, millions of tons of grains being thus diverted from feeding domesticated animals to feeding people.

Third Phase (2010-2020):

The third five-step pattern covered the second decade of the 21st century and took biological warfare and mass vaccinations to the civilian population at large so as to increase vaccine manufacturing capacity for global administration and to prepare the public for mandatory vaccines under the pretext of public health and pandemic prevention. Lock Step 2010 Scenarios (May

2010), Berlin 2017 G20 Health Ministers Meeting (19-20 May 2017), Clade X Exercise (15 May 2018) and Event 201 Exercise (18 October 2019) were carried out as future scenarios and simulation exercises for various influenza pandemics (step 1). The engineered attacks came in 2013-2015 as the MERS outbreak, in May 2014 as the Polio Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC no. 2), in August 2014 as the Ebola Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC no. 3), in February 2016 as the Zika Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC no. 4), and in July 2019 as the Kivu Ebola Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC no. 5) (step 2). The scientific fix was once again vaccines for the civilian population (IPV, OPV, rVSV-ZEBOV, cAd3-ZEBOV, and various Zika vaccines), but this time around regional quarantines were tried and tested as well as coercive mass vaccination campaigns, mandatory mask mandates, intubations as a treatment procedure, and the false science of PCR tests was employed for the first time and used to inflate the number of infections and to justify the suspension of fundamental rights and liberties for entire nations.

To increase the number of vaccines administered, the system introduced the concepts of "compassionate use" and "ring vaccination strategy" to purportedly protect persons of highest risk, i.e., contacts of those infected, contacts of those contacts and front-line medical personnel. And to increase the fear factor the system unleashed false science to deceive the public that the virus "hides" in a recovered survivor's body for years and becomes active either in the same individual or in a sexual partner ⁱ (step 3).

Abusive legislation at the national level came in the form of biosecurity acts, or changes to existing health legislation, adopted by virtually every G20 nation, granting governments the power to suspend any and all fundamental rights and freedoms under the guise of protecting the public's health and safety (Australia's 2015 Biosecurity Act, Canada's 2009 Human Pathogens and Toxins Act, the UK's Civil Contingencies Act 2004 the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and the Health Protection (Notification) Regulations 2010, the EU's 2013 Decision No. 1082/2013 on Serious Cross-Border Threats to Health, etc.). Abusive legislation at the international level came in the form of the 2018 WHO, World Health Assembly, Implementation of the International Health Regulations (2005): five-year global strategic plan to improve public health preparedness and response, 2018-2023, WHA71(15), which gives national governments a free hand to legally enact abusive measures in response to public health risks. The final destruction of the Nurnberg Code and the legal doctrines of beneficence, non-maleficence and informed consent, however, are embodied in the EU's 2019-2022 Vaccine Roadmap, whose final objective is "a common vaccination card/passport for EU citizens" and in the 2016 US National Adult Immunization Plan and its Path to Implementation, which also seeks universal adult vaccination (step 4).

Massive institutional and technical buildup of the military-industrial complex came in the form of the 2018 World Economic Forum's Global Health Security: Epidemics Readiness Accelerator, a plan of public-private cooperation on how best to mitigate the economic losses of a plandemic while (a) suspending travel and tourism, (b) crippling supply chains without impeding the flow of strategic commodities, (c) lifting all legal and regulatory barriers to the use of experimental vaccines on the global population, (d) suppressing all scientific and media sources of information

that contradict the official propaganda, and (e) controlling access to the demographic and statistical data in order to sustain the illusion of a pandemic (step 5).

Fourth Phase (2020-2030):

The stage having been set for the death blow to the world as we know it and the annihilation of all remaining pretenses of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights and liberties, the covid-19 plandemic was unleashed and with it the fourth phase of the system's five-step pattern began.

At the top of this global biological warfare apparatus stands the <u>Battelle Memorial Institute</u>, a private nonprofit applied science and technology development company headquartered in Columbus, Ohio, which masquerades as a charitable trust organized as a nonprofit corporation under the laws of the state of Ohio and is exempt from taxation under Section 501(c) (3) of the Internal Revenue Code because it is organized for charitable, scientific and education purposes.

The Battelle Memorial Institute is the coordinating agency of the plandemic era as it manages virtually every arm and tentacle of the American military-industrial complex.

Battelle <u>manages</u> of behalf of the United States Department of Energy the following national laboratories:

- <u>Brookhaven National Laboratory</u> (through Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC a collaboration between Battelle and Stony Brook University)
- <u>Idaho National Laboratory</u> (through the Battelle Energy Alliance a collaboration between Battelle, BWX Technologies, Inc., Washington Group International, <u>Electric Power Research Institute</u> and an alliance of universities)
- <u>Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory</u> (through Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC a collaboration between Battelle, <u>BWX Technologies</u>, Inc., <u>Washington Group International</u>, the <u>University of California</u>, <u>Bechtel National</u>, and the <u>Texas A&M University System</u>)
- <u>Los Alamos National Laboratory</u> (through Triad National Security, LLC a collaboration between Battelle, the <u>University of California</u>, and the Texas A&M University System)
- <u>National Renewable Energy Laboratory</u> (in partnership with <u>MRIGlobal</u> as part of the Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC)
- <u>Oak Ridge National Laboratory</u> (through <u>UT-Battelle</u>, <u>LLC</u> a collaboration between Battelle and the University of Tennessee)
- <u>Pacific Northwest National Laboratory</u>, a national laboratory of the US Department of Energy

Additionally, on behalf of the <u>Department of Homeland Security</u> it manages the <u>National Biodefense Analysis and Countermeasures Center</u> and for the National Science Foundation projects it manages (since March 2016) the completion of the <u>National Ecological Observatory Network</u> (NEON) for the <u>National Science Foundation</u>. (Source: Wikipedia)

Two questions arise from this bizarre structure:

1. Why would a private institute be at the helm of the entire public American military-industrial complex?

Because that is how the US government can shield its depopulation by vaccination and decarbonization by plandemic strategies from public scrutiny and accountability in order to commit crimes against humanity and genocide. A private nonprofit institute cannot be subjected to public oversight and can therefore hide secrets that could otherwise be obtained from a public body through freedom of information requests.

2. Why would a private nonprofit organization manage all US government organizations specialized in energy (nuclear and otherwise), environment and biodefense?

Because in order to pursue depopulation by vaccination and decarbonization by plandemic under a unified plan one needs to control and coordinate the entire gamut of institutions with expertise in energy, environment and biodefense. Furthermore, various institutes could be delegated to play a small part in a grand plan without its employees realizing that they are participating in a global program of depopulation by vaccination and decarbonization by plandemic.

While the system has been using the mass media to misdirect our attention to Wuhan, China, as the source of the pandemic, the true source lies in Columbus, Ohio, at the headquarters of the Battelle Memorial Institute. Of course, Battelle has not released a killer virus into the world, as that would be uncontrollable, but the illusion of one so as to elicit enough fear to force the global population to stay home in order to lower greenhouse gas emissions and thus decarbonize / deconsume as well as to accept being vaccinated and thus depopulate.

There are encouraging signs that people throughout the world will not take this lying down and that this issue is coalescing humankind across all divides. For the first time in history, we have a common enemy, our governments, who for the first time in history have a common enemy, we the people. The result is conflict like never before between these two remaining camps. The Global Peace Index 2021 states:

"The 2021 GPI reveals a world in which the conflicts and crises that emerged in the past decade have begun to abate, only to be replaced with a new wave of tension and uncertainty as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic and rising tensions between many of the major powers. The full impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on peacefulness is still unfolding. While some forms of violence declined in the short term, growing unease with lockdowns and rising economic uncertainty resulted in civil unrest increasing in 2020. Over 5,000 pandemic-related violent events were recorded between January 2020 and April 2021. It is still too early to fully gauge the long-term effects of the pandemic on peace. However, the changing economic conditions in many nations increases the likelihood of political instability and violent demonstrations."

In addition to peaceful protests, a new civil society is rising from the ashes of the old, which has been fully subsumed into the system and has no meaningful role to play anymore, being just controlled opposition or simply silent. So far, the courts are proving to be the last bastions of democracy and the rule of law, but inconsistently since the supreme courts throughout the G20 have long signed on to the depopulation by vaccination and the decarbonization by plandemic programs.

Lower courts, however, are standing by the people in some cases. In the United States for instance, most courts are finding that vaccine mandates raise serious constitutional concerns and exceed the federal government's authority, as the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals has ruled recently. Even at the executive level, the US leads the way with more than a dozes governors having sued the Biden administration and the federal government over their vaccine mandates. Some governors, like Bill Lee of Tennessee, have "signed into law an expansive legislative package limiting the authority schools, health agencies and businesses have over Covid-19 restrictions", and governor Ron DeSantis of Florida signed "legislation that will protect Floridians from losing their jobs due to COVID-19 vaccine mandates and protect parents' rights to make healthcare decisions for students" which "is the strongest pro-freedom, anti-mandate action taken by any state in the nation".

The dangers of vaccines and the abuses committed under the purview of vaccine mandates is also causing blowback throughout the global scientific community, from NIH experts, to the Catholic Medical Association, and from groups of eminent medical experts to the vast majority of international medical experts who have signed the Great Barrington Declaration, more than 870,000 to date, which dwarfs in both numbers and scientific wisdom the sell-outs who push the propaganda of governments and the WHO. But these grassroots forces are going against the biggest coalition of mass murderers in history composed of more than 150 governments that have become partners in this global genocide, the entire UN structure and some 7,000 NGO's paid by the dirty money of so-called philanthropists, the Vatican and the myriad groups it commands, more than 623 companies and organizations directly involved in the development of Covid-19 "vaccines and treatments", and the Global Public-Private Partnership (GPPP), a monster without equal and the latest embodiment of evil on the face of this Earth.

Bottom line, vaccine passports pave way to biometric IDs that will be used to track, measure and limit our consumption, a form of <u>surveillance capitalism</u> that will allow governments to force so-called zero-carbon on every citizen. And vaccine mandates enable governments to institute a system of depopulation by vaccination that I described and warned about as early as 2016 in my peer-reviewed article "<u>Turning Nature Against Man: The Role of Pandemics, Vaccines and Genetics in the UN's Plan to Halt Population Growth</u>" where I stated:

"Faced with resistance from civil society, pressured by an increasingly volatile world, handicapped by the loss of the cover of secrecy, disarmed of plausible deniability, and driven by the sustainability agenda, the UN and national governments have become desperate and isolated and have been forced to adopt a new strategy of population control that no longer relies on their lost ability to turn man against man but on a newly gained ability to turn nature against man. Population control via chemically-induced sterility and morbidity over the course of a lifetime through the adulteration of the basic elements of life with endocrine disruptors is being phased out as more ambitious depopulation targets via vaccine-induced apoptosis through mandatory immunization programmes are being phased in. This new methodology of subverting fertility and increasing mortality, the two means of stable populations, implemented under the guise of societal interventions for public health outcomes with the help of a new global instrument of coercion called 'public health emergency of international concern (PHEIC) requires far fewer financial and human resources but entails far greater risks for mankind and for all life on earth. This methodology allows for the concomitant pursuit of peak population and peak life expectancy by genetically programming sterility and

morbidity early in life through childhood vaccines so the engineered demographic transition is accomplished worldwide by 2050 in the most economical fashion and with the furthest timeframe of responsibility, but also with little or no regard to the integrity of human life, fully outside the law and in defiance of constitutional guarantees."

No greater evil has ever threatened mankind than the depopulation by vaccination program forced down our throats by desperate governments empowered by demented scientists and funded by eugenicists; no greater evil, that is, than the indifference, self-interest and ignorance of the masses who believe that our civilization can continue to expand and exploit the natural world ad infinitum.

What does the evidence show in terms of the rule of law and human rights?

The evidence shows that governments have suspended the rule of law under the pretext of public health and violate with impunity every human right our forefathers have fought, bled and died for, and not only just to the extent necessary to push the depopulation by vaccination and the decarbonization by plandemic agendas, or as the system would have it, protect public health.

Let us start with expressional rights, which are the cornerstone of democracy and the foundation of all other rights. The evidence shows that expressional rights were the first to be buried so that governments and the international system can hide the crimes they are committing to pursue two international security prerogatives: depopulation and decarbonization.

In his <u>latest report on freedom of expression to the Human Rights Council</u>, the UN Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Expression, David Kaye, raises serious concerns about the conduct of governments during the pandemic. He states:

"People have died because governments have lied, hidden information, detained reporters, failed to level with people about the nature of the threat, and criminalised individuals under the guise of 'spreading false information.

People have suffered because some governments would rather protect themselves from criticism than allow people to share information, learn about the outbreak, know what officials are or are not doing to protect them."

He identified five challenges:

1. Access to information held by public authorities

On this point the rapporteur states:

The Human Rights Committee has stated that article 19 of the Covenant includes a right of access to information held by public authorities. Such information includes records held by a public body, regardless of the form in which the information is stored, its source and the date of production.17 As noted by the Committee and cited approvingly by the previous mandate holder, States parties should proactively put into the public domain government information of public interest. 18 The default position must be that public authorities do not wait for a request for information; they must have an

affirmative policy of releasing all relevant information in ways that are understandable to a nontechnical public and that advance public health priorities.

2. Access to the Internet

On this point Kaye states:

"In a moment of global pandemic, the right of access to the Internet should be restated and seen for what it is: a critical element of health-care policy and practice, public information and even the right to life. Indeed, an open and secure Internet should be counted among the leading prerequisites for the enjoyment of the freedom of expression today (A/HRC/29/32, para. 11; see also A/HRC/17/27). Yet Governments have resorted increasingly to the bluntest forms of denial of access to information via the Internet, knowing that digital tools have become an essential – if not, for many, the essential – tool for the enjoyment of the right to seek, receive and impart information. The Human Rights Council has itself reiterated the importance of Internet access. In its resolution 39/6, the Council condemned unequivocally measures in violation of international human rights law aiming to or that intentionally prevented or disrupted access to or dissemination of information online and offline, which undermined the work of journalists in informing the public, including measures to unlawfully or arbitrarily block or take down media websites, such as denial of service attacks, and called upon all States to cease and refrain from those measures, which caused irreparable harm to efforts at building inclusive and peaceful knowledge societies and democracies."

3. Protection and promotion of independent media

On this point Kaye states:

"As noted above, journalism plays an essential role in the communication of information to the public, enabling individuals to exercise their rights to seek and receive information and to develop opinions about the public health threat so that they can take appropriate steps to protect themselves and their communities. This is indeed a moment to reinforce the fundamentally important role of a free, uncensored and unhindered media38 to self-governance. It is something the Human Rights Council has repeatedly emphasized, giving it priority particularly in the context of the mandate on freedom of expression. In its resolution 7/36, the Council mandated the Special Rapporteur on the promotion and protection of the right to freedom of opinion and expression to gather all relevant information, wherever it may occur, relating to violations of the right to freedom of opinion and expression, and discrimination against, or threats or use of violence, harassment, persecution or intimidation directed at persons, seeking to exercise or to promote the exercise of the right to freedom of opinion and expression, including, as a matter of high priority, against journalists or other professionals in the field of information."

4. Public health disinformation

On this point Kaye states:

"While much of the public discussion concerning false pandemic information concerns the steps government and private companies should take to remove such information or punish those who spread it, it is important to begin with government itself. In their 2017 joint declaration on freedom of expression and "fake news", disinformation and propaganda, a set of simple and seemingly obvious points was set out. This included the following:

State actors should not make, sponsor, encourage or further disseminate statements which they know or reasonably should know to be false (disinformation) or which demonstrate a reckless disregard for verifiable information (propaganda).

State actors should, in accordance with their domestic and international legal obligations and their public duties, take care to ensure that they disseminate reliable and trustworthy information, including about matters of public interest, such as the economy, public health, security and the environment.

As has been evident during the COVID-19 pandemic, social media and search engine companies have an enormous impact on public discourse and the rights of individuals on and off their platforms. There is potential for mistakes, particularly in the context of the emphasis on tools of automation, that could cause significant public health harms. Such harm could be caused by, among other things, the take-down of verified and beneficial public health information, which thereafter attracts a negative reputation because of the initial takedown, or a failure to remove content or users sharing unverified information that could lead to health risks. There is also the potential for viewpoint discrimination. Thus, when addressing issues such as the posting of information about public protests inconsistent with governmental guidelines during the pandemic, social media companies should ensure that their policies apply to all such gatherings and do not discriminate on the basis of the protesters' viewpoints. These responsibilities are heavy, and it is particularly difficult for companies to do their required human rights due diligence when their employees are unable to hold regular meetings, dispersed because of public health policies. Nonetheless, that responsibility persists, especially during the pandemic."

5. Public health surveillance


On this point Kaye wants governments to "ensure that any public health surveillance measures are consistent with fundamental legal standards of necessity and proportionality and are transparent, non-discriminatory, limited in duration and scope, subject to oversight, and never be used to criminalise individuals."

As valuable as this report is in in terms of identifying and perhaps also tempering governmental abuses of power and limiting transgressions of the rule of law and violations of fundamental rights to a minimum required to accomplish the system's hidden objectives, it does immeasurable damage by providing governments with the pretext of a pandemic to suspend the rule of law and violate human rights to accomplish necessary depopulation and decarbonization objectives for which there is no legal framework in the current system. This dual purpose characterizes the actions of any and all UN institutions and the international organizations affiliated to or controlled by the UN system. And since the UN system lacks enforcement powers, it is failing miserably in its task to limit the damage done by governments to the rule of law and fundamental rights in order to accomplish the depopulation and decarbonization targets on which the UN's sustainability agenda depends and that the UN system imposes on its Member States. As a result, the principles of legality, necessity and proportionality that the UN system wants to preserve no longer exist in practice but only in theory and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights has for all intents and purposes ceased to exist. This goes to show that it is not possible to have your cake and eat it too, for you cannot violate fundamental rights only to the extent absolutely necessary to accomplish existential goals and be nice about it and still have a functioning rule of law system and respect for fundamental rights.

The evidence also shows that the UN system is misusing its legal oversight bodies to attack governments that protect their citizens from the depopulation by vaccination and the decarbonization by plandemic agendas. As such, they play an active role in forcing governments to use the pretext of a fictitious virus and an illusory pandemic to suspend the rule of law and violate their citizens' fundamental rights and liberties to accomplish the depopulation and decarbonization objectives dictated by the UN system. Brazil, Belarus and Mexico are but a few of the upright governments that have placed their people's rights (at least in word if not in deed) before the dictates of a rogue and criminal international system.

The pandemic façade allows governments to go much further than just violate expressional rights, it enables them to even violate the right to life, which is why the international system protects the illusion of the SARS-Cov-2 virus and the Covid-19 illness at all costs. Without these illusions the vaccine mandate would not be possible and without the vaccine mandate depopulation by vaccination and decarbonization by plandemic would not be possible. The illusion of a reliable test (RT-PCR tests) makes possible the illusion of a killer virus (SARS-Cov-2), which makes possible the illusion of a deadly disease (Covid-19), which makes possible the illusion of a pandemic (declared by the WHO on 11 March 2020), which makes possible the illusion of lifesaving vaccines (8 Covid vaccines approved so far by the WHO), which make possible the illusion of public safety through mandatory or quasi-mandatory vaccines (already in place in more than two dozen nations), which make possible the destruction of the Nurnberg Code and the legal doctrines of beneficence, non-maleficence and informed consent. And that is how the right to life no longer exists, as it is no longer in the hands of individuals and God / Nature but in the hands of governments and feigned medical authorities that are nothing more than unelected and secret depopulation bodies.





As an example, the <u>German Corona Investigative Committee</u> has found that the experimental gene therapies by Moderna and Pfizer that are being injected into billions of people across the planet as vaccines fail to meet the legal and medical requirements of a vaccine, as they do not not provide immunity to the virus, do not protect from getting the virus, do not reduce deaths, do not reduce the circulation of the virus, and do not reduce the transmission of the virus. It has also found that the coercive and even mandatory manner employed by governments to force these mRNA "vaccines" onto the people <u>violate all ten articles of the Nurnberg Code</u>.

Subjecting the right to life to conditionality is the much-coveted ultimate goal of governments. The plandemic has enabled them to cross that threshold and engage in genocide veiled as public health. All other rights are violated only to the extent that it enables governments to subject their citizens' right to life to the higher prerogatives of the state (demographic and economic) and the highest prerogatives of the international community (peacekeeping and resource sharing). The eight vaccines listed above and approved by the WHO are merely weapons of life destruction; eight vaccines that provide governments with eight different ways to sterilize, sicken and kill.

In the process, every <u>international treaty and convention tasked with protecting life</u> – articles 2 and 3 of the <u>Universal Declaration of Human Rights</u>, article 6 of the <u>International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights</u>, article 4 of the <u>African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights</u>, article 5 of the <u>African Charter on the Rights and Welfare of the Child</u>, article 4 of the <u>Protocol to the African Charter on Human and People's Rights on the Rights of Women in Africa</u>, articles 5 and 6 of the <u>Arab Charter on Human Rights</u>, article 2 of the <u>European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms</u>, article 1 of the <u>American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man</u>, article 4 of the <u>American Convention on Human Rights</u>, article 4 of the <u>Inter-American Convention on the Prevention</u>, <u>Punishment and Eradication of Violence against Women</u>, the <u>Genocide Convention</u>, the <u>Oviedo Convention</u>, the <u>Medicrime Convention</u>, the <u>Nurnberg Code</u> – is being violated with impunity while governments pretend the absolute opposite, namely that they protect life, and the courts remain silent and absent.

The report entitled "<u>The Impact of the Covid-19 Pandemic on Human Rights and the Rule of Law</u>" by the Committee on Legal Affairs and Human Rights of the EU Parliamentary Assembly outlines the myriad violations committed thus far while, of course, hiding the most egregious violations of the right to life.

The intent to violate the rule of law and fundamental rights under the pretext of the Covid-19 pandemic, and the willingness of international legal bodies to approve these violations, is reflected

in the <u>unprecedentedly high number of nations that have asked for and received derogation</u> from article 21 of the <u>International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)</u> (Guatemala, Latvia, Armenia, Estonia, Ecuador, Romania, Peru, Georgia, Palestine, Chile, Kyrgyzstan, Colombia, El Salvador, San Marino, Moldova, Ethiopia, Dominican Republic, Namibia, and Azerbaijan), article 11 of the <u>European Court of Human Rights (ECHR)</u> (Romania, Armenia, Moldova, Estonia, Georgia, Albania, North Macedonia, Serbia, San Marino, Latvia, and Paraguay), and article 15 of the <u>American Convention on Human Rights (ACHR)</u> (Ecuador, Colombia, Guatemala, Panama, Honduras, Bolivia, Chile, Argentina, Peru, El Salvador, Dominican Republic, Suriname, and Jamaica).

Interestingly, the countries that have asked for derogation of their international legal obligations to uphold the rule of law and to protect human rights and liberties have been the least egregious in their abuses (Peru being the exception) while the worst violators (Australia, Canada, the US, China, the UK, Austria, and Western and Southern European nations) have not even bothered to get this legal insurance. They have not bothered because there can be no derogation of the right to life and the whole purpose of the plandemic for these nations is to force all their citizens to abandon their right to life into the hands of government. As we have seen, the nations with the most ambitious decarbonization targets and the worst demographic situations are committing the most violent abuses against their citizens to force them to give up their right to life in return for their fundamental rights but only for a limited time, that is, until the next booster shot, which is a precondition for extending the validity of the vaccine passport, as that allows governments to take as many lives as they need every six months at booster time.

The hypocrisy of governments and the international system is too much to bear and without precedent in human history.

What does the evidence show in terms of the media?

First and foremost, it shows that there is no free and unbiased media, be it mainstream, alternative or social, and that never in modern history has the media been more censored, as was to be expected when governments and the international system undertake to commit global genocide and economic sabotage and get away with it. It also shows that never in modern history has the mainstream media, the Fourth Estate, disseminated state / international propaganda more widely or with more shamelessness than during the past two years and in so doing has become an accessory to genocide and crimes against humanity.

A <u>report by Open Democracy</u> has succinctly outlined the five reasons why there is no free media in the UK, but they apply to most other countries as well. They are: (1) the billionaires who own the press set the agenda, (2) corporate advertising revenue censors the content, (3) privately educated white men dominate the media, (4) the political use of supposedly neutral sources, and (5) the intelligence services manipulate the press.

The few brave souls who dare defy governments and the international system to report the truth face unprecedented reprisals. As a credentialed journalist and political scientist, I am a perfect

example of what happens to those who unmask the plandemic and the system's true objectives. I have been arrested nine times on false charges, have not seen my children in nearly 12 years and have no clue where they are or if they are still alive, have lost all my material possessions, and have lived with the threat of assassination and imprisonment for the past twelve years. And in 2020, the vast majority of my work has been erased from the world wide web, starting with my social media accounts (Facebook & Medium), my published articles on dozens of alternative media sites, my academic accounts (Academia & Research Gate), and even my YouTube account, where several of my educational videos and mini documentaries have been deleted. Twelve years of work, several video documentaries, dozens of scientific papers, hundreds of articles, thousands of comments, and tens of thousands of posts have been wiped off by the censors. Facebook and Medium have banned me for life with no explanation. And this is just the tip of the censorship iceberg that has become visible since 2020, for my work has been censored and erased ever since I began my work as a political activist. The only site where I can still voice my opinion freely and publish my work is Telegram, but there I can reach barely a thousand people whereas prior to being banned I could reach at least a hundred thousand people. And I am not alone.

Even by the measuring stick of heavily biased and system-controlled organizations such as the International Press Institute (IPI), and its <u>Covid-19 Press Freedom Tracker</u>, there have been 677 press violations recorded globally since February 2020, of which 34% represent physical and verbal attacks on journalists, 33.5% arrests of journalists or charges filed against them and their media organizations by governments, and 14% relate to restrictions of access to information by governments. And these are mostly violations committed against journalists who are controlled by the depopulation / decarbonization lobby and who push the official narrative in countries that refuse to go along with it. The violations committed by governments against independent journalists who expose the plandemic and defy the official narrative are at least a million-fold more numerous. We get a glimpse of the scale of censorship by the number of supposedly fake accounts Facebook has deleted in the past two years, namely 15 billion, which is five times more than its active user base.

Amnesty International's report, <u>Silenced and Misinformed: Freedom of Expression in Danger during Covid-19</u>, starts with the same fallacy that characterizes all organizations that have been subsumed by the depopulation / decarbonization agenda, namely that there actually is a public health crisis. It states in its opening sentence of the executive summary:

"The right to freedom of expression has been attacked globally in the context of the Covid-19 pandemic and thus increased the dangers posed by the public health crisis."

Had it still been an independent and honest organization, as it once was, it should have identified the Covid-19 'public health crisis' as fictitious in its opening sentence. Despite the typical propaganda language used throughout the report and the constant vaccine pushing, its writers make some valid observations.

"Amnesty International is concerned that all of these Covid-19 related restrictions on freedom of expression, from legislation to targeting of individuals and media, are not just time-bound, extraordinary measures to deal with a temporary crisis, but are part of an onslaught on human rights and civic space that has been taking place globally in the last few years. This report shows that States

are taking advantage of a time of crisis to further clamp down on human rights. Indeed, as we see the lifting of some pandemic restrictions such as on travel or physical distancing, restrictions on freedom of expression continue in place."

Its three recommendations to States are:

"(1) Stop using the pandemic as an excuse to silence independent reporting, debate and scrutiny. (2) Urgently lift all undue restrictions on the right to freedom of expression and guarantee the free flow of information as a key approach to protecting the right to health and enabling an inclusive recovery. (3) Provide credible, reliable, accessible, objective and evidence-based information, by ensuring transparency, creating an enabling environment for independent, public interest journalism and independent civil society, and engaging diverse communities."

Since the plandemic was kickstarted, the mainstream media operates in a parallel universe, an echo chamber of lies, half-truths and propaganda emanating from national and international authorities that pursue geopolitical objectives under the false front of a fictitious medical crisis and an equally fictitious climate change crisis because they cannot pursue the existential prerogatives of depopulation and deconsumption within the rule of law. Nowhere is this more obvious than in the refusal of the mainstream media to properly report on the thousands of demonstrations with millions of participants all around the world against the restrictions imposed by governments under the pretext of the plandemic, demonstrations that have been taking place for well over a year now and that constitute a global revolution. A revolution without equal is taking place throughout the western world but no one would know it by following the mainstream media, which understandably has completely lost the public's trust along with the criminal governments it serves. The people know they are being misled and no amount of money, repetition, false science, and star power can change that.

The mainstream media's only remaining roles are: (1) to disseminate plandemic and climate change propaganda over and over again, (2) to prop up the system's lies and half-truths with more lies and half-truths, and (3) to sustain the system's vanished credibility and legitimacy until the new system can be engaged.

What about the alternative media? Much of the alternative media is also controlled by the system and the media outlets that are not controlled either directly or at arm's length are limited to a small audience and lack the human and financial resources, as well as the knowledge and courage, to fully understand and explain the machinations and complexities of the depopulation and deconsumption programs carried out by the global coalition of governments, corporations and organizations that drive the plandemic and climate change fictions.

As such, whether by design or accident, the alternative media's role is (1) to limit the audience of dissenting voices by splitting the public into narrow ideological camps, (2) to create confusion so the public will not know who or what to believe, and (3) to keep people distracted with inconsequential news.

What about the social media, the Fifth Estate? To destroy the people's ability to communicate vital information hidden by governments and the international system and to prevent the masses from organizing and opposing the depopulation by vaccination and the decarbonization by plandemic programs, the system has engaged the largest and nastiest system of misinformation and censorship in history and is using the social media and artificial intelligence to this effect.

What was created to be the last bastion of freedom has become the last casualty of totalitarian control and the first weapon of mass polarization. As a result, the established social media (Facebook, YouTube, Twitter, LinkedIn, Instagram, Pinterest, Academia, Tumblr, Flickr, Vimeo, Medium, etc.) have deleted or are heavily censoring the pages of individuals who question the official narrative, the free thinkers and the skeptics, and are catering solely to the individuals who accept and obey the official narrative, the credulous and submissive segments of the population. And the newly established social media (Telegram, Gab, MeWe, Orbys, Odysee, Rumble, etc.) are welcoming those who migrate or have been kicked off the established social media platforms because they hold views that are contrary to the propaganda, views that will be reinforced and driven to irreconcilable extremes by tailor-made content. In this fashion, the system uses the natural predilections of the population to create new lines of divisions and to pit the people against one another, which is the only way in which a tiny minority, the elites (which constitute at most 0.1% of the global population) can impose its will on the rest of us, the vast majority. The same old 'divide and conquer'.

These new lines of division, which are entirely artificial, replace the old lines of division, which are cultural or civilizational in nature, as Huntington has accurately described them. Paradoxically, the international system has fought hard since its inception in 1945 to minimize and even eliminate these old fault lines that divide civilizations from one another, and has largely succeeded, only to see itself forced to create new fault lines of division that are convenient to the sustainability agenda / New World Order which is characterized by a clash between governments and the people, the last remaining factions. The new lines of division created by governments and the international system to reach the primary objectives of the sustainability agenda are three: (1) anti-vaxxers vs. pro-vaxxers, to aid the depopulation program; (2) climate change deniers vs. environmentalists, to aid the globalization program.

Having created these new fault lines and having segregated the global population in custom-made social media platforms, the system misuses these platforms to push the two camps to extreme views so as to bring them further apart and cause the two camps to fear and hate one another, the element of fear being crucial to the goal of forcing the global population into submission; thus, total polarization for total submission. An army of shills labors to this end day and night, for so long as the people battle each other they will not battle the system. The system controls the people by misdirecting their efforts towards counterproductive battles so they exhaust each other while the system advances its agenda on all three fronts: depopulation, decarbonization and globalization. The pro-vaxxers are driven to fear and hate the anti-vaxxers, the climate change

deniers are driven to fear and hate the environmentalists, and the nationalists are driven to fear and hate the globalists, and vice versa.

To accomplish this, the social media is being manipulated to play three primary roles: (1) to polarize the public, (2) to keep the population trapped in false dichotomies, and (3) to give people only false choices.

The false dichotomy used to advance the depopulation program is that the SARS-Cov-2 virus comes from a market or from a lab and if it comes from a lab it is either an American or a Chinese bioweapon. This prevents the public from knowing that SARS-Cov-2 does not exist and that it is a fiction conceived by governments in common accord to cause fear and use the fear to get people to submit to unprecedented restrictions to presumably save their own lives from an infectious disease. The system will defend the existence of this non-existent virus and the notion that it sickens and kills millions to the bitter end because without it there can be no pandemic and without a pandemic there can be no restrictions and without restrictions there can be no mandatory vaccines and without mandatory vaccines there can be no vaccine passports and QR codes and without vaccine passports and QR codes there can be no progress made on primarily the depopulation and secondarily the decarbonization fronts. Their entire house of cards collapses without the fiction of a killer virus and the artificial enemy called *anti-vaxxer*.

The false dichotomy used to advance the decarbonization program is that global warming / climate change is real and man-made and it will either kill us all slowly, by drowning, or quickly, by fire and floods. This prevents the public from knowing that global warming / climate change is a fiction conceived by governments in common accord to cause fear and use the fear to get people to submit to unprecedented deconsumption / decarbonization measures to presumably save our planet and thus save ourselves from self-destruction. The system will defend the validity of manmade climate change to the bitter end because without it there can be no justification for abandoning fossil fuels and transitioning to renewables at a pace that requires extraordinary financial sacrifices from all of us and a decline in our standard of living at least for the foreseeable future. And without those sacrifices no progress can be made on primarily the decarbonization and secondarily the depopulation fronts. Their entire house of cards collapses without the fiction of man-made climate change and the artificial enemy called *climate change denier*.

The false dichotomy used to advance the globalization agenda is that nationalists are extremists or terrorists or racists who seek wanton destruction either for cultural or religious reasons. This prevents the public from rejecting the terms of globalization imposed by the system and from seeking refuge in the comforts of national identity and strength from national solidarity. The system will defend the notion that nationalists are extremists or terrorists or racists who seek nothing but wanton destruction and will vilify them to the bitter end because without this vilification there can be no justification for intruding further and further on national sovereignty and for transferring ever more powers from national to international authorities, a process that leaves us all feeling and being unrepresented in democracies that look and feel increasingly more like dictatorships. And without these intrusions into national sovereignty and the transfer of

powers there can be no progress made on the globalization front. Their entire house of cards collapses without the fiction of nationalism as extremism/terrorism/racism and the artificial enemy called *nationalist*.

So much about false dichotomies, but what about false choices? Behind the false choices hide key goals without which the system cannot make the progress it needs on the depopulation, decarbonization and globalization fronts. The false dichotomies disorient the people while the false choices catch them in the wide net cast by the system.

On the depopulation front the false choice the people are faced with is between premature death by vaccination or the death of their genetic lineage by sterilizing drugs (Ivermectin or Hydroxychloroquine), both of which are presented as their ticket to good health, but only vaccines, which are the system's preferred option, supposedly also provide *the only way out of the pandemic* and a *return to normal*.





YOUR HEALTH DEPENDS ON THIS FALSE CHOICE

On the decarbonization front the false choice the people are faced with is between control via vaccine passport and biannual boosters or via repeated PCR tests and mandatory masks, both of which are presented as their ticket to freedom, but only the vaccine passport, which is the system's preferred option, also provides *the privilege* of getting all your fundamental rights back.





YOUR FREEDOM DEPENDS ON THIS FALSE CHOICE

And on the globalization front the false choice the people are faced with is between the Republican or Democratic party (in the US), the Conservative or Labour party (in the UK), or between the right or the left or various right or left coalitions (elsewhere in Europe) and indeed throughout the G20. But regardless of who the people vote for, the political class at the national level will do what is ordered to do by the political class at the international level, which is married to the

sustainability agenda and therefore to the depopulation, decarbonization and globalization programs.





YOUR FUTURE DEPENDS ON THIS FALSE CHOICE

But the social media also has the following secondary roles:

- 1. Reduces the likelihood of violent resistance by allowing people to vent their anger and frustrations.
- 2. Eliminates the chance of meaningful opposition by giving people the false hope that help is on the way from elsewhere.
- 3. Provides a means to slowly educate the public about the all-important geopolitical prerogatives of depopulation, decarbonization and globalization through piecemeal disclosure that is credible but that cannot be used to delegitimize and disenfranchise the existing power structure
- 4. Prepares the public emotionally and psychologically for the difficult transition from the age of consumerism to the age of sustainability which requires from all of us to henceforth concentrate on being rather than having, as the Church has aptly put it.

The extent to which the system has perverted the media offends the intellect and instincts of men. The extent to which the system has perverted the First, Second, Third, Fourth and Fifth Estates will offend men for generations to come unless we start using our instincts to put an end to it.

What does the evidence show in terms of politics?

The evidence shows meticulous preparation, resolute action, and close cooperation between political parties at the national level and between governments and the UN system at the international level. It also shows that the separation of powers is a fiction and that the executive, legislative and judiciary branches of government work hand in glove to suspend the rule of law and violate all human rights and liberties to accomplish the planetary security prerogatives of depopulation, deconsumption (aka decarbonization), and resource sharing (aka globalization), all the while destroying the existing system to pave the way for a new socio-economic system.

In terms of meticulous preparation, let us look chronologically at the most significant political events that took place.

Following my 46-day hunger strike at the Vatican in the spring of 2014 (from 19 April to 3 June), which shook, shocked and set the system in motion by catalyzing it for action, Pope Francis acted immediately. At that time, my knowledge of the depopulation program was nowhere near as extensive as it is today, nevertheless I gave a clear explanation of the <u>methods</u> and the <u>phases of depopulation</u> for the world at large and in so doing exposed the deepest and darkest secret of the international system and the Church.

Twenty days after I started my hunger strike at the doorsteps of the Vatican to compel Pope Francis to stop the depopulation genocide, thus on the 9th of May, His Holiness took the extraordinary step of calling the heads of the UN system organizations to Rome for an emergency meeting that was presented to the media as the 'biannual meeting for strategic coordination of the United Nations System Chief Executives Board', which always takes place at the UN headquarters in New York City and never elsewhere. They dutifully came to Rome, including the then Secretary-General of the UN, Mr. Ban Ki-moon, and privately talked about what they should do now that the depopulation program is out in the open. Publicly, however, a one-page speech by Pope Francis was released while I still hungered on the doorsteps of the Vatican. In it, he responds to my request to put a stop to the depopulation genocide and indicates what he expects from the international system in the following paragraphs:

"Future Sustainable Development Goals must therefore be formulated and carried out with generosity and courage, so that they can have a real impact on the structural causes of poverty and hunger, attain more substantial results in protecting the environment, ensure dignified and productive labor for all, and provide appropriate protection for the family, which is an essential element in sustainable human and social development. Specifically, this involves challenging all forms of injustice and resisting the "economy of exclusion", the "throwaway culture" and the "culture of death" which nowadays sadly risk becoming passively accepted.

Today, in concrete terms, an awareness of the dignity of each of our brothers and sisters whose life is sacred and inviolable from conception to natural death must lead us to share with complete freedom the goods which God's providence has placed in our hands, material goods but also intellectual and spiritual ones, and to give back generously and lavishly whatever we may have earlier unjustly refused to others."

In plain English, he states that the *culture of death*, which is a clear reference to the depopulation program, must stop and the *inviolable sanctity of life from conception to natural death* must be respected. As for what he expects from the UN system: eliminate poverty, protect the environment, support the family. As for how, he states in a different paragraph: increased international activity, redistribution of economic benefits by the state, and cooperation between the private sector and civil society. There is nothing in Pope Francis' speech to the UN chiefs that I could possibly disagree with, but unfortunately what has happened since is the opposite of what was said.

A whirlwind of activity at the highest level of global governance followed my hunger strike at the Vatican and Pope Francis' meeting with the UN chiefs. In November 2014, his Holiness went to Strasbourg and addressed the European Parliament, where he lamented Europe's waning influence and lost vigor, calling the continent "somewhat elderly and haggard", a clear reference to Europe's demographic problems, namely its aged population, thus giving political leaders the go ahead to rejuvenate Europe, which signals the beginning of the last phase of the demographic transition, the killing of the elderly before they cause economic collapse. In the same speech he also stresses the dignity of man and the human, economic and political rights that enable it, but makes those rights conditional on and even subordinate to "the common good of society", foreshadowing the mandatory vaccination program that is being justified as necessary for public health.

During his <u>second speech</u>, this time at the Council of Europe, the EU's main human rights body, he spoke of peace as more than the absence of war but as a state of "harmony in mutual relationships" that to achieve necessitates "actions which generate new processes in society and engage other persons and groups, who can then develop them to the point where they bear fruit in significant historical events". This heralds the unprecedented social, economic and political upheaval Europe's leaders have unleashed with the plandemic, which is the action that has generated new processes. The significant historical event, however, is yet to come and it is up to us to make it happen.

In the Vatican's oblique, obscure and at times impenetrable language, he calls on the continent's leaders to use Europe's deep cultural and intellectual roots to advance the cause of peace across the world, by "striving to create a constructive harmony" between nations (multipolarity), and between generations and beyond organizations (transversality) through an all-inclusive dialogue that yields "generational empathy" within Europe and "a spirit of understanding and mutual respect" between the world's peoples and cultures.

He also states that Europe's roots must be anchored in the fertile soil of truth since "the roots are nourished by truth, which is the sustenance, the vital lymph, of any society which would be truly free, human and fraternal" and because "truth appeals to conscience" which in turn "gives rise to fundamental decisions guided by the pursuit of the good, for others and for one's self", thus to "responsible freedom". In this respect, unfortunately the absolute opposite has happened since the truth has been sacrificed at the altar of political convenience and cowardice. To this day, I remain the only torchbearer of the naked and complete truth.

He urges Europe not to be self-defeatist but to reclaim its lost idealism, its spirit of curiosity and enterprise, and its thirst for truth and be the continent that once again inspires and leads the world. He offers the Church's help on four key issues: (1) the protection of human life, (2) the protection of immigrants, (3) the eradication of youth unemployment, (4) and the defense of the environment.

On the key issue of protecting human life, which is the issue I took the Church to task over, Pope Francis makes the capital mistake of giving Europe's leaders permission to violate medical, scientific and juridic aspects. He states:

"I think in particular of the issues linked to the protection of human life, sensitive issues that demand a careful study which takes into account the truth of the entire human being, without being restricted to specific medical, scientific or juridic aspects."

The mandatory vaccination program we are now confronted with is the tragic and dangerous legacy of Pope Francis's words, the Church's misguided leadership, the blind obedience of Europe's political leaders, and the unbearable ignorance and indifference of the masses.

A year later, he took his message across the Atlantic, to the other pole of European power, the United States where he addressed Congress on the 24th of September 2015. The key point Pope Francis made in his speech is that Europe and America need to work together and pool their resources to solve the world's geopolitical problems. He used the rest of his speech to levy some veiled criticism at America's particular shortcomings, pointing out that all faith groups within the US need to work together to eliminate new global forms of slavery caused by wealth inequality and born of grave historical injustices; that politics cannot be subservient to economic and financial interests if all people are to enjoy the fruits of society; that the US must cooperate with its neighbors in the spirit of reciprocal subsidiarity to solve the problem of immigration; that the death penalty must be abolished; that the US must show global solidarity to solve the problem of extreme poverty across the world; that the creation and distribution of wealth needs to change if mankind is to address its pressing human and environmental issues through a culture of care; that technology can forge a different type of progress, which is healthier, more human, more social, and more integral; that political leaders must initiate processes rather than react to events, and that they must defend and promote the family. Once again a list of goals I cannot agree with more, but that is worlds apart from what has happened since which this time is not necessarily the Pope's failure but America's.

A few months earlier, on the 18th of June 2015, Pope Francis published the encyclical <u>Laudato</u> <u>si'</u>(Praise Be to You), subtitled "on care for our common home", as a spiritual plan of action and a guiding document for humankind to leave behind consumerism and irresponsible development and focus our efforts on preventing environmental degradation, global warming, and the "suffering of the excluded". In this encyclical he championed the concept of "integral ecology" as "an instrument of love and respect for all and among all and for creation". Once again, there is nothing in his encyclical that I could disagree with, but unfortunately it did not result in any progress.

Frustrated by the lack of progress, I returned to the Vatican in the spring of 2016 for a second hunger strike which lasted 60 days (from the 14th of April to the 12th of June), time during which I waged war on the Church's culture of secrecy, its failure to acknowledge let alone bring the depopulation program out in the open, and its reluctance to empower mankind to assume responsibility over the sacred task of limiting human life on the planet. I expressed my dissatisfaction and demands publicly in Prayer 7, "The Eyes of the World are on You", filmed on the 27th day of hunger strike (9 May 2016), at the Pantheon. My criticism of Pope Francis and the pressure on the Church peaked in Prayer 28, "Know you no Shame", filmed on the 50th day of hunger strike (2 June 2016).

The pressure I brought to bear on the system with my second hunger strike and the many articles I wrote thereafter, the interviews I gave, the publication of Peace Without Poison, the many educational videos I released, and the outreach to governments I engaged in prompted the depopulation lobby (as I came to call the coalition of mostly western governments, the Vatican and the UN system) to accelerate its population control program and to start the process of transitioning from chemical (endocrine disruptors) to biological methods of depopulation (vaccines). In 2017, I explained in a short video, entitled "Grand Objectives of the Depopulation Program", that this transition is inevitable because it allows the system to change its focus from preventing births to accelerating deaths to address the unsustainable problem of inverted population pyramids, thus of too many old and too few young people to support them.

In 2016 and 2017 respectively, the US and the EU published their adult vaccination plans (<u>EU Roadmap on Vaccination</u>, <u>US National Adult Immunization Plan</u>, and <u>A Path to Implementation</u>), thereby signaling their resolve to complete the demographic transition / depopulation program by resorting to vaccines as a way to subvert immunity and thus shorten lifespans.

In 2019, <u>Ursula van der Leyen</u> was placed in the position of President of the European Commission, who as a gynecologist and mother of five was to symbolize Europe's new fertility and an end to the depopulation policy, so I hoped, at least as far as preventing the birth of children is concerned. Several pro-natalist policies by various European governments, such as those <u>enacted by Viktor Orban of Hungary</u>, who is a role model for the entire world in this respect, confirmed my hope.

But as soon as it was announced that the new EU President would live in the European Commission's headquarters in a specially arranged apartment to "reduce the need for external security" I knew that serious trouble is on the way because the policies she intends to adopt would make her so unpopular that she would have to be under constant surveillance to protect her life from the raging masses. As it turns out, my suspicions were well founded.

That the EU was getting ready to take serious actions on its demographic problems was further confirmed by the creation of a <u>Democracy and Demography Commissioner</u>, a first in EU politics. The disquieting juxtaposition of 'democracy and demography' in this new ministry's name forewarned us of the upcoming sacrifice of democracy at the altar of demography. The <u>announcement</u> on the Age-Friendly Europe (AGE) website, of all places since this organization lobbies for the interests of the elderly, states:

"For the first time ever, a Vice-President in charge of Democracy and Demography has been designated by the European Commission President-elect: Dubravka Šuica, from Croatia, will lead the Commission's work on ensuring that Europe understands and responds to one of its deepest lying challenges: demographic change. This nomination echoes AGE's call for a dedicated Commissioner as part of a comprehensive EU Strategy on demographic change and solidarity between generations. Particularly to be welcomed is the comprehensive approach described in VP Šuica's mission letter, with a focus on the long-term impact of demographic ageing on care and pensions, as well as on the impact on the different groups in society and on the areas and regions that are the most affected."

While Europe and America prepared for their geopolitical / demographic mobilization, Pope Francis reached out to the Muslim world to unite the newest monotheistic faith, which until then had been excluded from the Abrahamic family by being denied its due recognition as an equal spiritual force, with Christianity and by extension with Judaism, its two older siblings, in common cause, namely a <u>culture of dialogue (as the path)</u>, <u>mutual cooperation (as the code of conduct)</u>, and <u>reciprocal understanding (as the method and standard)</u>. Once again a praiseworthy, long overdue and necessary step that has my full approval.

Pope Francis and Sheikh Ahmed el-Tayeb, the Grand Imam of Al Azhar, signed the "Document on Human Fraternity for World Peace and Living Together" on the 4th of February 2019 and established the Higher Committee on Human Fraternity to see its mission through. This will hopefully put an end to the bloody competition between the world's monotheistic religions for the hearts and minds of the world's people. The world's two largest religions (both in terms of numbers of adherents and geographic space) have rightfully agreed to instead focus their combined efforts on combatting the moral deterioration of the international community and the weakening of spiritual values that are the ultimate causes of global conflict.

With everything in place, the plandemic was obligingly kickstarted on behalf of the international community by China on the 31st of December when it alerted the WHO of pneumonia cases with an unknown cause in Wuhan City. And the rest is history, or more precisely theater whose acts are recollected on various timelines! Of course, China was chosen to start the plandemic so the West could use China as an example of how to "save" the population through strict prevention measures that trample on any and all human rights and freedoms. Only China, a one-party state, could quarantine 46 million people for the first time in history paving the way for western nations to do the same.

Had China not gone first, the West could not have invoked China as an example to follow on how to beat the pandemic. That is why to this day China is being haled a great success story with "only 0.05% of the total number of global cases despite making up 19% of the world's population" and why China is again being praised as an example to follow for maintaining a zero-Covid strategy that is to serve as a model for the rest of the world on how to contain a pandemic in just two weeks through totalitarian controls.

It is also no coincidence that Italy was the first western nation to be "hit" by the plandemic and to adopt <u>nationwide quarantine measures</u>. After all, Pope Francis is a crucial element and the primary driving force of the depopulation by vaccination and the plandemic deception, reserving a key role for himself in the grand theater of several pandemic acts: the first <u>solitary prayer service in St. Peter's square</u> and the first unscheduled *Urbi et Orbi* blessing without an audience (27 March 2020), when he "urged the world to see the crisis as a test of solidarity and a reminder of basic values"; the <u>first to call vaccination a moral and an ethical duty</u> (10 January 2021); the first to accuse the unvaccinated of "gambling with the lives of others" and thus give papal approval to guilt tripping the global population (14 January 2021); the first to <u>declare that getting vaccinated is an "act of love"</u> and to get his subordinates to publicly push vaccines (18 August 2021); the first to issue a vaccine mandate for all Vatican employees with no exceptions and to deny pay to those

without the proper vaccine certificate for being "unjustly absent" (28 September 2021); and the first to mandate a Covid pass for visitors to Vatican City whose right it is to see the patrimony of all Christians and indeed of all mankind (1 October 2021).

Nor is it any surprise that Sweden was the only Western nation allowed to reject the so-called pandemic prevention measures and seek natural immunity instead, but in the process allegedly incur twice as many fictitious deaths as the rest of Europe, for that has given the nations that have destroyed their economies by imposing draconian pandemic prevention measures the ability to say that their methods have saved lives, twice or thrice as many lives as Sweden.

And last but not least, it is also no surprise that Israel was allowed to <u>forge ahead of everyone else</u> <u>with its vaccination program</u>, for that served as a convincing argument to suede vaccine skeptics to get vaccinated, skeptics who would have reasoned that if the Jews vaccinate themselves it is because they know that the <u>vaccines save lives</u> otherwise they would not do it. And it is for the same reverse psychology reason that the <u>Palestinians were allowed to remain unvaccinated</u>, as that would have only strengthened the argument that Covid vaccines are good and are safe that is why the Israelis are vaccinating themselves while excluding the Palestinians.

Every step of the plandemic has been carefully considered long in advance and has been implemented according to a grand plan that takes advantage of every misconception and human weakness there is, but also of every advantage provided by the world's various political systems and national reputations. And throughout its implementation the political class plays theater by pretending to fight for the preservation of democracy, the rule of law, and fundamental rights. Of course, nothing is further from the truth. They are putting on a good show but the evidence of collusion shatters their acts. Here are just a few examples:

- 1. Fauci has been caught lying about supposedly <u>funding gain of function research in Wuhan</u> but despite many <u>public exchanges with Senator Rand Paul</u> there have been no legal consequences whatsoever when he should already be convicted for crimes against humanity.
- 2. The <u>US and China accuse each other of triggering a global pandemic</u> that supposedly killed more than 5 million people and crippled the global economy, but once again no repercussions whatsoever, instead we see an even closer economic collaboration.
- 3. The <u>WHO went twice to Wuhan to supposedly investigate the origin of the virus</u> that does not exist and of course nothing came of it. How could it? There is no virus to investigate.
- 4. The EU Parliament passed the <u>Digital Green Certificate Regulation</u> to "facilitate" travel but is instead being used to eviscerate fundamental rights and liberties and to make travel nearly impossible. Despite this gross misuse and abuse there has been no abrogation of this regulation yet, even though I gave MEPs a clear and public ultimatum on the 5th of November 2021 that states:

To all Members of the European Parliament,

We, the people, give you until the end of November to abrogate the Digital Green Certificate Regulation that was adopted by the European Parliament on the 9th of June 2021 (with 546 votes to 93 and 51 abstentions) to help restore the free movement of people across the European

Union, but that is instead being misused by all EU Member States to blatantly violate every fundamental right and freedom of the European people.

We, the people, are fully aware that the Digital Green Certificate is a hidden depopulation and decarbonization strategy and, as such, enables governments to commit crimes against humanity, genocide, treason, economic sabotage, and subversion of national security under the pretext of pandemic prevention to reduce the population and greenhouse gas emissions to sustainable levels.

If you fail to act by the stated deadline, you will be held personally responsible and liable for the crimes committed and the damages caused.

They didn't because the task of destroying the existing system is still in progress and without the vaccine mandate it cannot be completed.

Pope Francis is undoubtedly *capo dei capi* (boss of bosses) of the global depopulation mafia, by far the biggest and most dangerous criminal organization in the world with at least 2 billion confirmed kills. And Pope Francis is also the *capo dei capi* of the global rescue coalition, by far the biggest and most effective humanitarian organization in the world with at least 8 billion confirmed lives saved. For that is the paradox of global governance and the tightrope balancing act between good and evil that those who assume ultimate responsibility for the wellbeing of mankind must walk because the rest of us refuse to face the reality and to live in the image of God. The Church takes upon itself to do what mankind refuses to even contemplate, replicate in our civilization the divine order that keeps all species in a state of equilibrium and harmony in Nature because our civilization is a human construct, our creation, and as such entirely out of God's hands.

The Church took upon itself the burden to limit the birth of children, new life, by preventing the moment of conception and thus sparing us from having to abort our own children, and it is doing it again to limit the life of the elderly, old life, by hastening the moment of dying to spare us from having to euthanize our own parents.

Conception is to this day prevented by chemical means through our onslaught with endocrine disruptors as a condition of eating and drinking the fruits of society, while life expectancy will henceforth be limited by biological means through our onslaught with vaccines as a condition of working and living in society.

Like Jesus so the Church takes upon itself our sins and in so doing treats us as children, or as a flock of sheep. Have we not emancipated past the status of sheep who need to be shepherded? Those who cannot see the necessity of limiting ourselves to two children only, replacement level fertility, because the planet's resources are finite, and to a decade of carefree life past our utility, because the resources of society are finite, have not emancipated past the status of sheep and continue to need a shepherd.

With the world's people disoriented and frightened by the shock and awe of the plandemic, the system took two more important steps and timed them to perfection. First, it used the 50th annual meeting of the World Economic Forum (WEF), which took place on the 20th of June 2020, to

unveil its plan for the future, the <u>Great Reset</u>, a rebuilding of society and the economy in a sustainable way following the Covid-19 pandemic. And second, it released on the 3rd of October 2020 Pope Francis' encyclical <u>Fratelli Tutti</u> (All Brothers), subtitled "On Fraternity and Social Friendship", to call for fraternity, solidarity and a categorical no to war. The Great Reset is the practical plan for building a new socio-economic system, the what, and Fratelli Tutti is the moral encouragement to implement it, the why.

The practical plan of the Great Reset has three core components: creating conditions for stakeholder capitalism (a system in which corporations serve the interests of all stakeholders and focus on creating long-term value, not maximizing profits, and on fairer social and economic outcomes), ensuring sustainable economic growth (growth based on environmental, social, and governance metrics that incorporate more green infrastructure projects), and harnessing the innovations of the Fourth Industrial Revolution ("...characterized by a fusion of technologies that is blurring the lines between the physical, digital, and biological spheres" and that "herald the transformation of entire systems of production, management, and governance").

The moral encouragement of *Fratelli Tutti* also has three core components: promoting global solidarity and fraternity (by becoming a single human family and dreaming as such), building a better and more just world (by overcoming prejudices, personal interests, historic, ethnic and cultural barriers and by nurturing "an ethics of international relations"), and once and for all confine war to the past (by constructing a global governance framework, adopting a politics centered on human dignity, eliminating nuclear arms, and respecting the sacredness of life).

Both the what we need to do, expressed in the Great Reset, and the why we need to do it, expressed in Fratelli Tutti, are praiseworthy and have my full approval. But how can the ensuing reality be the absolute opposite of the stated intentions? How can the plan be heaven and the result hell, at least so far? No one can possibly screw up in such an extreme way, not even the most incapable or disinterested leaders.

The answer then can only be that the architects of the New World Order have not finished delegitimizing and destroying the Existing World Order. They are trying to make us hate the status quo by pushing its abuses to extremes and by exposing and making its flaws and impotence so intolerable that we will beg for something different, for something new. They are, therefore, accelerating the existing system's decay and demise to enhance the desire for and smooth the transition to a new system.

It is no surprise then that on the 6th of December 2021 China released a scathing and pertinent report on the state of democracy in the USA, entitled "<u>Ten Questions of American Democracy</u>", detailing its deficiencies and abuses. Its purpose, the authors declare, is to help the US improve its own system and practices and change its way of interacting with other countries, but also to show that American style democracy "<u>has become alienated and degenerated, and it has increasingly deviated from the essence of democracy and its original design</u>".

It is also for the sake of delegitimizing democracy and paving the way for a new form of government that the separation of powers has been shut down. Who can fail to notice that the

courts have remained silent and absent during the most vicious abuses of fundamental rights and liberties and the most obvious suspension of the rule of law? Without a functioning separation of powers between the executive, legislative and judiciary branches democracy does not work and what would be the point of preserving a system that does not work anymore? The inertia and nostalgia of democracy are being chipped away to make room for a new and hopefully better system. Incidentally, the attack on the family and the LGBTQ +42 nonsense are part and parcel of the same strategy to get us to hate the existing system. And it's working!

The driving force of this new international system and its epicenter is the moral authority of the Church, but I am its spear, my words are its guidance, and my vision its destination. The introduction to my book "The Future of Global Governance" says it all:

"Our Earth is an indivisible whole, a perfect balance of myriad elements, animate and inanimate, coexisting symbiotically in a state of constant flux of indescribable grace and beauty, a cosmic dance that we will never fully understand and will forever try to understand. Humanity too must become an indivisible whole if we are to reach a state of harmony with ourselves and our biosphere, which shelters us and our Mother Earth from the cruel emptiness of space.

We have reached a point in our evolution that renders our civilization a force equal to any in nature but entirely at odds with everything in nature; an unbridled force that disrupts the hardearned state of equilibrium our planet has held for the past twelve thousand years, time during which our species has thrived beyond imagining at unimaginable cost to all other life forms and to the very elements that make life possible.

As an elemental force we must merge with all other elemental forces and restore the shattered harmony of our geological epoch while at the same time ensuring the perpetuation of the species and respect for the sanctity of life in all its forms until the end of time. The Sustainable Development Goals are our best attempt to envision and define what we have to do, why we have to do it, and how fast we must do it to become a gentle part of the divine harmony, as we once were.

For humankind to become an indivisible whole, at peace with itself and in harmony with nature, we have to build on our evolved social conscience and expand its horizons until we are all capable of global consciousness and erect the organizational infrastructure necessary to express and apply it. The evolution of a global consciousness is the underlying theme of this document and the fundamental task of our generation.

In plain English, every single human being on the planet must learn to think and act as an earthling and abandon old loyalties to nation state, religion, social class, tribe, sect, ethnicity, and race; loyalties that divide us along multiple fault lines and prevent us from reaching unity in purpose and from protecting our planet from our own destructive ways, acting instead as each other's enemies.

Those who cannot or will not make this leap into global consciousness will be left behind so the rest of us and all life on earth can survive and thrive on a pristine planet."

The world's 196 nation states revolve around this spiritual epicenter and act as proxies, some reluctantly while others enthusiastically because any lucid person can see that the system we have is inadequate and antiquated. It cannot take us into the future for three reasons, the first political, the second economic, and the third social:

- 1. First, democracy is a system based on representation but future generations and all life forms on earth do not have and cannot possibly have representatives at the governing table. The new form of government must be by necessity global and must look far into the future to establish "harmony among men, equilibrium between man and nature, and continuity between this and future generations" and as such be "entrusted with safeguarding the wellbeing of the planet, of all life on it, and of future generations – and thus their concerns and responsibilities are far deeper, far larger and far longer than those of national politicians - they cannot be constrained by the whims and wishes of voters and the democratic process, for the obvious reason that the unborn and the other species on Earth cannot voice their concerns or exercise their democratic rights by casting a vote, and must therefore be free to act as a divine entity and not as an elected body, thus as representatives of God and life and not as representatives of men and interests. By virtue of their task, global policy makers cannot be democratic. They can only be **naturocratic**, that is to say beholden to the laws of nature and the limitations of Earth and not bound to the will of the electorate and the interests of particular groups. To ensure that the living live within nature's constraints, which are eternal, and do not force nature to contort to human needs, which are ephemeral, global policy makers can only be understood, respected and obeyed if all people are given the opportunity to partake in the governing process both as passive observers of the intellectual struggle and as active enforcers of the will of humanity's brain, the Council of 12, and as beneficiaries of the kindness of humanity's heart, the Twelve *Elders.* "(pp. 8-9) That is why we have to abandon democracy.
- 2. Capitalism is based on growth and growth cannot be infinite on a finite planet. To reestablish harmony between man and nature we have to stabilize the population and then decrease it to a sustainable level which means henceforth the population and therefore consumption will shrink not grow. An economic system based on growth cannot serve us in a future characterized by population and economic contraction. That is why we have to abandon capitalism.
- 3. Human nature cannot be changed and it is always and always will be seeking for better, more, bigger, faster, smarter, brighter, sleeker, and so continuous progress and continuous improvement because we all want to live better. The scientific and technological progress that makes life better is not possible without the use of more resources. To live better means more resources and more space per capita and the only way to allocate more resources and space to every individual without annihilating all other species and destroying the planet is by reducing the population in direct but inverse proportion with the per capita increase in the consumption of resources (the fourth law of civilization building), otherwise we would go from less to less and from worse to worse and no one would accept this. That is why we have to consciously redesign society around the <u>four laws of civilization building</u>, which are:

First Law: That you have to limit the quantity of life to improve the quality and you must do it selectively to aid and accelerate the process of natural selection and improve the intelligence and genetic makeup of the stock.

Second Law: That you have to slow down population growth to remain within the technical ability of society to produce food and build infrastructure and you have to do it by any means necessary because failing to do it will have only negative consequences.


Third Law: That once you get close to the planet's carrying capacity you have to stabilize the population in perpetuity and stabilize it at a level that is sustainable for any given planet, so the planet's life support systems are not damaged in which case intelligent life would unintelligently sow the seed of its own destruction.

Fourth Law: That once a state of harmony is reached between civilization and nature all subsequent progress depends on society's ability to reduce the number of people in direct but inverse proportion with the per capita increase in the consumption of resources and that this reduction has to be accomplished with everyone's free and conscious collaboration. In other words, the more technologically advanced our civilization becomes the fewer people can be accommodated on planet earth and all people must contribute to mankind's reduction in numbers to enable mankind's ascension in level of civilization.


To erect something new on the ashes of the old you must first burn down the old, but you must do it carefully in a controlled manner to minimize suffering, maintain control, preserve stability, and reduce the inevitably large number of victims. And that is precisely what our elites are doing, a controlled demolition of the existing system. And they are doing it in a manner that I have come to call 'self-reinforcing cycles', by starting with an engineered crisis (medical crisis & pandemic restrictions) that "caused" another crisis (economic/energy crisis & hyperinflation) that in turn will lead to another crisis (financial crisis & currency collapse) that finally will place the world in front of just two possible choices: war and complete destruction or unity and universal prosperity. And, of course, the latter choice is the obvious one. Incidentally, the notion that 'unity prevails over conflict' is the second of four core Bergoglian principles.

Where does the system get its inspiration from? From history of course. And history teaches that the greatest reductions in population (due to excess deaths and missed births) and in consumption/emissions have been as a result of six types of crises: epidemics/pand


CO2 Emissions Chart



Global Deaths due to Wars



Children unborn due to World War One



Our leaders chose to start the process of destroying the existing system in 2020 with an engineered medical crisis, the Covid-19 pandemic, which killed no one because it is an illusion, but on paper killed 5,325,283 people as of 13 December 2021. The fear generated by the plandemic, however, allowed governments to impose unprecedented pandemic prevention measures, thus restrictions

on the population that have caused the worst global recession since World War 2. Continued pandemic prevention measures, made worse by the coercive vaccination program rolled out in 2021, have deepened the economic recession through supply chain disruptions (which are also great for deconsumption) thus triggering an alleged energy crisis in 2021, though no such thing is possible because global energy use in 2021 is 3% below 2019 levels and no critical energy infrastructure has been destroyed in 2020. High energy prices, however, are a great way to reduce consumption and emissions over the long-term because they prevent an economic recovery and drive inflation. The artificially high energy prices caused by the fake medical crisis have indeed resulted in high inflation in 2021, as planned, and may result in hyperinflation in 2022, if so desired, which is a perfect way to further reduce consumption and emissions, but also population by making food unaffordable to the very poor, which is indeed happening causing global famine that will affect 17% more people in 2022. To exacerbate the false economic crisis and deepen it with a financial crisis governments are wasting exorbitant amounts of money on vaccines, PCR tests, fast antigen tests, employment support measures and subversive economic measures to sink national budgets in unpayable debt and thus cause an unprecedented global financial crisis that will pave the way for a global digital currency and for global governance. And to top it all, the key players of the current world order, the US, Russia and China are already beating the drums of war and saber-rattling and threatening with war in the Ukraine, where a Russian invasion is supposedly imminent, in Iran, which is supposedly building nuclear weapons to destroy Israel, and in Taiwan, which China is supposedly intent on taking by force. All these conflicts, however, are as fictitious as the plandemic itself because there is absolutely no reason for war, but war would hasten the demise of the existing system and act as a solid last nail in its coffin.

So far, the architects of this grand transition to another system have created a perfect storm through the combined devastation of a false medical crisis, on top of an equally false energy crisis, plus an artificial economic crisis that together have resulted in a real inflation crisis and a surreal famine crisis, which will allow the system to soon set off a financial crisis sometimes in 2022 and perhaps even a war crisis if the system is still standing by then. The upcoming financial crisis will be most likely triggered by the <u>default of Evergrande</u>, which was just announced on the 10th of December 2021, the Chinese real estate developer whose debt has reached 500 billion dollars, followed by the collapse of cryptocurrencies and the 2 to 4 trillion dollars invested in them, and concluded by default on the giant <u>unrepayable national deficits</u> incurred in the past two years. And if a war should be necessary to finish the job of destroying the existing system then the most likely choice will be to allow China to take Taiwan in gratitude for obliging the international system by starting the plandemic in Wuhan and soon also the financial crisis with Evergrande.

The decade 2020 to 2030 will go down in history as the decade of manufactured crises that have made possible the collapse of the old socio-economic system and the launch of a new one. The old socio-economic system whose destruction we are now witnessing made possible the age of consumption. The new socio-economic system whose creation we will soon witness will make possible the age of sustainability. Hang on for dear life because it will be a very rough ride if we don't stop the insanity and pursue a clearheaded and open transition to a new and better system!

But is a clearheaded and open transition to a new and better system possible without manufacturing crises? Ten years ago, I would have said yes but today, after more than a decade of superhuman efforts and sacrifices to move the masses, efforts that have only coalesced our leaders but not the people, I can categorically say no, it is not possible. Society is trapped in inertia and only a major crisis, or a series of manufactured crises, will shake it out of inertia's iron grip. And the masses are trapped in their little universes and see nothing and want to see nothing outside their own desires.

For better or worse, our leaders have found the courage to act and to do what needs to be done in the only way possible. That is why I endorse their plan even though I don't like it. I endorse it, however, only under the condition that it culminates in the formation of the Planetary Wellbeing Authority I have envisioned and that I will have veto power over its decisions.

While our leaders destroy the old system and we float helplessly on the wave of their destruction we must prepare for life in the sustainability era and craft its rules and its ethics so they are ready to be engaged and we are all in agreement.

Although my work already entails the rules and ethics of the new era we are about to enter, this work is by no means complete. To complete it I will need to spend a year travelling the world and living on every continent to connect with each culture and people so that my final work reflects them as well as it reflects my own European ancestry otherwise the end result will be Eurocentric and therefore alien to most. And for that I will need adequate financial support.

PART THREE: SOLUTIONS

Now on to the most difficult problems we face and how to solve them if we are to achieve sustainability, which I define as "harmony among men, equilibrium between man and nature, and continuity between this and future generations". They are:


- (1) Population reduction (to reach optimal and sustainable population levels in every country)
- (2) Replacement level fertility (to stabilize the population of the developing world)
- (3) Limited lifespans (to conclude the demographic transition in the developed world and prevent economic collapse)
- (4) Level consumption across the globe (to prevent war between nations and bring the developing world to a similar level of prosperity to the developed world without destroying the planet).

These are the four grand unstated objectives without which fulfilling the stated 17 <u>sustainable</u> <u>development goals</u> is not possible.

1. Problem One: Population Reduction

To reach optimal population levels in every country.


The social, psychosocial and legal methods of population control employed since the 1500s by the world's organized religions have managed to slowly bring the global population's growth rate to a peak of 2.2% in 1962-1963. I briefly describe these methods in my article "The Holy Grail: Controlling Human Life on the Planet", but much work remains to be done; work that I will not be able to conclude until the Vatican allows me access to its Secret Archives, which so far it has denied me. The annual growth rate has been quickly decreasing since 1963 due to the use of covert chemical methods of depopulation by governments (and to a far lesser extent the growing use of contraceptives by individuals) and is currently at 1.05%. For the global population to stop growing entirely, the growth rate would have to decrease to 0%. It is hoped that Covid-19 vaccines will accomplish this decrease to 0% if the vast majority of the global population can be convinced, coerced or forced to get vaccinated.




Data sources: Observations: US Census Bureau & Projections: United Nations Population Division (Medium Variant (2015 revision). The interactive data visualization is available at OurWorldinData.org. There you find the raw data and more visualizations on this topic

icensed under CC-BY-SA by the author Max Roser


The covert chemical methods of depopulation employed since 1945 (which I describe in <u>Killing Us Softly</u>, <u>Peace Without Poison</u>, and <u>Depop Secrets</u>), have allowed the international system to reach peak net increase in global population growth in 1987 when 92,83 million people were born, the highest number ever in a single year. This represents the net increase in total population in one year. It does not mean the total global population has started to decrease in 1987. It just means the global population is growing at a slower pace since 1987. (Source: <u>Our World in Data</u>)



Depending on which population trajectory the world chooses – low, medium, or high – the total global population will start decreasing in 2060, 2100, or never according to <u>UN projections</u>.



Each of the world's major regions is at a different stage of population stabilization, Europe being the first and only region so far to reach peak total population in 2018 at 751,612,093 people. It has since decreased to 748,298,836, thus by more than 3.3 million people. The world as a whole is expected to reach peak population in 2070 at 9.4 billion (from the current 7.9 billion) according to the Wittgenstein Centre and in 2060 according to the UN. If the ongoing depopulation by vaccination program is successful, however, the world will reach peak population in 2030 or at the latest in 2040.



This is what has been accomplished so far in terms of controlling population growth. Needless to say, the cost in life and treasure has been immense and beyond any calculation, but certainly by far the costliest, bloodiest and longest program ever embarked upon by humankind. By my rough estimate, a quarter of global GDP is being currently squandered on this program, which has been running since the third millennium B.C. when Egypt was the first civilization to consciously combat population growth.

The dilemma we face today is threefold: first, we are running out of vital natural resources; second, we are destroying the planet with our pollution; and third, we are causing a sixth mass extinction by encroaching on the ecosystems of other species. Measured in time, we have until the end of this century to balance our numbers with the available resources; about the same amount of time to stop polluting the planet and make it unlivable; and until the middle of this century to save most of the species that still remain. Time, therefore, is of the essence.

For these three reasons, it is imperative we bring the global population down to a sustainable number, which the <u>Overshoot Index</u> has calculated to be about 4.3 billion, and that we do it as fast as possible and at the latest by the end of this century. To accomplish this seemingly impossible task we can either allow governments to poison and vaccinate us out of existence or we can assume responsibility and control over the sacred task of limiting human life on the planet.

Although I have no intention of allowing the former and every intention of accomplishing the latter, it does not mean I will succeed, as much as I want to, for the simple reason that I have no superhuman powers. I can neither wave a wand and suddenly stop the international system nor magically reduce our numbers. And neither can anyone else. The only powers at my disposal are the power of reason and the power of persuasion aided by the power of faith and the power of love. Unfortunately, few people listen to reason and those in control of the depopulation program prevent me from persuading the world. They stand in my way for self-serving reasons and because they have no faith in mankind. To relinquish their power and authority over mankind would mean losing their wealth and privileges. And to empower us requires that they provide full disclosure and trust us to understand and refrain from violent retribution and to be willing to assume control and make the necessary sacrifices. And the vast majority of the global population seems incapable to understand or unwilling to assume responsibility over the depopulation program. It is for us to show those in power that we are willing and capable to assume control and to solve this existentially important issue once and for all, if they are to stop poisoning and vaccinating us into oblivion. It is by far the better of the only three options we have.

Option One:

We can solve the population problem once and for all by demanding a global **Population Stabilization Law** with three components: (1) *replacement level fertility* from now until the end of time or until we become a multiplanetary civilization, which will most probably never happen (2) *assisted suicide* at age 75 until the global population stabilizes at circa 5 billion people and the old-age burden is below 20%, and (3) *optimal population levels* for every country.

A global Population Stabilization Law requires a global organization to enforce it, a **Planetary Wellbeing Authority**, which would be a de facto incipient global government and the brain of our civilization. Such a global institution is long overdue and its benefits would be priceless. In the briefest of terms, it would be the end of war and the beginning of everlasting peace; it would redirect to our service the immense human, material and financial resources that are now employed against us by the military-industrial complex that governments use to wage war on human fertility and immunity; it would transform the current system of opposing forces and constant conflict to a system of converging forces that would result in constructive synergy and unprecedented prosperity. Virtually overnight, our civilization would become twice as wealthy and perfectly peaceful.

Option Two:

Until such law and the organization to enforce it are in place, however, someone has to spearhead and embody this spirit of planetary responsibility. I therefore call on all clearheaded, strong, rational, awakened and loving individuals capable of making sacrifices for mankind and all life on earth, sacrifices for the wellbeing of our planet, to step forward. How such individuals can express this new and expanded planetary consciousness is very simple, by vowing to (1) limit themselves to two children only, replacement level fertility; (2) to a lifespan of 75 years, and (3) to a reasonable ecological footprint dictated by their own conscience and needs. This triple vow is the **Earthling Covenant**, made by those selfless, awakened and forward-looking

individuals who assume planetary responsibilities and a lifestyle that reflects this expanded awareness.

We shall henceforth call these individuals **Earth Angels** and I herewith officially declare myself the first Earth Angel and call on all governments, the UN system, and every organized religion on earth to recognize the Earth Angels for their readiness to make the most invaluable contribution to mankind and to our blue planet and all creatures on it, and in gratitude for our self-sacrifice to grant us free passage and full rights anywhere on earth, thus global citizenship, and free us of the ridiculous conditions that are being imposed on the global population to limit people's freedom under the pretext of nationality, and the new and even more ridiculous conditions imposed on the people to limit their mobility, consumption and lifespan under the pretext of a fabricated medical crisis.

The world's nations, religions and the UN system can keep their ridiculous conditions for those who lack the strength to stare reality in the face and act accordingly, for those who refuse to swallow the red pill and instead insist on living in la-la land. We will not be dragged down to hell by the lowest common denominator. We will lift the world to the highest common denominator.

To monitor and limit our own consumption according to our needs and conscience we, the Earth Angels, accept biometric IDs but will never accept any implant or the alteration of our bodies in any way shape or form for any reason whatsoever. The body is a temple and the mind its sanctuary and only nature not science, not government, not corporations, not nerds nor anyone else for that matter, has the right, integrity, ability and wisdom to continue our evolution from animals to angels, our evolution as organic beings, and our evolution as living stardust; only Nature, only God can do that. So, you can take your vaccines, new and old, and shove them where the sun don't shine. And you can take your drugs, legal and illegal, and shove them where the sun don't shine. And you can take your lies, white or black, and shove them...well, you know where. For we have no need for your vaccines, drugs and lies. We need only the truth and the conscience to live in truth.

We, the Earth Angels, will lead mankind out of darkness and barbarity, away from ignorance and indifference, beyond selfishness and self-destruction, and far above genocide, matricide and patricide. We will steer mankind away from the precipice towards which it is racing headlong like a mindless herd of stampeding buffaloes, or the even more mindless herd of goggled geeks lost in the metaverse. We will do it by the strength and honesty of our reason and of our faith, faith not in the abstraction called God but in the divine abilities with which nature has endowed us with. We, the Earth Angels, reject a system of the weak, by the weak, and for the weak, a system that caters to the worst aspects of human nature and that thrives only under the cover of deception and secrecy, a system of obfuscation and lies bent on keeping people ignorant and indifferent, dependent and confused, weak and needy.

We, the Earth Angels, are the ambassadors of a truly new world order, the ambassadors of the only possible future, the ambassadors of a higher civilization, one that lives according to immutable planetary security prerogatives and acknowledges society's evolutionary dilemmas, history's challenges, the laws of the universe and the existential issues they pose.

What 'planetary security prerogatives' you ask?

- 1. To respect and never transgress the planet's finite renewable resources and reach a state of equilibrium between man and nature by bringing the human population in constant balance with the planet's resources, hence depopulation.
- 2. To respect and never transgress the planetary boundaries and thus live within the means provided by our planet's ecosystems and their ability to regenerate that which we use, hence decarbonization / deconsumption.
- 3. To respect and never transgress the oneness and indivisibility of Earth and therefore the oneness and indivisibility of our species, whose members are entitled to an equal share of Earth's bounty, irrespective where they were born and where they live, because all natural resources are the result of geological processes that took place over millions of years and cannot be considered the property of nations but the property of Earth and all its creatures.

What 'evolutionary dilemmas' you ask?

- 1. That we have evolved into a force equal to any in nature but entirely at odds with everything in nature, thus only we can reestablish harmony between our civilization and nature.
- 2. That we have advanced technically and scientifically to the point where we must choose whether to pursue the evolution of our technology or the evolution of our organic beings, because both are not possible as they are mutually exclusive, and whether to evolve along the Kardashev scale and therefore seek to use energy from beyond our solar system or be a civilization that seeks to be indistinguishable from nature.
- 3. That we cannot expand outside our planet until we reach and sustain a state of harmony between civilization and nature here on Earth, until, in other words, we prove ourselves worthy of the awesome responsibility to respect and guard creation here before we can do so elsewhere.

What 'historical challenges' you ask?

- 1. To surmount the fault lines between our various cultures, ethnicities, languages, and ideologies and find common denominators that allow us to coexist peacefully and to dream together so we can erect a common planetary civilization and culture that is far superior to everything we leave behind.
- 2. To think and plan further ahead than ever before with the best foresight of the present without falling victims to self-fulfilling prophecies because our civilization is evolving so fast that we can self-destruct before we realize the long-term consequences of our evolution.
- 3. To be constantly aware that everything we do now, both as individuals and as a species, echoes through eternity, and to embody this awareness in our personal and collective actions by always seeking the sublime.

What 'laws of the universe' you ask?

- 1. That everything is in perfect balance, due to the law of polarity, and constant motion, due to the law of cause and effect.
- 2. That everything is energy and energy is never lost but constantly recycled.
- 3. That everything that exists will pass into nonexistence and then back into existence and this process of creation and destruction is the breath of the universe.

Option Three:

The option chosen by governments, the UN system and the world's spiritual leaders is to vaccinate us into sterility and early death, while we pretend not knowing what is going on. The weak can take this option, but I never will.


2. Problem Two: Replacement level fertility


To stabilize the population of the developing world.

When leaders understood that the ultimate cause of mankind's miseries and agonies is unrestrained population growth they took the only logical action possible and began subverting fertility to bring it down to replacement level, two children per woman, so the population neither grows nor decreases. The UN was formed for this reason and the war on human fertility as a substitute to conventional war began. This was a far more humane way to curb population growth than by killing people through war, famine and pestilence, the previous methods used, and therefore By inserting sterilizing chemicals (fluoride, bisphenols, chlorinated significant progress. pesticides, polychlorinated biphenyls, etc.) in food and water, the intention is to prevent the moment of conception to avoid having to abort, thus prevent life from forming so as not to have to end life once formed. Unfortunately, more often than not conception occurs but the new life is ended shortly after either through unknown or occult early pregnancy loss (in 30% of pregnancies) or clinical miscarriage (in 10% of pregnancies). By virtue of this covert chemical poisoning program through the basic elements of life, all developed nations, and in the meantime many developing nations, have brought their total fertility rates down to replacement level or lower. Their progress is visualized in graphs like these:

The total fertility rate by world region including the UN projections, 1950 to 2009

Our World in Data






Source: United Nations - Population Division (2019 Revision)

OurWorldInData.org/future-population-growth/ • CC BY

Children per woman, 1950 to 2020






Source: United Nations - Population Division (2019 Revision)

CC BY

Children per woman by GDP per capita, 2017



Children per woman is measured as the total fertility rate. This is the number of children that would be born to the average woman if she were to live to the end of her child-bearing years and give birth to children at the currently prevailing age-specific fertility rates. GDP per capita is measured in international-\$.




Source: UN Population Division (2019 Revision), Feenstra et al. (2015) Penn World Tables 9.1 OurWorldInData.org/fertility/ • CC BY Note: Size of country bubbles are representative of population size. Bubble coloring is representative of continent.

Depending on the political will of every country and on the brutality with which covert chemical methods of sterilization were employed, some countries have reduced their total fertility rates faster than others, as this graph and the latest <u>UN data</u> clearly show.

How long did it take for fertility to fall from more than 6 children per woman to fewer than 3 children per woman?





^{*} The one-child-policy in China was introduced after the decline of the total fertility rate below 3. It was introduced between 1978 and 1980.

Data source: The data on the total fertility rate is taken from the Gapminder fertility dataset (version 6) and the World Bank World Development Indicators.


The interactive data visualization is available at OurWorldinData.org. There you find the raw data and more visualizations on this topic.

Licensed under CC-BY-SA by the author Max Roser

If governments continue to use covert chemical methods of sterilization, however, they will permanently destroy mankind's ability to procreate. Already, sperm counts in the West have plummeted by 69% between 1973 and 2011 and will reach zero by 2045. There are currently more than 200 depopulation poisons in our food system identified by ECHA's Candidate List of Substances of Very Great Concern and the damage they cause to the human reproductive system is cumulative, which is why every generation is less capable of reproduction than the previous generation and why the desired fertility is below actual fertility in most regions of the world except Africa. Despite this dire situation, governments, the UN system, the military-industrial complex, and the Vatican continue to lie and to ascribe this collapse in fertility to everything under the sun except their poisoning program. They are incapable of changing course even though they are leading mankind to perdition. They are incapable because they lack the courage to tell the truth and to account for the crimes they have committed.


On the other hand, the nations that have not combatted population growth have fallen into the poverty trap and war and are therefore in a far worse situation than those countries that have been subjected to population control methods. Let us look at three examples: Afghanistan, Nigeria and Yemen, which have rapid population growth in common, as shown by their classic pyramidal

population structures. Nigeria, however, is the only one out of the three pyramids with flaring sides, which denote the totally unrestrained and therefore most rapid population growth.



Afghanistan's total fertility rate stands at 4.2 children per woman in 2021, its population growth rate at 2.3%, its median age at 18.4 years, and its gross national income (GNI) per capita at \$530. It is therefore a very poor country with a very young population growing very fast. Not surprisingly it has fallen into the poverty trap and into constant war. The international system has engineered the false flag event of 9/11 to justify invading the country to subject it to population control methods and stabilize the situation. The moment the US and NATO troops took control of the country in October 2001, its total fertility rate started to decline sharply and went from 7.6 children per woman to 4.2 in 20 years of occupation, a significant drop that may have been accomplished solely by providing adequate contraceptive methods and education to the women of Afghanistan.

Total fertility rate in Afghanistan, from 1920 to 2020*



The graph above also shows that neither the Russian invasion and occupation (1979-1989) nor the constant conflict between its various warlords have put a dent on Afghanistan's total fertility rate, which proves that the intention behind the US / NATO occupation was purely demographic and selfless, to stabilize its population before it destabilizes the entire region. It should be noted that Afghanistan's population tripled in the last 50 years and has generated millions of refugees to the neighboring Pakistan and as far away as Europe. The US / NATO invasion of Afghanistan was therefore a proactive peace mission intended to get the last remaining country in Asia under control as far as population growth is concerned. Whether the war against fertility will be continued by the Taliban remains to be seen, but one thing is clear, further reducing the TFR will require covert chemical poisoning, coercive sterilizing vaccines, or Chinese style legislation. As it is, the country is in dire straits since NATO pulled out four months ago. PBS reports that:

"Every single Afghan province is considered food-insecure or even in crisis; 23 million Afghans need food assistance; 8.7 million are nearing famine. One million children face severe, acute malnutrition, and could starve and die this winter, far more than died in 20 years of war. Schools have no money to pay teachers because the banking system is inoperable. And the health care system is near collapse because international assistance that was the source of funding has been frozen."


Judging by the international community's reluctance to send aid, it looks like Afghanistan's population will be drastically reduced through famine. One thing is clear, the world cannot keep millions alive through aid in perpetuity while the Afghan population continues to double every twenty years and has long surpassed its country's resources. According to the Overshoot Index, Afghanistan's sustainable population is just 19 million, which means the country is 20 million people in overshoot.

Nigeria's total fertility rate stands at 5.2 children per woman in 2021, its population growth rate at 2.5%, its median age at 18.1 years, and its gross national income (GNI) per capita at \$2.156. It is not as poor as Afghanistan, but its population is as young and growing even faster because it also refuses to tackle its fertility problem, which is why its TFR is still close to its natural rate of 6.5 children per woman despite decades of efforts by the UN system, USAID, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, the GAVI Vaccine Alliance and various other depopulation organizations. As a result, the country is unstable, crime is ripe, and its most lucrative business is Boko Haram inspired kidnap-for-ransom operations. To make matters worse, its unemployment rate has slipped out of control.




Nigeria: Unemployment rate from 1999 to 2020

With a total population of 213 million, the largest in Africa, of which only 102 million are sustainable, and a sixfold growth since 1950, Nigeria is in serious trouble.



Yemen's total fertility rate stands at 3.6 children per woman in 2021, its population growth rate at 2.3%, its median age at 20.2 years, and its gross national income (GNI) per capita at \$852. Like Afghanistan and Nigeria, it is a very poor country with a very young population growing very fast. Nevertheless, it managed to lower its total fertility rate from 8.8 children per woman in 1990 to 3.6 to date, a noteworthy accomplishment, but not sufficient to spare the country from famine and war, which has been nearly constant since 2014.





According to the World Food Program, 5 million Yemenis are on the brink of starvation; 16 million, that's more than half of its population, are food insecure; and "1.2 million pregnant or breastfeeding women and 2.3 million children under 5 require treatment for acute malnutrition". Considering that Yemen can only sustain 12 million people and is therefore 18 million people in overshoot, we can be certain that the international community will allow famine to take its course and the only help the nation will receive is sterilizing vaccines.

Option One:

Our governments chose the easy way out, to continue poisoning us into sterility but by a different method. Their idea of changing course was to replace covert chemical methods of depopulation, thus our poisoning with endocrine disruptors through the basic elements of life, with coercive or mandatory biological methods of depopulation, thus our sterilization with vaccines that is now unfolding. Replacing one form of genocide with another is neither progress nor desirable in any way.


Option Two:

And yet the solution is so simple and so obvious, a **global replacement level fertility law** so that no woman can have more than two children in her lifetime unless her second pregnancy brings twins in which case no one will kill newborns especially since twin births are too few (only 1 in 42 children is born a twin) to make a statistical difference. Countries that are overpopulated can promote and financially assist couples to limit themselves to just one child, while countries that have very low fertility and whose populations decrease too fast can promote and financially assist couples to have more than just two children to compensate for the very high infertility rate of their peers. African countries are in the first predicament and European countries in the second. That way the fertility rate can also help countries reach ideal population levels gradually and without resorting to genocide, matricide and patricide.

3. Problem Three: Limited lifespan

To conclude the demographic transition in the developed world and prevent economic collapse.

Longevity is a problem only in countries that have reached the last stage of the demographic transition / depopulation program, mostly developed countries, and have an unsustainable old-age burden, thus above 20%, and a very high median age, more than 40 years. Their population structures look like inverted population pyramids and are the result of many decades of covertly undermining their people's fertility. It is of course no coincidence that the Axis countries that have lost WW2 (Japan, Germany, Italy) were the first to be in this predicament as they were the first to be subjected to a brutal program of sterilization by the conquering Allied nations (USA, Russia, UK).




Japan's median age stands at 48.4 years, its life expectancy at 84.79 years, and its <u>old-age burden</u> (i.e., people 65 and up) at 28.7% but once early retirees from various professions are added on its old-age burden easily reaches 35%, the highest in the world. Japan also has 80,000 centenarians, by far the highest number in the world. If nothing is done about it, <u>by 2050 almost 4 out of 10 people (40%) will be 65 years or older</u>. Japan is already the fastest ageing nation and a super-aged society.

Germany's median age stands at 45.7 years, its life expectancy at 81.57 years, and its old-age burden at 22%, but once early retirees from various professions are added on its old-age burden easily reaches 30%. Germany has more than 20,000 centenarians. If nothing is done about it, by 2050 almost 5 out of 10 people (50%) will be 65 years or older.

Italy's median age stands at 47.3 years, its life expectancy at 82.40 years, and its old-age burden at 23.4%, but once early retirees from various professions are added on its old-age burden easily surpasses 33%. Italy has almost 18,000 centenarians. If nothing is done about it, by 2050 about 6 out of 10 people (60%) will be 65 years or older. Already Italy spends 17% of its GDP on pensions and 28% on total social expenditures.

In all three nations every working person will have to support more than two retired people by 2050, which is fiscally impossible. Currently, every working person supports one retired person and all three nations have grossly underfunded pension plans and high national deficits as a result.

All European and indeed all developed nations have disastrously underfunded pensions plans anywhere from 200% to 500% greater than their GDPs. In other words, there is no possible way for any Western nation to cover its pension entitlements.



We are heading for disaster and our options are very limited.

Option One:

No politician, regardless of skill or decency, and no government, regardless of political color or popular support, can change this disastrous demographic structure, which is why all politicians, across the political spectrum and in every country that has inverted population pyramids, cooperate in the pandemic charade and the depopulation by vaccination deception. They have no other choice, but we do. And we owe it to our children and to the countless generations who have sacrificed before us and who have died to defend our right to a better life. We have a better life than any generation before us and we owe it to the depopulation program. It is because of the depopulation program that:

- 1. my parents' generation, my generation, and my children's generation are the first and only three generations in history not to fight and die in war. Many men of my generation, myself included, have not even had to do military service and none of my children's generation will have to if we do our part;
- 2. we have experienced the longest period of peace and stability in history;
- 3. we have lived and are living through the longest period of prosperity in history;
- 4. we have benefitted and can still benefit, if we do our part, from the strongest fundamental rights and liberties in history.

By voluntarily dying at age 75 we acknowledge the sacrifices of our forefathers and pay it forward to our children so they too may acknowledge us for our sacrifices. This is what Pope Francis implies when he says that getting vaccinated is the ethical thing to do, but in not telling us that we offer our lives he deprives us of the honor we deserve for doing the right thing, of the right to die with dignity, and of the privilege to be considered modern day heroes.


Option Two:

Governments cannot ask us to accept being euthanized at age 75, but it can force us to accept being vaccinated under the pretext of public health and pandemic prevention so we die before we are 75 or even before we reach retirement age. Herein we see the dilemma faced by our political, spiritual and intellectual leaders and the limitations and inadequacy of democracy to confront these

existential issues that unless resolved spell the end of mankind. Herein we also see the shortcomings and inadequacies of the international architecture, which was designed to preserve peace between nations and to help all countries reach prosperity through consensus and mutual cooperation.

And we cannot ask governments to leave the demographic conundrum unaddressed, as that will inevitably lead to financial and social collapse within a decade. The only solution is to offer our lives in old age so that society can survive this impasse and our governments need not commit matricide and patricide. In so doing we save not only our children and our countries, we save our democracies and our dignity, and we also save our humanity and even advance it a notch. All we need is the truth from our leaders and the courage to face it and to act in the only way that is decent and even heroic.


For as the map below shows, Japan, Canada and Europe have the oldest populations and will collapse fiscally before anyone else if they do not act now.



Option Three:


There is a third option governments have pursued under the veil of immigration but that the people have rejected. That option allowed governments to bring in as many migrants and refugees as necessary to keep their countries afloat fiscally and demographically. The rationale was to prevent their populations from shrinking and to keep the median age and the old-age burden from advancing, but at the price of altering the ethnic, religious and cultural makeup of their nations by gradually replacing the native population with foreigners. Germany, whose population has been decreasing since 1972 and is currently losing 200,000 people a year, is the perfect example of this model, but it all stopped after its government took in one million refugees in 2015 which generated a powerful populist backlash. Having pursued the immigration option, its foreign-born population now accounts for 13% of the total, putting Germany in 12th place in the OECD, and nearly 27% of its population has an immigrant background.

Japan's population has also been decreasing since 2005 and is currently shrinking by more than half a million people a year, a trend that is only accelerating. To keep its population stable Japan would need to bring in more than half a million migrants a year, something its leaders and its xenophobic people have rejected from the onset, which is why Japan's foreign-born population is the lowest in the OECD at just 1.2%. Alternatively, it would have to boost births by more than half a million a year, which is no longer possible because the reproductive system of the Japanese people has been destroyed through the use of covert chemical methods of sterilization since 1945 when the Allied powers began poisoning the Japanese people with fluoride to halt population growth so there will be no repeat of World War Two.



Furthermore, Japan needs to drastically decrease its population if it is to ever reach sustainability. According to the <u>Overshoot Index</u> Japan's sustainable population is just 18 million and is 109 million people in overshoot, meaning it has 109 million people too many for its resources. Its demographic evolution is represented in the two population pyramids below, the first from 1940 and the second from 2020, which show how its population structure changed from a pyramid, and

thus a growing population, to an inverted pyramid and therefore a decreasing population, which is the result of covert chemical methods of depopulation that were imposed on the Japanese as a condition of surrender by the Allies Powers at the end of World War Two. Japan has the most pronounced inverted population pyramid because it is the first country to be subjected to population control. The rest of the developed world is a decade or more behind Japan but have also arrived at inverted population pyramids and are already shrinking or will soon start to shrink.



Japan, like the rest of the developed world, therefore, has three major dilemmas: (1) it has to drastically reduce its population in order to reach sustainability, (2) it must financially support a growing old age-burden with a decreasing working age population, and (3) it must increase its productivity and maintain its market share to sustain its growing social costs but with a workforce that is continuously decreasing.

To accomplish this without having to commit matricide and patricide by using vaccines as depopulation weapons, Japan (which unlike Germany <u>has kept its Covid-19 vaccine policy voluntary</u>) is trying another option.

Option Four:

Japan's chosen option is to engage the Fourth Industrial Revolution; in other words, it is counting on artificial intelligence (AI) and robots to generate the wealth that is now produced by its people. Whether it will succeed or not remains to be seen. If it fails, it won't be for lack of trying, as <u>Japan is already the world's largest robot manufacturer with 47% of the global production market</u>, has the third largest robot density in the world with 364 robots for every 10,000 employees, and it already uses robots to manufacture other robots.


It is also no surprise that Germany, which faces the same challenges as Japan within the same short time frame, has the fourth largest robot density in the world with 346 units for every 10,000 employees and is by far the largest robot market in Europe with 38% of the continent's industrial robots. Incidentally, Singapore and South Korea, which have demographic situations that are similar to those of Germany and Japan, but not quite as bad, have the first and second highest densities of robots with 918 and 868 units per 10,000 employees respectively.

If the mortality rate caused by vaccines will be statistically insignificant, as I suspect, and governments are using the plandemic to discredit the democratic system in order to usher in a non-democratic system, as I also suspect, then this fourth option will be employed throughout the developed world. The Great Reset promoted by the World Economic Forum identifies the Fourth Industrial Revolution as a pillar of the New World Order. There are no guarantees, however, that it will work, but it is already certain that it will cause a slew of irreversible and disastrous problems: a radical split of the population into have-nots (99.9% of the population) and superrich (0,01% of the population) who own everything; a total disenfranchisement of the population both politically and economically; and totalitarian surveillance and controls, to name but a few. In my view, it is a form of wishful thinking that technology will save us from having to do something that is very difficult but is the only thing that can get us out of this impasse, namely legislate a 75-year lifespan. A bird in the hand is worth two in the bush.

Option Five:

There is a fifth option, but it requires a global government, a single and global taxation system, a global currency, and the dissolution of the nation state. This is the only option, however, that can simultaneously solve most of the world's problems in one swoop, namely: the growing populations of the developing world, the collapsing populations of the developed world, the extreme poverty of the developing world, the impending fiscal implosion of the developed world, and the wealth gap within and between nations.

By viewing the entire global population as one indivisible unit, the demographic structure changes radically and we no longer have to deal with an inverted population pyramid but with a haystack shaped structure (Graph source). As a result, the old-age burden decreases to an easily The working age manageable 9.2%. population increases to an ideal 57.4%. And the child dependency ratio is comfortable 33.4%. Life expectancy, therefore, is no longer a problem and we will not have to legislate assisted suicide at age 75 since six working people can easily support one retiree.




The hook is that the average Gross National Income (GNI) of the world is just \$11,057 per capita, which is at the upper end of middle-income countries, but far below the GNI of wealthy western nations, which is as high as \$60,000 or more (Graph source). Price homogenization may reduce the gap by a third but even so it is unlikely that rich nations will accept such a drastic drop in their GNI (as much as 400% for North America) for the sake of saving the poorest nations from poverty and to allow their own elderly population to live to the end of their natural lives at a time during

the demographic transition when such burden cannot be sustained. But even if the people of the rich world were willing to accept such a drastic drop in their standard of living in theory it would not be possible in practice. Most Americans and Canadians live in single family homes whose upkeep could not be maintained on just \$11,057, to say nothing of their need for personal vehicles since North American cities are designed for car travel.





Gross National Income (GNI) per capita is adjusted for price changes over time (inflation) and price differences between countries – it is measured in constant international-\$.



Due to my personal experience, I can attest to the fact that a 400% drop in standard of living is very unpleasant and impossible to accept. It was forced on me by the system in retaliation for my work exposing the depopulation program and I could make it work only by relocating from Canada to Romania. In Canada, where the GNI is \$45,700, I would starve to death on \$11,057, but in Romania, where the GNI is \$12,500, I can just barely make it work.

There is a huge effort ongoing to lift the GNI of African nations and of other poor nations in the world, but it will take half a century to close the wealth gap between them and the West and it will happen only if the West is forced into a <u>steady-state economy</u>. In the meantime, the environmental destruction will only accelerate, for as poor nations become wealthy their consumption increases accordingly and so will their ecological footprint, which will only intensify and accelerate the need to reduce the global population by half.

The EU, which has a combined GNI per capita of \$35,873, is attempting to do something similar to this option but not by a central tax collection agency as by EU fund transfers. This is possible in the EU due to the similar standard of living, a common political structure, and because the population of the EU's wealthy nations is double that of poorer EU nations. Even so it is difficult and it is the true reason the UK left the EU. It simply did not want to see its national wealth diluted by the EU's harmonization policy, even though the UK's GNI of circa \$45,000 is not that different from the EU's combined GNI of circa \$36,000, which with the UK still in the EU would have been even larger and therefore the difference with that of the UK that much smaller. Besides, the equalization of wealth is a gradual process that will take a couple more decades and not a sudden overnight event. And the same can be done globally if the people of the world embrace the vision of a united humankind and if the political class musters the courage to take this leap forward.

Until such time, we live with grotesque social distortions caused by the various options attempted so far. Here are a few examples:

- 1. Hundreds of thousands of young Romanian women, many of them mothers, <u>care for the elderly in Italy</u> and other Western European countries where the old-age burden surpasses the ability and willingness of the native population to cope. While they provide around the clock care for the old and the sick in foreign countries their children grow up without their mothers back home. Where is the justice in that? Why should an old person who has already lived a full life deprive a child of the right to a full life and a whole family?
- 2. Many elderly in Japan <u>commit petty crimes to be cared for in prison</u> because they lack the money and the support to take care of themselves at home. They prefer incarceration and the security of prison to poverty and loneliness in society. As a result, one in five crimes are currently being committed by the elderly. Twenty years ago, it was just one in twenty.
- 3. Young and healthy men and women in poor countries are forced by poverty or organized crime to donate their kidneys to save the lives of old and sick people in rich countries. Why should people in their twenties sacrifice their health and risk their lives to save people in their 50s, 60s, 70s and 80s who cling to life at any price, a price always paid by the young?

The nature of the problems I have outlined so far, and the limited options at our disposal, underscore the need for full disclosure. At no other time in history has the need for truth been as critical as now, which is why I risked my life during two hunger strikes at the Vatican and have dedicated my life to unshackle the truth. Unfortunately, neither the Church nor governments have provided full disclosure, but quite the contrary have decided to sink further into the swamp of lies and the decay of deception. I have called for the truth and Pope Francis has echoed my call when he stated in front of the Council of Europe:

"The roots are nourished by truth, which is the sustenance, the vital lymph, of any society which would be truly free, human and fraternal. On the other hand, truth appeals to conscience, which cannot be reduced to a form of conditioning. Conscience is capable of recognizing its own dignity and being open to the absolute; it thus gives rise to fundamental decisions guided by the pursuit of the good, for others and for one's self; it is itself the locus of responsible freedom."

The EU's political leaders should have followed Pope Francis' advice and tell the truth. And Pope Francis should have followed my advice and disclose the truth as I have asked and keep asking. I now call on both, our political and spiritual leaders, to provide full disclosure so the people's conscience can be activated and their actions can exhibit responsible freedom. And I call on all people to no longer accept being governed by the league of shadows and to demand the truth and a new leadership that is untainted by genocide.


In dealing with the problem of completing the demographic transition / population stabilization program it becomes evident that the issue of longevity is inseparable from that of prosperity. And this brings us to the last problem we must solve, level and equitable consumption.

4. Problem Four: Level consumption

To prevent war between nations and bring the developing world to a similar level of prosperity to the developed world without destroying the planet.


Ever since the UN was created, its efforts have been threefold: population stabilization, economic harmonization, and political centralization, which I describe in some detail in the book "Survival or Extinction" (pp. 48-53). Each objective has grown from the previous one and none can be fully accomplished until we have a Planetary Wellbeing Authority, thus an incipient form of global government limited to solving mankind's existential problems openly, fairly, ethically, and legally.

We cannot consider ourselves a civilized species or a mature civilization until every human being on the planet has a fair share of the world's resources and of the fruits of society. Until such time, we can only count ourselves among the universe's primitive species. Our primitivism is best illustrated by this graph of global inequality in living conditions:




But regardless of what poverty or inequality index one looks at, the differences between and within nations are enormous and unacceptable, so much so that a higher intelligence could not consider us one species:

Human Development Index



Gini Index




Income share held by richest 10%

Income share held by richest 10%, 2015




Percentage share of income or consumption accruing to the richest 10% of the population. In a country with 100 people, if you rank them by income the share of the top 10% corresponds to the sum of incomes of the top 10 people, as a proportion of total income in that country.



Source: World Bank

OurWorldInData.org/income-inequality/ • CC BY

Share of total Income going to the top 1%




Share of children living in relative poverty

Share of children living in relative poverty



Share (%) of children (0-to-17) living in an household in poverty. Poverty is defined as living with an equivalised post-tax-and-transfer income of less than 50% of the national annual median equivalised post-tax and transfer income.




Data source: OECD Family Database.

This data visualization is available at OurWorldinData.org. There you find the raw data and more visualizations on this topic.

Licensed under CC-BY-SA by the author Max Roser.

Animal protein consumption



Each of these graphs is a horror story of epic and global proportions, a reflection of the hideousness of human greed, and evidence of the abysmal failure of our leadership and institutions, evidence of our failure as human beings. I cannot help but weep when I look at them. Yet the solution is very simple and illustrated in just two pictures.





We have carved up the world in fiefdoms and have locked ourselves up behind the artificial walls of the delusions we call countries, refusing to see the world for what it is, an indivisible whole, perfect in every respect. Our countries have become our prisons, our leaders the prison guards, and our patriotism the justification to kill, exploit and oppress or at best ignore and look away.

We must 'uncarve' the world and think, feel and act as earthlings, because we are all brothers, Fratelli Tutti, and we are all sisters, Sorelle Tutte, and perhaps one day we will all be Earth Angels, the newest and highest expression of our humanity and of our divinity. To succeed we must leave the old world order behind and craft a truly new world order, not the nightmare of manufactured consent and censorship, of fictitious viruses and make-believe pandemics, of grotesque lies and fake science that we are being served by the current brand of globalist elites. No, categorically no! My idea of a new world order is something entirely different and lives in my dedication to the truth and the wellbeing of all. My idea of a new world order is "one nation for all mankind, living

in peace, equity and justice, respectful of differences, united in purpose and treating nature as a sacred garden".

That is all well and good, you are probably thinking, but how do we get there, Kevin, you are probably asking. How do we get there when "humanity is using nature 1.7 times faster than our planet's biocapacity can regenerate", and when providing a standard of living equal to that of the US to every human being on the planet would require five earths? How do we get there when the basic material needs of billions remain unmet, the population is still growing by 385,000 a day, and our energy production would have to triple to ensure that everyone has a decent standard of living? How do we get there when 200 governments compete for the same resources and when the world's resources are so unevenly distributed across the planet? And how de we get there without further transgressing the planetary boundaries that provide "a safe operating space for humanity"? How do we get there without sacrificing our personal dreams and without limiting ourselves to a straitjacket existence?

The answer is:

- not without the truth and full disclosure
- not without a Planetary Wellbeing Authority
- not without a Global Population Stabilization Law
- not without the role model of the Earth Angels
- not without openly pursuing the four Laws of Civilization Building
- not without an entirely new socio-political system
- not without a partial or total dissolution of the nation state
- not without a global digital currency and biometric IDs
- not without a global redistribution of wealth and labour
- not without focusing on being rather than on having
- not without embracing the future and leaving the past behind
- and not without the will, talent, effort, sacrifice and input of every one of us

Without these twelve prerequisites and building blocks we will continue to spin our wheels and helplessly watch as our civilization collapses along with our environment.

The system, then and now, "is captive to its own lies and can only continue to exist by falsifying everything", to quote Vaclav Havel's <u>The Power of the Powerless</u>. The people who run the current system cannot save us because they are too concerned with safeguarding their lies, keeping their crimes secret, and saving themselves. Their solutions lead us from bad to worse. Global income inequality, the <u>World Inequality Report</u> informs us, has exploded to unprecedented levels during the plandemic, so much so that now 10% of the population owns 75% of total wealth and the top 1% own 38% while the bottom 50% are left with just 2%. See for yourselves!

Never in history has wealth inequality been more criminal, because never in history has the system been more criminal. Which is why we must destroy this system and send it to the netherworld where it belongs.

So, what are our options?

Option One:

We can allow the current system to go on and reduce us all to paupers and goad us to kill each other with depop vaccines, which is what seems to be the plan. I for one will never accept the leadership of a self-appointed coalition of criminals that has already proved itself utterly incapable of respecting any of our fundamental rights and that has erected a global censorship apparatus that imprisons the truth forever and elevates abject lies to the status of gospel truth.

Option Two:

At the other end of the spectrum, we can dissolve all governments and form a global government, though I cannot see how that would be possible given that mankind is not ready for such a radical change even though it is precisely this kind of radical change that the world needs.

Option Three:

We can create a Planetary Wellbeing Authority, as I have envisioned, and endow it with sole and global authority only over the Planetary Security Prerogatives of depopulation, decarbonization, and globalization. This is the most plausible option as it would require state legislatures to transfer their power to the Planetary Wellbeing Authority only over the three Planetary Authority Prerogatives while continuing to hold authority over everything else. This option would also save democracy since national governments will be able to govern once again according to the separation of powers characteristic of democracies. It would also save the rule of law and all fundamental rights and liberties because mankind would agree to enshrine in global law replacement level fertility, which would legally limit the fundamental right of procreation, and assisted suicide at age 75, which would legally limit the fundamental right to life. These limitations, however, would be agreed upon by common and global accord as a necessity for our continued existence. They will no longer be pursued in secret and pervert the rule of law and all fundamental rights and liberties, as is currently the case. This option is by far the best one because its outcome would be global and would leave no country out in the cold and because it is easily doable considering that the world's governments are already proceeding as one but in secret.

Option Four:

The EU, the US, Russia, the UK, Canada, Australia, New Zealand and any other country that is willing and has a similar standard of living can come together as a single political unit under a single government. The West, in other words, can become one nation and preserve democracy in all its aspects other than the three Planetary Security Prerogatives of depopulation, decarbonization and globalization. The West will thus pave the way for an eventual global government while openly tackling population reduction, replacement level fertility, limited lifespan, and level consumption within the greater union. This will inspire the rest of the world to follow suit.

These are the four options we have and currently the worst one is being pursued and will inevitably lead to disaster. No one should imagine, however, that any of the three remaining options are easy, but how well and how fast the chosen option will be implemented depends entirely on how fast governments and the Vatican provide full disclosure and on how fast we, the people, swallow the

red pill and act accordingly. The time of living in a carefully constructed illusion has come to an end and with it our civilization's childhood has come to an end. The time of living according to the existential realities we face has begun and with it our civilization's adulthood has begun.

"When I was a child, I used to speak like a child, think like a child, reason like a child; when I became a man, I did away with childish things." (1 Corinthians 13:11)

It is time we do away with childish things. Those who can't, will be vaccinated to death. Those who can, will become Earth Angels.